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How we apply environmental, social and governance (ESG) criteria across our investments.
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Foreword

As a global financial institution, we have an important role to play to have a positive impact on society and the environment. It is our belief, that it is possible while also generating market-rate or higher returns. We believe that integrating ESG into investment analysis can help make for better-informed investment decisions, regardless of an investor's motivation to achieve impact.

Our different client segments are seeking ways to combine the achievement of financial returns with the generation of a positive social and/or environmental impact. This is why our Sustainable Investment Framework focuses on the application of ESG criteria and creating transparency for clients utilizing several approaches to sustainable investing strategies: exclusion; integration; thematic; and impact.

We believe that each of these approaches adds value in its own right, and offers a method for investors to meet their investment goals.

This framework summarizes our approach on sustainable investing while bringing transparency to this ever-evolving space. To deliver a high quality sustainable offering for our clients, our standards are regularly reviewed and adjusted as further data and insight becomes available.

"It is our belief, that it is possible to have a positive impact on society and the environment, while generating market-rate or higher returns."

Emma Crystal
Chief Sustainability Officer,
Credit Suisse
Executive summary

The growing need to combine prosperity with environmental, social and governance (ESG) considerations has already started transforming patterns of consumption, the political and regulatory landscape for businesses and the world of investing.

Businesses across all sectors are seeking to create more sustainable business models that address the risks and leverage the potential of this transformation.

Credit Suisse has a long-standing commitment to sustainable and impact investing. In 2002, we co-founded one of the leading microfinance asset managers worldwide. At Credit Suisse, we strive to create and facilitate investment products and services that generate environmental and social benefits as well as financial returns for our clients.

Our emphasis on sustainable investing is rooted in the belief that the successful integration of ESG information in financial research and analysis can reduce investment risks and lead to improved investment outcomes over time. Sustainable investing is simply smart investing.

Our policies and frameworks reflect the central role that ESG considerations play across all stages of the investment process, from exclusionary screens to high conviction impact investments.

Our updated Framework outlines four primary approaches to sustainable investing:

- **Exclusion**: Approaches that assess whether positions are significantly involved in controversial business fields or incidents.
- **Integration**: Approaches that assess whether positions integrate environmental, social, and governance into their strategy.
- **Thematic**: Approaches that assess whether positions are aligned with specific United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.
- **Impact**: Approaches that assess whether positions are explicitly and intentionally contributing towards specific United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

We believe that each of these approaches adds value in its own right and may be suitable for different types of investors with different types of investment goals.

Our Framework focuses on how we apply ESG criteria and create transparency for our clients.

Our goal in creating the Credit Suisse Sustainable Investment Framework was not to prescribe our values for our clients or the industry. Our aim is to “say what we do and do what we say.” This is why our Framework does not simply focus on how we apply ESG criteria across our exclusions, integration, thematic and impact investment portfolios, but also on how we create transparency for our clients through classification.
Our approach

From the exclusion of companies accused of violating international norms, to investing in companies at the cutting edge of technological breakthroughs that could one-day solve humanity’s most pressing challenges, sustainable investing means different things to different people.

According to the Institute of International Finance, there are over 80 different terms used to describe approaches to sustainable investing. Despite this complexity, we see the industry coalescing around four primary approaches:

- **Exclusions**: The primary purpose of these strategies is to provide clients with investments that do not cause harm or align with their values. This means excluding firms or sectors that produce controversial products such as tobacco, thermal coal, or weapons manufacturing, or excluding companies that violate international norms.

- **Integration**: These strategies integrate material ESG factors into investment processes for the purpose of delivering superior risk-adjusted returns. Catalyzed by the launch of the UN Principles for Responsible Investment (UN PRI) in 2006, ESG integration focuses on how risk and opportunity around environmental issues, human rights, corporate governance and other issues can be material to the financial prospects of companies. It is applied most explicitly in active management, where ESG issues become part of the fundamental analysis of a company.

- **Thematic**: These strategies are aligned with specific United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. In recent decades, sectors such as education, healthcare and clean energy have grown strongly, and fund managers have set up funds to invest in these companies.

- **Impact**: These strategies contribute explicitly and intentionally towards specific United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. A key element of impact investing for Credit Suisse is investor contribution or “additionality”. This is the idea that the investment into the company, or the value add the investor can bring to the company, generates more impact than would be the case had they chosen not to invest.

Credit Suisse’s Sustainable Investment Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
<th>Integration</th>
<th>Thematic</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Philanthropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positions that are...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeting competitive financial returns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considering environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuing environmental, social and governance (ESG) opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasizing social and environmental goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Certain market definitions of Impact include a concessionary return sub-segment.

