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Executive Summary

The Berne Declaration investigated the financial connections between the two largest Swiss banks, Credit Suisse and UBS and companies embroiled in serious controversies about human rights such as the right to life, the right to security, the right to health, the right to food and water. This paper gives an overview of concrete cases and violated rights. Elaborating on the reports of the UN Special Representative on business and human rights we show that for the banks the «corporate responsibility to respect» should mean nothing less than implementing a comprehensive human rights due diligence.

The Berne Declaration urges Credit Suisse and UBS to develop a comprehensive human rights policy. Among other things this means assessing the potential impact on human rights of all proposed business transactions. The banks must implant the respect for human rights in their standards and processes. We also expect them to publish their policies and standards because the time for secrecy in banking and elsewhere is definitely over.
1. Introduction

Recognized the world over as the core documents of human rights, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948 along with the two binding covenants on «civil and political rights» and «economic, social and cultural rights» (both 1966) assign states and governments the primary responsibility to promote and protect human rights. Even the preamble of the Universal Declaration states, however, that «every individual and every organ of society» has the duty to uphold human rights – and that includes corporations. As a result of the dramatic rise of global corporations, serious human rights violations in the sphere of corporate responsibility and the activities of non-governmental organizations since the 1990s corporate responsibility has become a main focus of the human rights discussion.

Thanks to the activities of Professor John Ruggie, Special Representative of the Secretary General (SRSG) on the issue of human rights and transnational corporations and other business enterprises, the debate on the human rights obligations of corporations has picked up additional momentum in recent years. At issue is not just whether corporations abide by the law and commit no illegal acts. As the SRSG makes sufficiently clear corporate responsibility goes beyond that and the actual discussion on corporations and human rights revolves around society’s expectation for business to do the right thing: «The social license to operate is based in prevailing social norms that can be as important to the success of a business as legal norms. Of course social norms can vary by region and industry. But one term has acquired near-universal recognition by all stakeholders, namely the corporate responsibility to respect human rights, or, put it simply, to not infringe on the rights of others.»

The financial crisis, significantly triggered by the shortsighted high-risk behavior of banks, has had a serious negative impact on the actualization of the social and economic rights of countless human beings, especially in developing and emerging countries.

If anything, the crisis has increased the relevance of human rights for corporations in general and for banks in particular. In last year’s report to the Human Rights Council the SRSG wrote: «Companies have had to acknowledge that business as usual is not good enough for anybody, including business itself, and that they must better integrate societal concerns into their long-term strategic goals. Society as a whole cries out for remedy where wrong has been done. The terms transparency and accountability resonate more widely than before. And calls for fairness are more insistent.»

---

2 See the BankTrack-Position about the financial crisis: www.banktrack.org/download/bank_to_the_future_el_escorial_statement
2. Methodology and Case Studies

The goal and purpose of our research was to demonstrate the connection between individual banking transactions and the violation of a specific human right. With this rights-based approach we are putting the affected people and their universal rights front and center.

2.1 Methodology

Since the banks’ involvement in human rights violations is usually indirect (“complicity”) a multi-step tracking process is needed to show all the connections. Fig.1 gives an overview of our methodology, which we explain in detail further on.

2.2 Selection criteria

The ‘finance initiative’ of the UN-environmental program UNEP (abbr. UNEP FI) names three areas where financial institutions frequently confront human rights issues: clients and their activities, project finance and money laundering. For money laundering Switzerland has specific laws in effect. For non-recourse project finance the «Equator Principles» provide an industry standard (see 4.3) As a result our focus is on clients and their activities. UNEP FI identifies two specific risks in this area: «that the client runs its business in a manner that leads to human rights violations or that the customers’ products or services are used in a manner that causes or contributes to human rights violations».

4 UNEP FI, CEO Briefing, Human rights, 2008, p. 4.
2.3 Financial research

As a background for this study and the BD campaign website www.banksandhumanrights.ch, the Berne Declaration, with help from the economic research consultancy Profundo, examined the financial connections of the two largest Swiss banks, Credit Suisse and UBS, to over 80 companies accused of human rights abuses. We focused on important finance deals in corporate and investment banking (credits, issuance of bonds and shares) from 2004 to 2009. We did not consider small holdings of company shares or bonds (asset management) because they do not create a strong bond between bank and company.

By the same token, a single bank’s decision to buy, sell, or not-buy a share or a bond barely affects the corporation. The connection between a corporation (sometime even an individual project) and a bank is much closer in corporate and investment banking. Without the helping hand of a bank a corporation could not do business as intended and would not be able to realize certain projects.

Most of the companies we investigated are active in mining, oil or gas production and in the agro fuel sector. Extractive industries are particularly susceptible to human rights violations, as the SRSG also concluded in an interim report in 2006. Of 65 reports about human rights abuses by corporations, two thirds concerned oil, gas and mining companies. According to data provided by Nostromo Research, Credit Suisse was the number three provider of credits and loans to the mining industry from 2000 to 2006, while UBS was ranked 11th. We also made exemplary inquiries into two human rights hot spots: the production of cluster bombs and the cotton industry of Uzbekistan. The Berne Declaration’s investigation into the financial connections of the largest Swiss banks to clients facing human rights allegations is by no means complete. Human rights are basically relevant for all banking activities. Obviously there are other industries with human rights issues and even in the industries that we researched there are aspects that we did not cover. Our main concern was to find examples that illustrate the problem as a whole.

2.4 Human Rights Research

After we completed our finance research, we moved on to human rights research. By limiting ourselves to the International Bill of Human Rights we chose a conservative yet almost universally accepted and accessible frame of reference, which also allows us to be generally consistent with «Human Rights Translated», the UN manual for business. Thus, in making the case for indigenous land rights – still insufficiently protected at the international level – we referred directly to the civil covenant (ICCPR) instead of basing our argument on the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples which, while explicit, is also non-binding. In our view this frame of reference is the absolute minimum. Convincing due diligence processes are based on the totality of international human rights norms and keep up with their development.

