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Introduction

Climate change is not just an environmental problem. It is a disruptive global development concretely impacting the lives of people, especially of the poorest people in developing countries. Their harvests are lost, their homes flooded, their sources of income depleted. The use of fossil fuels for electricity and other energy needs is largely to blame, as this represents the single biggest source of greenhouse gas emissions globally. Based on current trends, the world will be in the best case 3°C - and in the worst case even 4 to 6°C - hotter by the end of the century. Such temperatures will cause untold human devastation and exacerbate poverty and hunger, firstly in developing countries.

Despite some steps in the right direction to tackle climate change by governments and the private sector, much more is needed to make the transition that is needed. Not only governments should act, but private sector actors such as financial institutions should take their own responsibility as well. With their loans and investments, banks are major distributors of capital. By making responsible lending and investment choices, they could play a major role in accelerating the phase out of fossil fuels and the further development of renewable energy generation.

The urgency for governments and private sector actors to act on climate change, became particularly clear around the COP21 climate summit in December 2015 in Paris when the Paris Agreement on climate change, was agreed by more than 190 countries. Just before this summit, Fair Finance Guide International (FFGI) published Undermining our Future, a study analysing the trends in financing renewable energy and fossil fuels by financial institutions assessed by the FFGI. Around COP21 many financial institutions expressed their commitments to mitigate their impact on climate change, and to fulfil their role in achieving the global goal of keeping global warming below 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels.

Against this backdrop, and in preparation for the COP24 climate summit in Katowice (Poland) in December 2018, this report examines the changes since COP21 in the lending and investment patterns of financial institutions when it comes to renewable energy and fossil fuels. Together with the Fair Finance Guide coalitions in Sweden and France, the Dutch Fair Finance Guide has initiated this research project. It aims to quantify in which energy sources the main financial institutions from these countries are investing in: are they still putting their money in fossil fuels, or have they shifted their funds towards forms of renewable energy? This research project aims to quantify the loans and investments by financial institutions used for the generation of different forms of energy, rank them on the share of fossil fuels in their portfolios and compare the findings with the 2015 study.

This report presents and analyses the findings of the research project for the banks selected by FFG Sweden. In Chapter 1 background information is provided on the impacts of climate change on the poorest people in developing countries. Also, the role and responsibility of the financial sector in this respect is discussed. Chapter 2 discusses the methodology used for this research project. Chapter 3 presents the results in separate sections for each financial institution. Chapter 4 summarizes the results for all selected financial institutions and ranks their performance in different investment categories.
Chapter 1  Background: Climate change and the poor

1.1   How climate change affects the global poor

The climate on earth is changing: globally, the temperature is increasing. As a result, ecosystems are changing, and societies are at risk of being struck by floods, droughts and cyclones, particularly in the developing world. This process is a direct result of human activities that continuously increase the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere.¹

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), globally the temperature in the 21st century will increase between 0.3°C and 4.8°C on average, depending on future developments. This will increase the likelihood of severe, pervasive and irreversible impacts for people and ecosystems. To reduce climate change risks, both mitigation and adaptation are necessary. In order to limit the global rise in temperature to 2°C, it is necessary for emissions to be reduced with 40 to 70% by 2050 compared to the level of emissions in 2010.²

While in 2020 the energy related emission of CO₂ should not exceed 32 Gigatonnes, in 2014 global energy related emissions already reached 32.2 Gt. As early as 2015, the IEA warned of the challenges in reaching the objective to not increase the average temperature on earth by more than 2°C if CO₂-emissions kept increasing at this speed.³

Indeed, fossil fuels are the single biggest driver of climate change; if the world is to avoid exceeding dangerous global warming of 2°C, up to 80 percent of known fossil fuel reserves need to stay in the ground.⁴ The major problem in this drama is coal. Coal-fired power plants are the biggest source of man-made CO₂ emissions. In the absence of unprecedented discontinuation or reduction in the global use of fossil fuels, there is a serious risk that the world is on track for a 4°C to 6°C temperature rise by the end of the century, exceeding even the worst-case scenarios outlined by the IPCC.⁵