**Notes:**
- The SIF classification does not supersede any regulatory commitment, nor does the SIF classification determine or indicate whether or not an investment solution will be labelled as “sustainable” (or other such term) under any given regulatory regime.
- In reporting sent to Credit Suisse clients synonymous terminology may be used. “Exclusion” is synonymously referred to as “Avoid Harm”; “Integration” as “ESG Aware”, “Thematic” as “Sustainable Thematic” and “Impact” as “Impact Investing.”

---


"The primary purpose of these strategies is to provide our clients with investments that do not cause harm or align with their values."
Exclusions

For the majority of clients, the goal of integrating ESG factors in the investment decision-making process is not to limit the investment universe, but to expand the scope of information considered.

Yet a growing subset of clients – particularly those that express a strong interest in sustainable investing – wish to align their investments with their values. This means limiting exposure to controversial business activities such as thermal coal or tobacco.

We consider three categories of exclusions: norms-, business conduct-, and values-based exclusions. Exposure to controversial business activities can present a material risk to investment portfolios.

Norms-based
Some weapons cause disproportionate harm and remain a threat long after a conflict has been resolved. We categorically exclude firms with business activities in controversial weapons from the investments classified according to the Sustainable Investment Framework. This includes weapons prohibited according to international treaties, such as the Convention on Cluster Munitions, the Chemical Weapons Convention, the Biological Weapons Convention, and the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. We also exclude weapons that cause excessive harm to both military and civilian targets from investments classified according to the Sustainable Investment Framework.

3. For third-party funds, Credit Suisse will determine, on a case-by-case basis, if an exclusion approach and process is followed, which is comparable to the exclusions applicable for Credit Suisse products.

Evaluation criteria

- Severity of the alleged violation(s) and (potential) consequences
- Extent to which the company was responsible for, or contributed to, the alleged violation
- Extent to which the company acted outside the norms of its industry
- Evidence that the company systematically engages in controversial behavior
- Measure taken by the company to remedy the damage, including timely response from management
- Measure taken by the company to prevent future violations
- Extent to which the company’s behavior violated national laws or international norms
- Transparency and extent to which company acknowledged the incident

Business-conduct
We expect companies to meet their fundamental obligations in line with the UN Global Compact Principles. This includes respecting universal human rights and labor standards, practicing environmental responsibility, and avoiding corruption in all its forms, including extortion and bribery.

To identify companies in breach of these principles, we rely on the controversy research of market-leading ESG data providers, which we combine with in-house expertise. Companies found to (1) systematically violate international norms, (2) where the breaches are particularly severe, or (3) where management is not implementing the necessary reforms, may be excluded from Credit Suisse investment products classified under Sustainable Investment Framework.

We view exclusion as a last resort. Instead, we aim to have a greater impact by engaging with the companies we invest in to prevent future breaches (see Active ownership on page 26). Companies that are willing and able to take action may be subject to a period of prolonged engagement with Credit Suisse Asset Management, and together with company management agree on targets and timelines for improvement. In addition to improving outcomes for people and planet, successful engagement can lead to long-term improvements in company value, creating an additional benefit for our clients.
Exclusions

Values-based

Most sustainable investment strategies at Credit Suisse exclude firms that derive a significant portion of their revenue from the following business activities: 4 conventional weapons and firearms, tobacco, adult entertainment and gambling. For thermal coal power generation and mining, a revenue threshold of 20% is applied. Over time, this threshold will be reduced to reflect an ongoing transition to a low carbon economy, thus the revenue threshold for thermal coal power generation and mining will be set at 15% by 2026 and at 5% by 2030. From April 1, 2023, we also apply a restriction on companies active in arctic oil and gas with a 5% revenue threshold as well as an oil sands restriction with a 10% revenue threshold.

Integration

ESG Risks and Opportunities

ESG is a term used to describe a group of risks and opportunities – environmental (E), social (S) and governance (G) – and selected underlying metrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment (E)</th>
<th>Social (S)</th>
<th>Governance (G)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity and land use</td>
<td>Human capital</td>
<td>Board diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water resource management</td>
<td>Supply chain labor standards</td>
<td>Executive pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw material sourcing</td>
<td>Health and safety risks</td>
<td>Ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change</td>
<td>Privacy and data protection</td>
<td>Accounting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution &amp; Waste</td>
<td>Demographic risks</td>
<td>Bribery &amp; Corruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder management</td>
<td>Tax transparency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access to goods and services</td>
<td>Competition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While ESG risks and opportunities are sometimes referred to as “non-” or “extra-financial”, in reality, they are anything but. Companies that neglect sustainability risks for example may be subject to government fines, lawsuits or other legal and regulatory penalties. Likewise, companies that depend on unpriced natural capital assets such as a stable climate, clean air, or the availability of groundwater (often referred to as externalities), may face shocks to their production processes – either directly or via their supply chains – when these rising costs are internalized. Poor ESG management can also damage a company’s reputation, affecting a company’s ability to attract and retain talent, win customers, or gain access to financing.