In the case of mining, oil and gas production we specifically looked for projects that were embroiled in human rights controversies. Following the example of the «survey of the scope and patterns of alleged corporate-related human rights abuses» that the SRSG submitted to the Human Rights Council in 2008, we collected credible allegations without drawing final conclusions about their merits. Actual case-by-case verification would require far more resources. As a starting point for our research we used the database at business-humanrights.org. In the words of the UN Special Representative, business-humanrights.org is «in the absence of a universal database that stores allegations of abuse, the most comprehensive, objective source available». Our second main source were the NGO-platform minesandcommunities.org and specialized NGOs on a local or international level, some linked to the Berne Declaration through shared international networks.

Finite resources limited the size of our sample to a fraction of all mining (11 of 27) and oil / gas companies (4 of 14). (The 31 cases from these

5 http://bankenundmenschenerchte.ch/downloads /Profundo_2010_Swiss_banks_and_human_rights.pdf
8 In our frame of reference we included the “General Comments” of the two expert panels who monitor the implementation of the social and civil covenants. These recommendations for the implementation of rights listed in the covenants, while lacking the binding character of legal provisions, nevertheless have considerable factual significance. Thus, in the respective „Comments“ the right to housing, the right to food and the right to water, based on Articles 11 and 12, are presented as separate rights.
10 A/HRC/8/5/Add.2, p. 8
industries, listed in Annex 1, have their origins in 15 out of 41 corporations.)
In a next step, we identified the human rights that appeared to have been violated in each case, based on the available complaints. Human rights, like a tightly woven net, are inextricably linked and cannot be neatly separated. In reality one grievance often affects several rights at once. However, the object was not to produce a complete human rights assessment of an individual project but to present some meaningful case studies of the violation of one right.
Cluster bombs, agrofuels and cotton production in Uzbekistan are not about individual projects but about industries, thus they were directly associated with a relevant human right. Added to the 31 cases in high risk industries, then, are 3 industry cases (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Finance research</th>
<th>Human rights research</th>
<th>Controversial projects and industries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Gas</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agro &amp; Monoculture</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7(^{12})</td>
<td>1 (entire industry = 1 case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controversial Weapons</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 (entire industry = 1 case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan Cotton Trade</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 (entire industry = 1 case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Prisons USA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Companies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minus double counts</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>78</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary presentation of Sample and Case Studies

\(^{12}\) Here, along with our own finance research, we used a Profundo study for Friends of the Earth: European financing of agrofuel production in Latin America, 2008.
3. Results: Affected Rights

The human rights addressed in the following overview and in the annex are the rights associated with the cases under investigation. They are no more relevant for banks than other human rights. John Ruggie convincingly dismisses the notion of business-specific human rights: «Companies can affect the entire spectrum of internationally recognized rights, not only a limited subset. (...) Therefore, the quest to construct ex ante a delimited list of business-specific rights for which companies would have some responsibility is a fool’s errand. Virtually all rights are relevant, though some may be more so than others in particular circumstances. This fact needs to inform the policies of states and companies alike.»\(^{13}\) The researched cases could be associated with the following human rights: right to life, the ban on slavery and forced labor, right to personal security, right to a fair trial, right to equality before the law, right of minorities to preserve their identity, right to unionize and the right to strike, right to adequate housing, right to sufficient food, right to water, right to health. This selection constitutes a broad mix from the categories of labor rights, cultural rights, rights to a secure existence, procedural rights and protection of personal integrity. Two cases – the right to life and the ban on forced labor – will be presented in some detail below. The rest of the cases are listed in detail in Annex 1.

**Case Study: Right to Life Violated**

Credit Suisse and UBS participated in the financing of Lockheed Martin and Textron; Credit Suisse also in L-3 Communications and Alliant Techsystems. In April 2009, UBS Hana Asset Management, which is part of UBS, owned or managed 4.95% of the shares in Poongsan Corporation. All five companies are among the most important producers of cluster bombs or parts thereof.\(^{14}\) The Norwegian pension fund has blacklisted these companies.\(^{15}\) Cluster bombs are munitions containers that release up to 2000 explosive charges in mid-air. Because many of these charges fail to detonate on impact they become in fact anti-personnel mines. Cluster bombs continue to kill people randomly long after the fighting has stopped. According to Handicap International 98 percent of cluster bomb victims are civilians.\(^{16}\) In December 2008, 94 countries, including Switzerland, signed a convention against cluster bombs in Oslo. Belgium, Ireland, Luxemburg and New Zealand prohibit investments in cluster munitions producers. Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway and Switzerland are at various stages of considering parliamentary action on investments.

**Case Study: Violation of the Ban on Forced Labor**

Uzbekistan is the world’s third largest producer of cotton. Cotton production in this Central Asian nation rests on a state sponsored system of forced labor. In many instances that includes children. Each year during the cotton harvest the government closes schools, universities, hospitals and state offices. Employees and students are forced to work in the fields.\(^{17}\) According to a report of the International Crisis Group, the Tashkent branch of Credit Suisse provides foreign buyers of Uzbek cotton with credits to pre-finance the trade.\(^{18}\) Our own research shows that Credit Suisse has financial dealings with some of the most important buyers of Uzbek cotton, including companies such as Cargill, Daewoo International, Ecom Agroindustrial, Olam International and Paul Reinhart.

---

13 Opening Remarks by Professor John G. Ruggie, Consultation on operationalizing the framework for business and human rights presented by the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on the issue of human rights and transnational corporations and other business enterprises, Geneva 5-6 October 2009.


17 See also e.g. the report of the US Department of State about human rights in Usbekistan: http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2009/sca/136096.htm

4. Human Rights Obligations of Banks

So far the UN Special Representative on business and human rights has not focused extensively on the features of particular industries, including the financial industry. But his comments about the human rights obligations of corporations apply to all companies, banks included.

4.1. «Corporate Responsibility to Respect»

The conceptual framework for business and human rights, presented by the SRSG in 2008, rests on three pillars:19

«The state duty to protect», i.e. the duty of the state/government to protect people from rights abuses by corporations.

«The corporate responsibility to respect», i.e. the duty of corporations to respect human rights and create the necessary structures and processes.

«Access to remedy», i.e. new and improved legal and extra-legal mechanisms to help the victims of human rights abuses claim their rights.

The corporate responsibility to respect human rights exists irrespective of the state and quality of public governance. Wherever national legislation lags behind the internationally agreed human rights standards corporations are obliged to act on their own to bridge this governance gap. And according to the UN Special Representative this obligation must be clearly separated from a company’s humanitarian or charitable engagement: «(B)ecause the responsibility to respect is a baseline expectation, a company cannot compensate for human rights harm by performing good deeds elsewhere.»20

4.2 «Due Diligence»

Responsible action with regard to human rights requires corporate due diligence, in the words of the Special Representative, a concept that «describes the steps a company must take to become aware of, prevent and address adverse human rights impacts.»21

At the very least, the due diligence process should cover all aspects of the International Bill of Human Rights of the United Nations (the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the two covenants from 1966) and the ILO core labor standards. The SRSG mentions the following core elements of the due diligence process:

A human rights policy and detailed provisions for its implementation.

Human rights impact assessment procedures to regularly update the measures for the prevention of human rights abuses.

The integration of human rights issues into the corporate culture and organization; this requires the involvement of top level management, sufficient capacities and training.

And finally, the performance of human rights-relevant procedures should be tracked (monitored and measured) and the resulting data used to improve the process.22

All companies are duty-bound to respect human rights and should therefore develop due diligence processes relating to human rights: «(T)he responsibility to respect is the baseline expectation for all companies in all situations. (...) What is required is due diligence – a process whereby companies not only ensure compliance with national laws but also to manage the risk of human rights harm with a view to avoiding it.»23 Next, a look at the special features of the banking sector.

4.3 Project Finance and Equator Principles

The SRSG once explicitly mentions banks in connection with a project loan:

«(B)anks do have human rights due diligence requirements in this context, and human rights risks related to the projects are also risks to the bank’s liability, returns and reputation.»24

The responsibility of banks involved in project finance is undisputed. For non-recourse project finance the Equator Principles provide an industry standard. Typically, in this form of project finance the participating banks cannot fall back on the project sponsors to service their loans and their commercial success is closely tied to the successful outcome of a specific project.

The Equator Principles are not human rights standard per se. They are based on the

19 A/HRC/8/5, para. 55, p. 17.
20 A/HRC/8/5, para. 55, p. 17.
21 A/HRC/8/5, para. 56, p. 17.
24 A/HRC/11/13, para. 73, p. 18.
performance standards of the International Finance Corporation, IFC, which do not systematically incorporate human rights.26 But the Equator Principles matter here because banks that have signed them recognize the necessity of an expanded due diligence for high-risk projects. Despite the prominence of the Equator Principles in the debate about the responsibility of banks many questions regarding their implementation remain unanswered in the eyes of civil society.26

In any case, the effect of the Equator Principles will remain limited because they only apply to non-recourse project finance. This form of finance accounts for less than five percent of all corporate finance. UBS is not even active in project finance and has not signed the Equator Principles. None of the cases described above concern project finance but the Swiss banks are still involved financially as creditors, through trade finance and through the issuance of bonds and shares.

4.4. Responsibility for Indirect Involvement in Human Rights Violations

The UN Special Representative has given considerable thought to the responsibility of companies for human rights violations by third parties. For the Berne Declaration and its partner organizations in the BankTrack-Network financial operations belong in this category. A full 40 percent of the alleged corporate-related human rights abuses analyzed by the SRSG were cases of indirect involvement and of these 12 percent occurred in the finance sector (i.e. 8 percent of all cases).27

He uses the term complicity when he talks about indirect involvement in human rights abuses. «(C)omplicity means that a company knowingly contributed to another’s abuse of human rights.»28 Preventing complicity in human rights abuses is the object of the second principle of the Global Compact. In the commentary the indirect nature of the offense is also underlined: «The participation of the company need not actually cause the abuse. Rather, the company’s assistance or encouragement has to be to a degree that without such participation, the abuses most probably would not have occurred to the same extent or in the same way.»29 As a rule, finance is the kind of support without which a company could not continue the practices that cause human rights violations.

Avoiding complicity is an integral part of due diligence.30 The SRSG’s commentary about the scope of due diligence also shows that the banks must review the human rights relevance of their activities. One of three factors to watch, he says, is «whether and how the company might contribute to abuse through the relationships connected to its activities, such as with business partners, entities in the value chain, other non-State actors, and state agents.»31 The fact that companies, or in our case banks, cannot directly control the behavior of their business partners does not dispense them from due diligence. That is why due diligence must also include relationships to third parties: «A company should ensure that it is not implicated in third party harm to rights through its relationships with such parties. (...) Therefore a company needs to understand the track records of those entities with which it deals in order to assess whether it might contribute to or be associated with harm caused by entities with which it conducts, or is considering conducting business or other activities.»32

The question of indirect benefits derived from human rights abuses is particularly significant for financial institutions, since any financial profit will be immediately evident for the bank. While the SRSG concedes that simply profiting from human rights abuses does not effect culpability in a strictly legal sense, there is this to consider: «(B)enefiting from abuses may carry negative implications for the company in the public.»33

For the Berne Declaration and her partner organizations in the BankTrack-Network there can be no question that banks must develop human rights-specific due diligence processes in order to meet their obligation of respecting human rights. In finance the degree of involvement and the opportunities for intervention vary greatly depending on the nature of the business at

26 See also: http://www.banktrack.org/download/bold_steps_forward_towards_equator_principles_that_deliver_to_people_and_the_planet/100114_civil_society_call_equator_principles.pdf
hand. The responsibility of a bank in any one instance depends on two things: One is the severity of the human rights violations and the questions are: What rights and how many people were affected? Are we hearing allegations or confronting substantiated human rights abuses? Is the company directly responsible or merely complicit? Are we looking at isolated incidents or systematic abuse of human rights? The other is the nature of the business transaction: what is the sum involved? At what point in time does the bank get involved? Is the bank establishing a lasting business relationship or conducting an isolated transaction with a clearly defined end (e.g. an IPO)? Does the bank provide financing alone or as part of a syndicate? Does the bank play a leading role in the syndicate?