Conversely, effective ESG management can be an opportunity for companies, and by extension investors. Companies that are able to mitigate the above risks may be more likely to outperform their peers in the long-term. They may for example benefit from an improved reputation, leading to a more qualified and motivated workforce or better brand loyalty. Likewise, companies that are good stewards of natural capital may realize cost savings through product innovation and resource efficiency. This in turn might make them less susceptible to exogenous shocks and more likely to benefit from long-term sustainability trends.

For investors, the value of ESG integration is well documented in research. A 2015 meta-study by Deutsche Bank and the University of Hamburg examined the entire universe of academic studies published on the subject dating back to the 1970s. Over 60% of studies reviewed in the meta-study found that ESG had a positive effect on corporate financial performance, compared to just 10% of studies reviewed indicating the opposite. 5 Importantly, the studies that point to a positive correlation between ESG factors and financial performance tend to focus on financially material factors - in other words, those ESG factors that are most likely to affect a company’s bottom line. Another study by Harvard University found that while investments in material ESG issues can be value enhancing for investors, investing in immaterial ESG issues had little to no impact on returns. 6 See Making sense of the numbers on page 16 for more information on how we assess materiality.

4. Significant portion is defined as >5% direct and/or >20% indirect revenue exposure. The exception to this rule is adult entertainment and gambling, where a revenue threshold of 5% is applied even for indirect exposure.

Integration

We believe that the integration of material ESG factors in financial analysis and investment decision making can reduce risks and lead to improved investment outcomes over time. To capture these opportunities effectively, ESG considerations should be integrated into investment research and analysis.

Integration in active equity and fixed income
For active equity and corporate fixed income strategies, we aim to integrate material ESG factors across the investment process – from research and security valuation through to portfolio construction and monitoring. Sector analysts, portfolio managers and ESG experts work together to identify industry-specific sustainability factors that are expected to be most likely to affect business value in the short- and long-term. A materiality matrix captures the results (see Making sense of the numbers on page 16). As with traditional financial research, we do not rely solely on the input of third-party data providers. Instead, our ESG experts draw on a plethora of ESG and financial data sources, as well as information gathered from conversations with company management and other industry experts, to inform their analysis.

Views on materiality may differ depending on the investment horizon and asset class considered. We are training our investment teams to interpret ESG research as it applies to their specific asset class and investment strategy.

Integration in passive investment strategies
The extent to which ESG considerations are taken into account in passive investment strategies, and the methodology applied, depends on the index tracked. ESG indices either exclude companies based on their involvement in controversial business activities (values-based exclusions approach) or select companies that outperform on ESG issues relative to their peers (best-in-class approach). A small but growing number of indices re-weigh constituents based on ESG factors, which allows more flexibility in terms of control of other factors such as industry, region or size exposure. Finally, rules-based portfolio construction combines ESG indicators or scores with financial risk factors, in order to shape passive portfolios in line with specific investment objectives.

We also apply a systematic approach to select, design and classify sustainable passive strategies. This means careful due diligence of the policies and strategies for the underlying indices and the approach for integrating ESG data in the construction rules.

Assessment of third-party fund managers
Credit Suisse aims to offer the most suitable investment solution to clients, whether internally or externally managed. As with the majority of passive investment strategies, we do not directly control the investment process of third-party fund managers. Instead, a separate ESG questionnaire incorporates ESG questions together with the traditional due diligence process conducted for new funds on our advisory shelf. ESG criteria are therefore considered alongside more traditional metrics including performance track record, fund strategy, and investment teams to form a more holistic view of a manager’s capabilities. Fund analysts are responsible for interpreting the results, which are transparently communicated to clients as part of the fund classification system for private banking clients (see The client journey on page 22).

Integration in sustainable real estate
The ESG strategy of the Credit Suisse real estate offering consists of a targeted reduction of climate-damaging CO2 emissions and other pollutants. This includes a focus on renewable energy and energy efficiency, as well as improvements in waste and water efficiency. ESG considerations are integrated across the entire value chain, from the planning and development of property construction projects or the acquisition of existing properties, to operational management and renovations or demolitions. Stakeholder engagement and the integration of ESG factors into risk management contribute to a comprehensive ESG strategy.

Our proprietary methodology and sustainability label, the Greenproperty Quality Seal, evaluates the sustainability aspects of real estate investments. Further market standards and sustainability labels and certificates (e.g. LEED, BREEAM, etc.) also help to assess the sustainability quality of a property. The building optimization program aims to reduce CO2 emissions and to improve the energy efficiency of buildings with selected short- and long-term building optimization measures applied across the real estate life cycle. To evaluate the ESG performance of properties and real estate portfolios, we participate annually in ESG benchmarks such as the Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB).

As with traditional financial research, we do not rely solely on the input of third-party data providers.