A bank’s due diligence policies have to meet two basic requirements then: determine for each type of business (e.g. trade finance, fixed income trading, credit business, IPOs/capital increase, advising, fund management, private banking) when an in-depth due diligence audit for human rights needs to be initiated; create the specific capacities required to perform these audits and commit sufficient resources to the process so that the effects of the bank’s activities on human rights can be assessed in all seriousness. (See chapter 6).
5. Human Rights Standards of Banks

In last year’s report to the Human Rights Council the UN Special Representative on business and human rights stated that few businesses credibly implement their general commitment to human rights: «Company claims that they respect human rights are all well and good. But the Special Representative has asked whether companies have systems in place enabling them to demonstrate the claim with any degree of confidence. He has found that relatively few do so.» As far as we’re concerned he could have been speaking about Switzerland’s two largest banks when he made that statement.

5.1. Human Rights at UBS and Credit Suisse

On its website, Credit Suisse professes its allegiance to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and to the Global Compact, but gives scant indication of how it intends to implement this commitment in its core business. Talking about private clients under the heading «spheres of influence», the bank simply points to Switzerland’s anti-money laundering laws. Regarding the business sector that produced the human rights abuses mentioned earlier, CS merely states: «As regards corporate clients, which may conduct business activities that potentially impact human rights, the bank-wide Reputational Risk Review Process needs to be applied to identify, assess and mitigate potential risks related to business transactions with such clients.» However, very little information relating to this review process is made public. At Credit Suisse human rights issues are generally filed under ‘social questions’ and the tools used by the bank to assess risks – policies governing transactions with clients that are active in the forestry industry and in mining are mentioned – are not revealed.

The 1-page «UBS-Declaration of Human Rights» gets by without a single reference to the International Bill of Human Rights, while the Global Compact is mentioned in passing. A single generic sentence characterizes the bank’s business activities: «We aim to promote the responsible use of our products and services by taking human rights standards into account when vetting prospective clients and executing transactions.» Rules on treating ‘social risks’ are supposedly included in sector guidelines about chemicals, natural gas and oil, energy, infrastructure, forestry and agrofuels, as well as mining and the production of metals. Sector guidelines are not public. The two banks cannot substantiate their sweeping commitment to human rights because their key processes, standards and guidelines are not published. In this way they prevent a critical assessment and the quality of their standards cannot be discussed. Judging from the scant information available to the public, human rights do not appear to be a major independent category (along with environment and sustainability issues) in their due diligence processes. Instead, they are cast as mere reputational or social risks. This completely inverts the focus to where suddenly the process is only about the risks faced by the banks and no longer about the rights of people affected by the activities of bank clients.

A mere update of the environmental impact assessment doesn’t do justice to the human rights issue, as the UN Special Representative also points out: «(A)n HRIA (human rights impact assessment) should not be merely an additional section in a ESIA (environmental and social impact assessment), or an ESIA reorganized under different headings. Rather, grounding an impact assessment in the human rights framework implies a different approach. HRAs should deviate from the ESIA approach of examining a project’s direct impacts and instead force consideration of how the project could possibly interact with each and every right.»

5.2. Human Rights Standards of Other International Banks

The finance industry is really just beginning to integrate human rights into its operations, as evidence from a recent study co-financed by the Swiss Foreign Ministry suggests. There, the Danish Institute for Human Rights concludes: «Financial sector actors have mixed understandings of the content of human rights and of their responsibility toward them (...) The majority of financial sector actors have weak in-house capacity to integrate human rights

35 https://www.credit-suisse.com/citizenship/de/banking/human_rights.jsp
36 https://www.credit-suisse.com/citizenship/de/banking/risk_review.jsp
37 http://www.ubs.com/1/g/about/corp_responsibility/commitment_strategy/policies_guidelines/human_rights.html
information into their decision making [...]». Most international banks’ human rights policies are similar to those of Credit Suisse and UBS in their sweeping generality. Still, there are examples of more substantial efforts. The most comprehensive document is Rabobank’s «Position Paper Human Rights» that accompanies a more general and shorter human rights statement. The Dutch bank’s position paper makes explicit references to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the two binding covenants of 1966, the ILO-core labor standards and other human rights standards, including the ILO-convention 169 about the rights of indigenous peoples. Rabobank also elaborates at length about such issues as forced labor, child labor, working conditions and the rights of indigenous people.

Barclays, the British bank, also bases its human rights statement on the major human rights standards. The four principles to which Barclays commits include the notion of restitution: «Where we discover, or are made aware, that we have been associated with human rights violations we shall take steps to remedy the situation, taking account of the interests of those whose rights are being violated.» The termination of business relations is explicitly mentioned as a possible outcome.

Along with these two banks, Dutch banks Fortis and ABN AMRO also scored a 2 for human rights standards (on a scale from 0 to 5, with 5 at top) in the BankTrack-Network’s benchmark study «Close the Gap». This means their standards are more than vaguely worded declarations of intent and include at least half the elements that the BankTrack Network considers essential.

A number of other banks also publish their standards. The table below lists issue and sector standards. The latter are designed for industries where human rights issues are particularly relevant. All listed standards scored at least a 2 in the BankTrack-Network’s benchmark study «Close the Gap».

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry/Issue</th>
<th>Banks with published standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>ABN AMRO, Barclays, Rabobank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>Rabobank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry, pulp and paper</td>
<td>ANZ, Bank of America, Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, HSBC, ING Group, JPMorgan Chase, Rabobank, Standard Chartered, WestLB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley, JPMorgan Chase, Rabobank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>ANZ, Rabobank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human rights</td>
<td>ABN AMRO, Barclays, Fortis Bank, Nederland, Rabobank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas</td>
<td>Rabobank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military industry and arms trade</td>
<td>Barclays, BBVA, BNP Paribas, Deutsche Bank, Fortis Bank Nederland, Natixis, Rabobank, RBS, Royal Bank of Canada, Standard Chartered, UniCredit Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

41 http://www.rabobank.com/content/images/Position_paper_Human_Rights_tcm43-102014.pdf  
42 http://group.barclays.com/Sustainability/Citizenship/Human-rights  
43 www.banktrack.org/download/close_the_gap/close_the_gap.pdf
6. What is Missing: Policy, Standards, Transparency and Implementation

As we have seen, Switzerland’s largest banks Credit Suisse and UBS only skim over the human rights issue and look weak compared to other major banks. With their current policies they clearly fail their corporate duty to respect human rights. To change this they will need to put in place convincing procedures for a human rights-relevant due diligence. Unless each and every step is transparent and all documents publicly accessible they will never be credible. The following steps are necessary, according to the Berne Declaration.

6.1. A Substantial Human Rights Policy

«An explicit human rights policy is widely seen as a necessary starting point for demonstrating corporate commitment to the protection and promotion of human rights», reads the «CEO Briefing» of UNEP FI. The Special Representative for business and human rights agrees: «Companies need to adopt a human rights policy.»

The human rights policy of Credit Suisse and UBS should meet the following minimal standards:

- It is based on the International Bill of Human Rights (including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the covenants regarding (1) civil and political rights and (2) economic, social and cultural rights), to the core labor standards of the ILO as well as the ILO convention on indigenous peoples.
- It demonstrates how the bank intends to respect human rights in every part of its business.
- It excludes certain types of transactions categorically. It conducts no business with very controversial companies, not even on behalf of bank clients.
- It indicates how it intends to measure and report about the implementation of the policy.

6.2. Systematic Consideration of Human Rights in Sector Standards

A general human rights policy merely defines the issue and determines its importance for the corporate culture. Problems vary depending on the bank’s activity. For this reason it is very important that Credit Suisse and UBS develop detailed standards for all human rights aspects. For every industry known to generate significant numbers of human rights violations new sector guidelines should be developed or existing standards radically reworked. Industries in this group include oil and gas production, mining, agro-industry, labor intensive production, armaments and controversial weapons.

These standards should have an explicit human rights focus and must avoid addressing unspecified «social risks» in general terms. At both banks these sector standards should eventually generate a practice that systematically examines potential business opportunities with regard to if and how they might affect the rights of people. If potential impacts are perceived as serious and the bank has no way to intervene (e.g. by means of specific covenants) the business relationship should be discontinued or not entered into in the first place.

6.3. Transparency

When NGOs confront the two large Swiss banks with information about their financial support for controversial companies, transactions, or projects, they are usually assured that the business transactions in question are being vetted or have already been vetted for their impact. In response to a query about the Phulbari coal mine in Bangladesh, Credit Suisse wrote: «Any direct involvement of Credit Suisse would have to be thoroughly assessed in our internal risk review process, which aims to ensure that business relationships that entail potential risks relating to human rights and the environment are examined in detail and subject to a special authorization process.» And UBS wrote: «(A)ny acquisitions of securities by UBS on a proprietary basis would be subject to our business relationship with the operator on behalf of a third party.

---

44 UNEP FI, CEO Briefing, Human rights, 2008, p. 7.
45 A/HRC/8/5, para. 60, p. 18.
46 For best practice and central elements of a human rights policy, see also: www.banktrack.org/download/close_the_gap/close_the_gap.pdf
48 When the Berne Declaration and other NGOs criticised the fact that Credit Suisse and UBS held shares in the corporate operator of the planned Phulbari coal mine in Bangladesh, the banks replied that these were business transactions on behalf of clients. This project has already had such disastrous effects on human rights that responsible banks should not enter into a

---

customary due diligence procedures which, where relevant, would include environmental and social considerations.»

Since neither bank makes its sector guidelines and internal standards accessible to the public, civil society and the public have no alternative but to blindly trust them. Human rights standards are not sensitive with regard to the success of a business and there is no reason to assume that their publication would put the Swiss banks at a competitive disadvantage – no more than that it would invite a flood of legal action. No bank that published its sector guidelines was taken to court by investors or other agents.

Back in 2003, in the Collevecchio Declaration supported by more than a hundred organizations, civil society already clamored for a commitment to transparency: «Financial Institutions (FTs) must be transparent to stakeholders, not only through robust, regular and standardized disclosure, but also by being responsive to stakeholder needs for specialized information on FTs’ policies, procedures and transactions. Commercial confidentiality should not be used as an excuse to deny stakeholders information.»

In Sweden and Norway the national contact points (NCP) of the OECD-guidelines for multinational enterprises, in a statement that accompanied their 2008 complaint against the Nordea Bank, supported the demand for more transparency: «(The NCP encourages) Nordea and other actors in the financial sector to practice as much transparency and freedom of information as possible. In order to foster greater understanding among the general public for their activities, it is essential that companies be sensitive to the public’s increasing demands for information.»

If Credit Suisse and UBS want the public to believe that they are serious about their respect for human rights they will not only have to develop a comprehensive human rights policy and adequate sectorial due diligence standards but they will actually have to allow the public to see them.

6.4. Implementation

Policies and Standards are only as good as their implementation. Giving human rights the attention they deserve at Credit Suisse and UBS will require an effort – in terms of awareness and responsibility – that involves the entire organization. UNEP FI underlines the importance of senior management in this process: «Senior management – including the CEO – review is of particular importance for human rights given the relative novelty of human rights as a management issue.» Incentives must be strategically deployed and the bonus system adjusted accordingly to prevent them from subverting the serious implementation of the standards. Continuing education and training for employees is very important as well. Key employees in all sectors must be made aware of the significance of human rights issues and the banks will need enough employees with a thorough knowledge of human rights issues to conduct its due diligence procedures.

To vet business transactions and (potential) clients other information than that supplied by the clients themselves will be necessary, as the following example of a sustainability assessment based mostly on ecological aspects nicely illustrates: In July 2009, Credit Suisse and UBS joined the French bank BNP Paribas in organizing a capital increase for the Indonesian palm oil corporation Golden Agri Resources (CAR, part of the Sinar Mas Group). Comprehensive reports compiled by NGOs (e.g. Greenpeace), with evidence of unsustainable practices in the sector and by the company, were readily available. The banks did not contact the authors of the NGO studies and declared the transaction to be unobjectionable. Around the same time, Unilever decided to stop buying palm oil from Golden Agri Resources. After hiring specialized consultants who examined and ultimately confirmed the charges leveled by Greenpeace, Unilever terminated its business relationship with the GAR subsidiary PT smart. Nestlé, too, claims to have stopped buying palm oil from Sinar Mas after reviewing a Greenpeace study (2009) about illegal deforestation.

In order to fulfill their duty and show their respect for human rights, Credit Suisse and UBS have to make a big effort to hear, listen and take seriously the voices of the people whose human rights have been violated.