Footnote:
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Integration

Making sense of the numbers: ESG data and Credit Suisse Materiality Framework

A common concern among investment practitioners new to the ESG space is that different ESG data providers may rate the same company very differently.

ESG scores are subjective. The underlying data on which these scores are based on is not. Metrics such as a company’s operational carbon footprint or the number of women on the Board are often publicly available and not subject to debate. Where ESG data providers differ is in how they interpret these numbers.

We take into account numerous data sources to inform our view. One provider may have exceptional data on corporate governance, but lack comprehensive coverage of human rights risks; our in-house experts may have additional information about a company that data providers missed, or be more intimately familiar with the products and services a company offers. The goal at this stage in the research process is to be as accurate and comprehensive as possible in coverage. This means taking into account multiple data providers and hundreds of different data metrics.

Yet all of this data is meaningless in the absence of a Framework to make sense of it. This is where the concept of materiality comes in. Credit Suisse’s integrated strategies focus on factors that we believe are financially material to a company – those most likely to affect the bottom line. This depends, to a significant extent, on the industry; data security and anti-competitive practices may have a significant impact on the long-term value of a company operating in the IT space for example, while forced labor risks or biodiversity concerns may be more relevant for a company operating in the seafood industry.

Credit Suisse considers different sources as a proxy for understanding industry-specific risks and opportunities. These sources might include: the overall sentiment on the industry contribution to the problems and stakeholder scrutiny on the issue as a proxy of the reputational risks that the industry may face; how ESG topics relate to macro trends such as demographic trends, product demand scenarios, or shifts in consumer preferences (e.g., increasing preference for green vehicles reducing demand for fossil fuels); and related regulatory or technological developments that may impact a business.

It is also important to consider the opportunity: Credit Suisse experts identify which products and services could offer solutions to sustainable development challenges, representing a source of differentiation and growth for a company (e.g., energy storage solutions for utilities). Opportunities may also arise from process-related innovations and/or effective management of material issues (for example, supply chain best practices, efficient use of resources and/or strong employee health and safety track record may help a company reduce costs and foster growth).

Finally, Credit Suisse assesses with which magnitude, likelihood and timeframe those risks and opportunity factors may materialize— and what this might mean in terms of margins, risk profile or growth. Based on these considerations, each material ESG topics is categorized in a qualitative scale (from low to very high materiality).

Example materiality matrix for energy industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pillar</th>
<th>Key ESG topic</th>
<th>Materiality</th>
<th>Horizon of business impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Both short and long-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Pollution and Waste</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Both short and long-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Human Capital</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Long-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Long-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Business Ethics</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Long-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Corporate Governance*</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Both short and long-term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Corporate Governance is considered highly material for all industries.

Exposure to ESG opportunity themes: Sustainable Energy

Credit Suisse considers different sources as a proxy for understanding industry-specific risks and opportunities. These sources might include: the overall sentiment on the industry contribution to the problems and stakeholder scrutiny on the issue as a proxy of the reputational risks that the industry may face; how ESG topics relate to macro trends such as demographic trends, product demand scenarios, or shifts in consumer preferences (e.g., increasing preference for green vehicles reducing demand for fossil fuels); and related regulatory or technological developments that may impact a business.

It is also important to consider the opportunity: Credit Suisse experts identify which products and services could offer solutions to sustainable development challenges, representing a source of differentiation and growth for a company (e.g., energy storage solutions for utilities). Opportunities may also arise from process-related innovations and/or effective management of material issues (for example, supply chain best practices, efficient use of resources and/or strong employee health and safety track record may help a company reduce costs and foster growth).

Finally, Credit Suisse assesses with which magnitude, likelihood and timeframe those risks and opportunity factors may materialize— and what this might mean in terms of margins, risk profile or growth. Based on these considerations, each material ESG topics is categorized in a qualitative scale (from low to very high materiality).
In 2015, the global community, represented by all 193 member states of the United Nations, agreed on a set of 17 goals to “end poverty, protect the planet and ensure prosperity for all by 2030”. Collectively, these goals, and their 169 underlying targets, are referred to as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Mobilizing private capital at scale will be essential for achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. According to the UN, the world is facing a USD 2.5 trillion annual funding gap in developing countries alone. For investors such as Credit Suisse, the SDGs serve not only as a call to action, but also as a useful framework for articulating how our portfolios contribute towards solving global challenges.

In 2015, the global community, represented by all 193 member states of the United Nations, agreed on a set of 17 goals to “end poverty, protect the planet and ensure prosperity for all by 2030”. Collectively, these goals, and their 169 underlying targets, are referred to as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Mobilizing private capital at scale will be essential for achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. According to the UN, the world is facing a USD 2.5 trillion annual funding gap in developing countries alone. For investors such as Credit Suisse, the SDGs serve not only as a call to action, but also as a useful framework for articulating how our portfolios contribute towards solving global challenges.