---

52 Statement by the Swedish National Contact Point (NCP) for the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises – with the full support of Norway’s NCP – in connection with a complaint from the Argentine environmental organisation CEDHA against Nordea. http://oecdwatch.org/cases/Case_123
54 See also: http://www.evb.ch/p25016262.html
56 http://www.nestle.com/MediaCenter/SpeechesAndStatements/AllSpeechesAndStatements/statement_Palm_oil.htm
7. Conclusion

The discussion about the human rights obligations of banks has only just started. UNEP-FI is encouraging banks to actively participate in the debate: «Work with clients, stakeholders and others in the finance industry to develop a clearer understanding of the human rights responsibilities of the sector (...) to develop tools such as human rights impact assessments and to develop a human rights reporting framework for the financial sector as a whole.» With the publication of this paper and our ongoing work, the Berne Declaration hopes to advance the debate on this important issue. Along with our partner organizations in the BankTrack Network we will continue to use our campaigns, our research and our lobbying to make human rights a part of everyday banking.

57 UNEP FI, CEO Briefing, Human rights, 2008, p. 9
Appendix 1: Examples of Human Rights and Related Cases of Reported Violations

Listed below are concrete cases of alleged human rights violations, embedded in the context of the two core agreements of the international framework for the protection of human rights (ICCPR and ICESCR). To compile this list, we investigated controversial projects involving clients of Credit Suisse or UBS. Without drawing any final conclusions on the merits of what seem to be credible allegations we have analytically divided the reported grievances into their component aspects and associated them with specific human rights. Methodically we tried to stay close to the example of similar investigations conducted by the office of the UN High Commissioner on Human Rights and the UN Special Representative for Business and Human Rights, Professor John Ruggie. Since controversial projects often affect several human rights, individual aspects may be listed under more than one right. The main focus of these case studies is on illustrating the relevance of certain rights for the banks involved. We do not claim that the individual projects have been exhaustively assessed; any one project may well touch on other rights not discussed here. The most important sources of information for each aspect are listed in the footnotes at the bottom of the page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covenant and Article</th>
<th>Human Right and Cases of Reported Violations</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Company Involved</th>
<th>Link between Company and Case</th>
<th>Link between Bank and Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR (Civil Covenant Art. 6)</td>
<td><strong>Right to Life</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cluster Bombs</strong>&lt;br&gt;Cluster bombs kill even after the war is over. 98% of the more than 100'000 victims are civilians.</td>
<td>So far 25 countries, including Afghanistan, Kosovo, Lebanon, Iraq</td>
<td>Controversial arms</td>
<td>Lockheed Martin, Textron, L-3 Communications, Alliant Techsystems, Poongsan</td>
<td>Some of the most important producers of cluster bombs or components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Porgera Gold Mine</strong>&lt;br&gt;Private security units and police defend the mine against independent gold prospectors. Injuries and even fatalities occur on a regular basis (according to Barrick: 8 killed, none since Barrick acquired majority share in 2006; according to local NGOs: at least 22, at least 2 since 2006).</td>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>Barrick Gold</td>
<td>95% share through subsidiaries</td>
<td>very strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2 For details see finance research for BD: Profundo (2010), Swiss banks and human rights. Rating according to p. iv: "none or weak" no financial relations with Credit Suisse/UBS in 2004 - 2009 or only minimal stockholdings; "medium": 1 - 2 financial relationships (regardless of the type of relationship); "strong": 3 - 4 financial relationships; "very strong" ≥ 5 financial relationships.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Marlin Gold Mine</strong></th>
<th>Guatemala</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Goldcorp</th>
<th>100% subsidiary</th>
<th>none or weak</th>
<th>medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Several people are killed in the conflict around the mine. In 2005, a demonstrator and a mine opponent are killed, the latter by a mine worker. In 2008, another mine opponent is killed, and in 2009 the son of a prominent activist is murdered. Others, including a priest, receive threats. 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ICCPR Art. 8** Ban on slavery, servitude, and forced labor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Cotton Trade</strong></th>
<th>Uzbekistan</th>
<th>Cotton Trade</th>
<th>Cargill, Daewoo International, Ecom Agroindustrial, Olam International, Paul Reinhart</th>
<th>Some of the most important buyers of cotton</th>
<th>very strong7</th>
<th>none or weak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During cotton harvest public service employees and students of all ages (including children) are forced to work in the fields. 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Infrastructure for US-Troops</strong></th>
<th>Iraq</th>
<th>Oil &amp; Gas (core business of the group)</th>
<th>Halliburton</th>
<th>KBR is subsidiary of Halliburton until April 2007 (100% before, 80% after November 2006)</th>
<th>medium</th>
<th>very strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KBR provides logistics (food, construction) for US-troops in Iraq. Workers are often recruited through subcontractors in Nepal, Pakistan and the Philippines. In the process, modern forms of slavery occur: Bonded labor and human trafficking involving false/deceptive information concerning the job destination. 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ICCPR Art. 9** Right to Security of the Person

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Grasberg Gold, Silver and Copper Mine</strong></th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Freeport-McMoRan</th>
<th>91% share of operator PT Freeport Indonesia</th>
<th>none or weak</th>
<th>very strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The mine plays a crucial role in the conflict between the army and the independence fighters. Freeport pays the army, which is responsible for many human rights violations. Sometimes Freeport even pays individual units or soldiers directly for security services rendered. 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Porgera Gold Mine</strong></th>
<th>Papua-New Guinea</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Barrick Gold</th>
<th>95% share through subsidiary</th>
<th>very strong</th>
<th>very strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barrick cooperates closely with the police and provides housing and fuel. In spring 2009, Barrick benefited from a large project of new infrastructure. Sometimes, the police even orders the construction of roads in the area near the mine. 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


7 The relationships to the buyers of cotton are medium, with the exception of Cargill (very strong). According to the International Crisis Group (2005) Credit Suisse provides foreign buyers of Uzbek cotton with credits to pre-finance the trade, therefore Credit Suisse is rated “very strong”.