Thematic

Thematic strategies at Credit Suisse focus on investments in themes and sectors with economic activities that address specific sustainability challenges. Typically, this means investing in companies or strategies that address one or more of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). In addition to steering the economy in a more sustainable direction, we believe that addressing global sustainability challenges presents a clear economic opportunity with the potential to generate alpha for the long term.

Similar to our integrated products, our in-house thematic funds follow a robust and systematic process, beginning with a strong focus on fundamental analysis. As with traditional financial analysis, the construction of the thematic universe is based on a company’s fair and intrinsic value. In addition to traditional financial indicators, analysts identify companies with a high potential for outperformance based on long-term sustainability trends. Where practicable, we aim to invest in “pure-play companies” that derive at least 50% of their revenues from a specific theme.

Exceptions to this rule may be made however if:

1. The theme relates to how a company is governed, and not its products or services (for example, a fund that invests in gender and diversity leaders).

2. Companies that are in the process of transition, and where investing early in this transition has the greatest potential to generate alpha (examples might include a company that derives 30% of its revenue from a sustainable technology, but has made a strategic commitment to grow this business line).

3. The universe of applicable companies is too small to build a portfolio of high conviction stocks, but we expect to see more growth in the future.

In addition to the above, we seek to exclude companies in our thematic universe when their behavior may be considered harmful to human beings and the environment. Examples might include companies engaged in the forced-displacement of communities, exceptionally unjust labor practices, etc. At a minimum, all in-house managed thematic funds must follow guidelines for norms-, values-, and business-conduct based exclusions.

For externally-managed thematic funds, we seek to ensure, through a thorough due diligence and fund selection process, that the above criteria are met in full. Where external managers do not meet our rigorous quality standards, these funds will not be recommended as thematic investments under the Sustainable Investment Framework (see The client journey on page 22).

“Similar to our ESG-integrated products, our in-house thematic funds follow a robust and systematic process.”

Impact investing

Impact investments are "investments made with the intention to generate positive, measurable social and environmental impact alongside a financial return". Key factors that set impact investments apart from other sustainable investment practices include:

- the intentionality of social and environmental impact;
- the strategy to reach such impact;
- the investor’s contribution to the impact;
- the measurement of the impact; and
- the transparent reporting of the impact.

We believe that investor contribution is key to achieving a measurable, positive impact on people and planet. This Framework therefore differentiates between two levels of impact – Company Impact (level I) and Investor Impact (level II) – setting the Framework apart from many others in the market, which do not clearly differentiate between thematic and impact investments.

Impact

Company impact

Company level impact refers to the impact achieved by the underlying company or project. Similar to thematic solutions, impact investments at Credit Suisse seek to invest in companies or projects that address one or more of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Because impact investments are typically direct investments in unlisted companies, we are able to go deeper in our analysis. For internally-managed impact investments, we apply the Impact Management Project (IMP) framework to evaluate the likely impact of a company across five key dimensions:

- **What** - How does the company expect to generate positive outcomes for people and the planet? How relevant are the targeted SDGs in a geography or sector, and are they important priorities? In a country with water scarcity, for example, a company offering innovative solutions to save water is highly relevant to where it operates.

- **Who** - Who will benefit from the positive outcome? How underserved are they in relation to the product or service offered?

- **How much** - What is the magnitude of the expected SDG-aligned outcomes, including the potential scale, depth and duration of the expected impact?

- **Contribution** - Do the company’s efforts lead to better outcomes than without its participation in that market? Contribution to the SDGs and a positive impact measures the additionality that we can attribute to the company’s activities.

- **Risk** - What can go wrong? What could be unintended negative effects of delivering the expected SDG contribution? For example, what is the risk of not meeting impact targets or other potential downside ESG risks or externalities?

Investor impact

Once the company impact is established, we then examine the extent to which our investment in that company (be it direct or through a fund manager) can contribute to the impact achieved. We recognize two main mechanisms of investor impact:

- **Capital allocation**: Investors create impact through directly financing the growth of impactful companies. They can also create impact through financing the upgrading or improvement of a company (from a sustainability or impact perspective).

- **Active ownership**: Investors can also create impact during the investment period through active ownership. This might include adding value through participating on boards, offering technical assistance and strategic advice, providing access to networks, assisting with fundraising, and generally helping a company to enhance its sustainability or impact performance.

More information about our approach to impact investing is in the Credit Suisse Impact Investment Framework. As a signatory of the International Finance Corporation’s (IFC) Operating Principles for Impact Management, we also publish an annual disclosure statement that is independently verified and available on the IFC website.
The client journey

At Credit Suisse, our clients are at the center of everything that we do. Understanding each client’s unique perspective is our priority, to ensure investment strategies are tailored to their specific needs, wishes and circumstances.

Sustainable investing is part of this journey. We aim to integrate sustainability preferences into our clients’ advisory process.

The process starts with dialogue.

Our approach is based on asking clients about their motivation for investing sustainably. These insights enable the identification of relevant investment approaches that can best achieve financial and sustainable objectives. Motivations for investing sustainably are varied and complex, but we have identified four main motivations for investing sustainably:

- Clients who wish to avoid investments in controversial business activities or in companies that violate international norms and standards.
- Clients who wish to integrate ESG considerations, with the goal of mitigating risks or identifying ESG opportunities. Increasingly, institutional investors may also view ESG integration as a fiduciary duty.
- Clients who wish to align their investments with specific United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.
- Clients who wish to invest in companies or projects that have a positive impact on people and/or planet and which address one or more of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

The majority of clients do not have a singular motivation for investing sustainably and may combine all three approaches in a single portfolio.

Our relationship managers are trained to balance sustainability preferences against risk, return and other suitability criteria in order to find the investment solution that most appropriately matches clients’ goals.

“Our approach is based on asking clients about their motivation for investing sustainably.”
The Sustainability Classification Committee is responsible for classifying the Credit Suisse advisory shelf. For internally-managed collective investments, the Classification Committee assesses the extent to which our Sustainable Investment Framework has been upheld. The Committee also serves as an additional sounding board and quality check for internally managed funds aiming to be classified according to the Sustainable Investment Framework.

For externally-managed collective investments, we classify funds according to the ESG policies and strategies applied by external managers. This is assessed as part of our due diligence process (see Integration on page 13 for more information). Where external managers do not meet Credit Suisse’s rigorous quality standards for a given approach, these funds’ classification according to our Sustainable Investment Framework may differ considerably from the self-reported approach a manager claims to apply.

“The Classification Committee assesses the extent to which the Credit Suisse Sustainable Investment Framework has been upheld.”
Active ownership

Exercising our rights as shareholders is one of our most powerful tools. The hallmark of a successful active ownership strategy is partnership. Through voting and engagement, we seek to bring about positive change in the companies in which we invest.

Voting

Credit Suisse Asset Management participates in the general shareholder meetings of stock corporations in which it holds a position and examines the most important voting matters in an effort to ensure that business models and practices are geared toward sustainability.

The voting instructions of Credit Suisse Asset Management are based on its proxy which, together with regional sub-policies consider best practice, including the International Corporate Governance Network’s (ICGN) Global Governance Principles and Global Stewardship Principles, to which Credit Suisse Asset Management is a signatory. Having a proprietary proxy voting policy allows us to update our expectations of companies across a range of material ESG topics on a regular basis. A third party proxy advisor supports this process, which issues voting recommendations on our behalf, based on regional voting policies.

Experienced ESG professionals at Credit Suisse Asset Management are responsible for the content of regional voting policies, which are refined in collaboration with fund management companies and Credit Suisse Asset Management’s specialized investment boutiques. Deviations from the default proxy voting policy are permitted if our research comes to deviating conclusions. Such cases are escalated to the Credit Suisse Asset Management Proxy Voting Committee for a final decision.

Voting and engagement are complementary tools to bring about positive change. Where practicable, we seek to engage with company management and boards around voting issues. This ensures that votes are well informed, and that our rationale is well understood by company management teams and boards.

Engagement provides companies welcome insights into investor expectations. This can reduce companies’ vulnerabilities to exogenous shocks, which may result in a material competitive advantage over peers. For Credit Suisse and our clients, active ownership should increase the value of the investee companies and ultimately improve the owner should increase the value of the portfolio. In addition, by accelerating the transition to a more sustainable economy, active ownership can create tangible benefits for people and planet.

We conduct engagements along four categories:

1. Thematic engagements

In the context of thematic engagement, Credit Suisse Asset Management focuses on structural issues, defined annually for the three ESG areas: environmental, social, and corporate governance. Constructive dialogue on these matters helps companies to advance their ESG-related activities, which in turn enhances their competitiveness.

2. Individual engagements

If there are serious ESG-related concerns about a company in which an investment fund managed by Credit Suisse Asset Management is a significant shareholder, they are reviewed promptly. Open exchange between chairpersons/board members and the investors may now include “soundings”- discussions of “what if” scenarios and the outcomes needed to support them as an investor. Where violations of ESG norms are particularly severe, and where companies are unwilling or unable to take action or engage, these companies may be excluded from investments classified according to the Sustainable Investment Framework.

3. Engagement in relation to proxy voting

Following the publication of a company’s annual report and the agenda for its general shareholder meeting, new ESG-related concerns may arise regarding corporate governance and the compensation system, which may require us to take action. This engagement is balanced between positions in actively managed and index funds, concentrating on larger holdings.

Credit Suisse Asset Management regularly meets representatives of large companies, primarily the chairperson of the board or the head of the compensation committee, to present analysis and corresponding proxy voting in a transparent manner. Our specialist investment teams meet regularly with representatives of senior management, accompanied by members of the ESG team where necessary.