brutal police operation in the immediate vicinity of the mine.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Infrastructure for US-Troops</strong></th>
<th><strong>Iraq</strong></th>
<th>Oil &amp; Gas (core business of the group)</th>
<th>Halliburton</th>
<th>KBR is subsidiary of Halliburton until April 2007 (100% before, 80% after November 2006)</th>
<th>medium</th>
<th>very strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KBR faces several lawsuits for not offering sufficient protection from or even abetting sexual violence against female employees. Jamie Leigh Jones was gang raped and received no help. Instead, her boss locked her in a container for three days.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Utkal Alumina Bauxite Mine</strong></th>
<th><strong>India</strong></th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Hindalco Industries</th>
<th>100% subsidiary</th>
<th>none or weak</th>
<th>medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the Indian state of Orissa, industry projects are often brutally enforced by the police. On one such occasion, a protest against the Utkal Mine in 2000, three people were shot dead. NorskHydro and Tata withdrew from the project, Alcan followed suit in 2007. Hindalco, however, is continuing the project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Dumping Petro-Chemical Waste</strong></th>
<th><strong>Norway</strong></th>
<th>Oil &amp; Gas</th>
<th>Trafignura</th>
<th>Trafignura, client and producer of the waste in question, initiated the deal with Vest Tank. The Norwegian company says it never knew how dangerous were the wastes it agreed to store.</th>
<th>strong</th>
<th>none or weak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Even after the scandal in Côte d’Ivoire Trafignura continues to turn a highly sulfurous refinery byproduct into gasoline but the resulting wastes are illegally brought to Norway now. In May 2007, improper handling by Vest Tank causes a storage tank to explode, resulting in one of Norway’s largest chemical accidents. If fire hadn’t broken out, a lethal sulfur cloud might have formed and caused far more human damage.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ICCPR Art. 14 Right to a Fair Trial</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrastructure for US-Troops</strong></td>
<td><strong>Iraq</strong></td>
<td>Oil &amp; Gas (core business of the group)</td>
<td>Halliburton</td>
<td>KBR is subsidiary of H. until April 2007 (100% before, 80% after November 2006)</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>very strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The legal status of KBR in Iraq was extremely vague. According to the small print in their labor contract KBR employees who became victims of sexual violence were not allowed seek redress in a regular court but had to submit to the company’s private arbitration process. Congress finally intervened and changed this practice in 2009 whereupon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

10 See footnote 4 and 25  
KRB dropped its appeal, allowing the high profile case of Jamie Leigh Jones to proceed to court now.\(^{14}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICCPR Art. 26</th>
<th>Right to Equal Treatment Before the Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Cortez Hills Gold Mine**  
The Western Shoshone have been fighting for over a century to get their tribal lands back. The Inter-American Human Rights commission IACHR concluded in 2002, that a procedure used to determine land rights violated the Western Shoshone’s right to equal treatment before the law. Barrick Gold benefits massively from the current situation and pays practically nothing for the privilege of mining gold from 5 mines on lands that the Western Shoshone claim are theirs by right and tradition.\(^{16}\) | USA | Mining | Barrick Gold | Company’s own project | very strong | very strong |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICCPR Art. 27</th>
<th>Right of Minorities to Preserve Their Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Marlin Gold Mine**  
Goldcorp says it owns the land, but the indigenous population rejects that claim. The company never tried to obtain free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC). Several communities voted against the mine in a number of referendums.\(^{16}\) | Guatemala | Mining | Goldcorp | 100% subsidiary | none or weak | medium |

| **Oil and Gas Fields (Block) 39, 104, 123, 124**  
The exploitation of oil and gas reserves on indigenous territory in Northern Peru is proceeding without consent (FPIC) and is threatening a number of previously voluntarily isolated communities in the Amazon rainforest. Acquired by ConocoPhillips in 2006, Burlington Resources negotiated fraudulently with indigenous people in Ecuador and was involved in acts of violence.\(^{17}\) | Peru, Ecuador | Oil & Gas | ConocoPhillips (2006 acquisition of Burlington Resources) | 45-100% share depending on the block | very strong | very strong |

| **Ranger and Jabiluka Uranium Mines**  
Energy Resources of Australia operates two uranium mines on aborigine territory. The local Mirrar community was acquired by Energy Resources of Australia. The local Mirrar community was | Australia | Mining | Energy Resources of Australia | Company’s own project, 68.4% of ERA belongs to Rio Tinto | none or weak | medium |


17 “ConocoPhillips in the Peruvian Amazon”, Amazon Watch, 13.5.2009; “Chevron (CVX) in the Amazon – Oil Rights or Human Rights? Texaco’s legacy, Chevron’s responsibility”, Amnesty International USA.
ignored and then pressured to give its permission. To this day, the Mirrar are fighting to close mine.\textsuperscript{18}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Cortez Hills Gold Mine</strong></th>
<th>USA</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Barrick Gold</th>
<th>Company’s own project</th>
<th>very strong</th>
<th>very strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The expansion of the Cortez-Mine (Cortez Hills) threatens Mount Tenabo, a holy mountain of the Western Shoshone.\textsuperscript{19}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Project Utkal Alumina Bauxite Mine</strong></th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Hindalco Industries</th>
<th>100% subsidiary</th>
<th>none or weak</th>
<th>medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The indigenous Adivasi people have been fighting this mine project for years. They fear large scale displacement, loss of land, and water shortage.\textsuperscript{20}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ICESCR (Social Covenant) Art. 7</strong></th>
<th>Right to Just and Favorable Working Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Samancor Manganese Manganese Smelter</strong></th>
<th>South Africa</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>BHP Billiton</th>
<th>60% BHP Billiton</th>
<th>very strong</th>
<th>very strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoke and dust cause manganism, a serious injury to the central nervous system. Hundreds of workers were affected. But instead of receiving medical assistance many were laid off. Samancor still refuses to settle with the victims.\textsuperscript{21}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Pasta de Conchos Coal Mine</strong></th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Grupo México</th>
<th>75.1% share of holding company SCC</th>
<th>medium</th>
<th>none or weak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor mine safety, a bad ventilation system, and a management that ignored workers’ well-founded concerns caused a deadly mine disaster in the Pasta de Conchos-Mine, in which 65 people died.\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ICESCR Art. 8</strong></th>
<th>Right to Form Trade Unions and Right to Strike</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>El Cerrejón Coal Mine</strong></th>
<th>Colombia</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>BHP Billiton</th>
<th>33% share (33% Anglo American, 33% Xstrata)</th>
<th>very strong</th>
<th>very strong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some 6 000 Cerrejón workers work for subcontractors that fight the efforts of workers to form trade unions (e.g. the transport company and the truck maintenance company).\textsuperscript{23}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Pasta de Conchos and Cananea Mines</strong></th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Grupo México</th>
<th>75.1% share of holding company SCC</th>
<th>medium</th>
<th>none or weak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The company is trying to eliminate the current miners and metal workers union in the hopes of setting up a more management friendly organization.\textsuperscript{24}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{19} “Western Shoshone return to court, citing violations by Barrick Gold “, Mines and Communities, 5.2.2010; “Opinion No. 09-15230”, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, 3.12.2009. \\
\textsuperscript{20} See footnote 12 \\
\textsuperscript{21} "Vergiftet und vergessen", solidarität - Magazin des Schweizerischen Arbeiterhilfswerks SAH, Mai 2007, S. 4-5; “Poisoned Spaces: Manufacturing wealth, producing poverty’, groundWork (Friends of the Earth South Africa), Oktober 2008; E-mail von Ighsaan Schroeder, Khanya College, 1.4.2010. \\
\textsuperscript{22} "Trade Unions Ask Norwegian Pension Funds to Divest of Grupo Mexico Shares", ICEM, 17.3.2010; Disaster Strikes in Mexico (2006) IMF. \\
### ICESCR Art. 11 Right to Adequate Housing (Component of the Right to an Adequate Standard of Living)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Adequacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papua-New Guinea</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>Barrick Gold</td>
<td>95% share through subsidiary</td>
<td>very strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>Hindalco Industries</td>
<td>100% subsidiary</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>Alcoa</td>
<td>Would like to be part of a construction consortium; sees dam as key to new smelter</td>
<td>strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR Congo</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>Freeport-McMoRan</td>
<td>58% participation in operator TFM</td>
<td>very strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Porgera Gold Mine**
The police burn down at least 130 houses in the Wamima settlement close to the mine and chase the population out. Barrick does not intervene in a resolute manner and even provides the police with accommodations and fuel.26