4. Public policy engagement

Our objective is to continue our advocacy efforts in the public policy-making process by actively contributing to relevant policy discussions. Through our engagement activities, we strive to be involved in an open, transparent dialogue with policymakers, regulators and legislators to encourage the development of conducive framework conditions. We engage with regional and local governments and industry and sector groups in order to shape policies that have an impact on our business and stakeholders.

Engagement typically runs over several years, during which time Credit Suisse Asset Management sets clear and specific objectives with company management. These objectives are reviewed regularly, until they are either completed or deemed irrelevant. Credit Suisse may also pool engagement efforts with other investors through collaborative engagement initiatives (see Collective leadership on page 28).
We believe the most effective way to foster long-term change for sustainability is through collective action with other industry players, allowing us to pool resources, share information, enhance influence and leverage engagement efforts.

We support industry initiatives and engage with stakeholders and policy makers on key sustainability topics. We are members and signatories of several of sustainability-related initiatives, including:

**Climate Action 100**: Credit Suisse Asset Management is a member of the Climate Action 100+ plan, an industry-wide investor initiative committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and fighting climate change. By teaming up with a group of investors with more than USD 40 trn in assets under management, we aim to leverage our impact for the topic.

**Climate Bonds Initiative (CBI)**: The Climate Bond Initiative is an international not-for-profit organization with the goal to mobilize global capital for climate action through market intelligence, their Climate Bond Standard and certification scheme, and providing policy models and advice. Its strategy is to develop a large and liquid green and climate bonds market that will help drive down the cost of capital for climate projects in developed and emerging markets; to grow aggregation mechanisms for fragmented sectors; and to support governments seeking to tap debt capital markets. In September 2020, Credit Suisse and the CBI published a white paper titled “Financing Credible Transitions” presenting a framework for identifying credible transitions aligned with the Paris Agreement to facilitate, accelerate and support corporate climate transition pathways through the bond markets.

**Energy Transitions Commission (ETC)**: The Energy Transitions Commission (ETC) is a global coalition of leaders from across the energy landscape working together to accelerate the transition to a zero-emissions future. The ETC develops transition roadmaps and tools building on robust analysis and extensive exchanges with experts and practitioners across energy-intensive value chains. This work is undertaken with a range of partners, industry associations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and experts.

**Equator Principles**: The Equator Principles (EP) are a leading voluntary financial industry benchmark for determining, assessing and managing environmental and social risk for specific types of finance for industrial and infrastructure projects. Credit Suisse was one of the first banks to sign up to the EP in 2003. Our Sustainability Risk team provides global coverage to business units on EP transactions as well as other transactions that entail potential sustainability risks.

**FAIRR**: Established by the Jeremy Coller Foundation, the FAIRR Initiative is a collaborative investor network that raises awareness of the ESG risks and opportunities caused by animal-based supply chains.

**Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)**: Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) is a non-profit organization dedicated to increasing the scale and effectiveness of impact investing around the world. We have been a member of GIIN’s Investors’ Council since 2013, which focuses on reducing barriers to impact investment so more investors can allocate capital to fund solutions to the world’s greatest challenges. It does this through focused leadership and collective action; convening impact investors to facilitate knowledge exchange, highlighting innovative investment approaches, building the evidence base for the industry, and producing tools and resources.
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In 2014 and endorsed by Credit Suisse in the same year. The Principles encourage transparency, disclosure and integrity in the development of the green bond market and suggest a process for designating, disclosing, managing and reporting on the proceeds of a green bond.

International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN): Credit Suisse is a member of the International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN), which aims to promote effective standards of corporate governance and investor stewardship to advance efficient markets and sustainable economies worldwide. As a member, we champion the long-term benefits of good governance and strive to make this an integral part of our approach to business and investment. Policy positions are guided by the ICGN Global Governance Principles and Global Stewardship Principles.

International Finance Corporation’s (IFC) Operating Principles for Impact Management: Due to the lack of a common standard defining impact outcomes in the area of impact investing, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) introduced the Operating Principles for Impact Management in 2019. The IFC Operating Principles for Impact Management establish a framework for impact investing and focus on ensuring the purposeful integration of impact considerations throughout the investment lifecycle. We believe that transparency and a common understanding of standards in impact investing are crucial to developing this market, and we decided to be a part of this initiative as a founding signatory.

Öbu: Comprising around 300 Swiss companies as well as other organizations and institutions, Öbu supports its members in using sustainability management as a strategic instrument for business and organizational development.

Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil: The Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) is the leading certification standard for palm oil and promotes the cultivation and use of sustainable oil palm products through global standards and the engagement of stakeholders across the supply chain. Over 4,500 members support the objectives of the RSPO, representing all parts of the palm oil supply chain, from growers and traders to retailers and NGOs. Credit Suisse has been a member of the RSPO since 2010.