**Utkal Alumina Bauxite Mine**
If the project materializes, anywhere from 3 500 (operator’s estimate) to 60 000 people (NGO estimate), mostly Adivasis, may have to resettle somewhere else.26

**Belo Monte Dam**
NGO experts fear 20 000 people will be forcibly displaced and resettled. The indigenous population living upriver from the dam are not officially recognized as affected by the project.27

**Tenke Fungurume Cobalt and Copper Mine**
Freeport-McMoRan like to showcase the mine as a model project, but when the village Mulumbu was resettled in 2007 most of the new homes the company had promised, had not yet been built. Some 226 families were forced to live under plastic tarps.28

### ICESCR Art. 11 Right to Adequate Food (Component of the Right to an Adequate Standard of Living)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Adequacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countries in Asia, Africa, and Latin America</td>
<td>Large scale agriculture</td>
<td>Sinar Mas, São Martinho, Cosan, Agrenco</td>
<td>very strong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR Congo</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>Freeport-McMoRan</td>
<td>58% participation in operator TFM</td>
<td>very strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agrofuels**
The use of grains for agrofuels is an important reason for the recent explosion in the price of several important food crops such as corn. In countries of Asia, Africa and Latin America people are being driven from their land to make room for agrofuel monocultures.29

---

24 "Mexican Miners’ Strike Enters Second Year” (2008), In These Times; Annual review of violated union rights (2008), ITUC CSI IGB. “Complaints against the Government of Mexico presented by the IMF and the SNTMMSRM: Report No. 350, Case(s) No(s). 2478”, International Labour Organization ILO.


29 Jean Ziegler (2008), Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right to food (A/HRC/7/5); Olivier De Schutter (2006) Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right to food (A/HRC/12/31); “Monoculture and Human Rights” (2009), FIAN.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICESCR Art. 11, 12</th>
<th>Right to Water (based on the Right to an Adequate Standard of Living and the Right to Health)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Porgera Gold Mine** | Dumping mine waste with high heavy metal content into the river led to high levels of pollution.  
| **Coal Mine (Kalimantan)** | In 2009, overflow from one of the mine’s waste ponds polluted the river Balangan. In 1996, the mine had already caused serious river pollution when a waste pond broke.  
| **San Martin Gold Mine** | The local population complains about health problems and dying cattle and suspects water pollution caused by the mine. CAFOD, the aid organization of the Catholic Church of England alleges cyanide and arsenic pollution as well as acid mine drainage (a phenomenon involving rocks from the deep that surface for the first time and cause a chemical reaction that produces sulfuric acid).  
| **Grasberg Gold, Silver, and Copper Mine** | Grasberg, one of the world’s largest mines, still dumps its heavy metal enriched mine wastes directly into the river, causing high levels of water pollution.  
| **Marlin Gold Mine** | A full waste pond represents a potential pollution risk. The mine’s enormous water use contrasts with the scant water resources of the local population.  
| **Pascua-Lama Silver and Gold Mine** | Environmental organizations and the indigenous population agree that this gold mine project threatens the glacier system in the border region. In 2009, Barrick received the go-ahead from the Chilean government but at the start of 2010, the national water commission concluded that Barrick |

30 Here, in addition to our own research we used a Profundo study for Friends of the Earth: European financing of agrofuel production in Latin America (2008).
had already violated glacier protection rules. The Inter-American Human Rights Commission IACHR has also decided to hear a complaint of the indigenous population against the licensing procedure.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICESCR Art. 12</th>
<th>Right to Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dumping Petro-Chemical Waste</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In August 2006, Trafigura dumps highly sulfurous wastes in Côte d'Ivoire. The waste ends up in open dumps and causes a health emergency that leaves 15 people dead and ten thousands injured. Although it was obvious that the wastes would not be properly disposed of, the toxic wastes were brought there to keep costs low.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ranger Uranium Mine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ranger uranium mine in the middle of Kakadu National Park has seen its share of leaks and other incidents. In 2004, process water containing uranium was mistakenly hooked up to the drinking water supply. There are indications for an increased incidence of cancer among the local population.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ivory Coast | Oil & Gas | Trafigura | Trafigura was both the client and the producer of the waste. | strong | none or weak |
| Australia | Mining | Energy Resources of Australia | Company’s own project, 68.4% of ERA belongs to Rio Tinto | none or weak | medium |