Poseidon Principles: Credit Suisse is a signatory of the Poseidon Principles. In June 2018, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) announced the adoption of an initial strategy to reduce total greenhouse gas emissions attributable to the international shipping sector by at least 50% by 2050. The Poseidon Principles is a voluntary framework for financial institutions to assess the alignment of shipping portfolios with an emissions trajectory that is consistent with meeting the IMO’s 2050 goal.

Swiss Sustainable Finance (SSF): Swiss Sustainable Finance aims to strengthen the position of Switzerland in the global marketplace for sustainable finance by informing, educating and catalyzing growth. It shapes and informs about best practice in sustainable finance. By creating supportive frameworks and tools, SSF supports its members and network partners including financial service providers such as Credit Suisse, as well as investors, universities and business schools, public-sector entities and other interested organizations, in order for the Swiss financial center to achieve a leading position in sustainable finance.

Sustainable Finance Geneva (SFG): Sustainable Finance Geneva (SFG) is a non-profit organization dedicated to promoting sustainable finance, comprising individual members and institutional partners, including Credit Suisse. These partnerships aim to reflect the diversity of the city of Geneva and its efforts to make sustainable finance the “new normal”. SFG’s mission is to unite, promote and encourage synergies across Geneva as one of the world’s leading sustainable finance centers.

Credit Suisse is an advisor to The Ocean Panel, an initiative of 14 serving world leaders.
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Task Force on Nature-Related Financial Disclosures (TNFD): TNFD aims to build awareness and capacity to enable the financial sector to address market failures contributing to the destruction of nature, and redirect the flow of global finance towards economic activities and business practices with little or no impact on nature – or which are nature positive. It also aims to help financial institutions and companies identify and address nature-related dependency and impact risks through developing enhanced disclosure and reporting frameworks. Credit Suisse is part of the TNFD Forum, a global multi-disciplinary consultative group of institutions.

UNEP Finance Initiative: The Finance Initiative of the UN Environment Programme (UNEP FI) is a global partnership of more than 300 banks, insurers and investors with the aim of promoting a sustainable approach to business within the financial sector. Credit Suisse was one of the first signatories of the UNEP Statement of Commitment by Financial Institutions (FI) on Sustainable Development in 1992. In the UNEP Statement by Financial Institutions the signatories recognize the interaction between the economy, society and the environment, and commit to sustainable development.

UNEP Principles for Responsible Banking (PRB): The Principles for Responsible Banking (PRB) were developed by 30 global banks, in partnership with UNEP. The UNEP PRB call for the alignment of the banking sector with the objectives of the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the Paris Agreement. They represent a comprehensive framework for the integration of sustainability across all areas of banking. In 2019, Credit Suisse became a founding signatory to the Principles. Signatory banks make a commitment to align their business strategy to contribute to individual’s needs and society’s goals, and to set targets designed to increase the positive impacts from their activities, products and services on an ongoing basis.

UN Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI): In 2014, Credit Suisse signed up to the UN’s Principles for Responsible Investment; a voluntary, investor-led framework that helps signatories to better understand the implications of sustainability for their business activities and incorporate ESG information into investment decisions. As a signatory to the PRI, we emphasize our commitment to acting in the best long-term interest of our clients by incorporating ESG criteria into our investment process and decisions.

UN Global Compact: The UN Global Compact is a leading corporate sustainability initiative, which supports companies in aligning their strategies and operations with its Ten Principles relating to human rights, labor standards, environmental protection and anti-corruption efforts as well as in taking actions to advance broader societal goals, such as the Sustainable Development Goals. Over 10,000 businesses from around 160 countries have pledged to uphold the Ten Principles. In 2000, Credit Suisse was one of the first companies to sign up to the UN Global Compact and annually communicates on its progress in implementing the Ten Principles. We actively participate in the Global Compact Network Switzerland.

The Wolfsberg Group: In furtherance of Credit Suisse’s commitment to comply with all laws, rules and regulations applicable to its businesses in the fight against money laundering and the financing of terrorism, Credit Suisse is one of the founding members of the Wolfsberg Group. The Wolfsberg Group is an association of 13 global banks that aims to develop frameworks and guidance for the management of financial crime risks, particularly with respect to Know Your Customer, Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorist Financing policies.

We were a founding signatory to the Principles for Responsible Banking - a comprehensive framework for the integration of sustainability across all areas of banking.

World Economic Forum (WEF) Humanitarian Aid Innovative Finance Working Group: Together with the International Committee of the Red Cross, the World Bank Group and the Dutch government, Credit Suisse co-chairs the World Economic Forum’s Humanitarian Investing Initiative. The initiative brings together corporates and investors with humanitarian and development actors to work together on new approaches to tackling long-term challenges of fragility, protracted crisis and forced displacement. In our role as co-chair of the initiative, we lead the working group on innovative finance.