Such elevated global temperature will severely impact millions of peoples’ lives through drought, floods, food shortages, spread of communicable diseases, biodiversity loss, forced resettlement of communities and widespread loss of livelihood. This will impact firstly those who have contributed little to the current levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, are most vulnerable and have the fewest options to adapt to a changing environment. This is likely to jeopardise – if not decimate – food and water availability and security for up to 400 million people across some of the poorest countries by the middle of the century, with 25 million additional malnourished children.⁶

In the latest update of the State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World, the FAO finds that exposure to more complex, frequent and intense climate extremes is threatening to erode and reverse progress made in ending hunger and malnutrition in

---
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Peru

The Quechua farmwomen grow their crops at a height of nearly 4,000 metres, nestled between the mountaintops and glaciers of the Andes. But these women are very concerned about extreme weather conditions. The melting ice caps are causing numerous landslides and the melted water regularly brings flooding. Potatoes grow well at this high altitude but are threatened by the extreme weather. Through rising temperatures and a rainy season that comes earlier and earlier, plant diseases can thrive at ever-increasing altitudes.
several regions. New evidence continues to show a rise in world hunger and a reversal of trends after a prolonged decline.

In 2017 the number of undernourished people is estimated to have increased to 821 million – around one out of every nine people in the world. Climate variability and extremes are among the key drivers behind this recent uptick in global hunger and one of the leading causes of severe food crises. The cumulative effect of changes in climate is undermining all dimensions of food security – food availability, access, utilization and stability.\(^7\)

Food shortages and malnutrition are not the only risks linked to ongoing climate change. There are many ways in which climate change already impacts the lives of people around the world and will continue to do so. From severe water scarcity to armed conflict over resources, climate change leads to misery for millions and poses a challenge that should be viewed within the context of this misery. In short: it is a moral challenge and it must be tackled by all parties involved with drastic and far-reaching efforts.

Avoiding devastating impacts is impossible without a rapid and global transition to low-carbon economies. Public policy responses to climate change brought hope with the Paris Agreement on climate change, as more than 190 countries in December 2015 for the first time committed to climate action. The summit has created more attention for climate change since then, with countries and parts of the business community, including financial institutions, making announcements toward tackling climate change. However, this response has been inconsistent: the deal is not sufficient to ensure a 3°C world.\(^8\) The poorest and most vulnerable people are still to be exposed to the reality of rising sea-levels, floods, and droughts and to the projects of the fossil fuel industry, such as mines.

### 1.2 Role and responsibility of the financial sector

Similar to other private sector actors, financial institutions should take their responsibility with regard to climate change and act in their own sphere of influence. Gradually, this is being acknowledged more and more in the financial sector. Already, the view on climate change risks has evolved from being seen as part of ‘extra-financial criteria’ or ESG-criteria to a potential major financial risk threatening the business models of companies, and financial stability in general. The need to integrate climate change risks in financial decision making is becoming more apparent.

---

\(^7\) The need to integrate climate change risks in financial decision making is becoming more apparent.

---

**Niger**

Hasan tends his mother’s goat herd. His father has headed east with their herd of cows and camels, in search of food and water for the cattle. Hasan hopes to have his own herd of animals someday, just like his father. Life in Niger was never really easy, but climate change has made getting by in this poor country in the Sahel region even more difficult. The cattle herders have been hit especially hard by the extreme drought in recent years. The lack of water and food has led to starvation of their animals and to conflicts with other tribes over scarce water sources and grazing land. Every year the cattle herders must travel farther and are away from their villages longer to find grazing land for their herds. They are on the move for half the year and only come home after the rainy season in the hope that the rains have come and turned their villages green. For those who remain – women, the elderly, and young children – the dry period is a time of scarcity. Climate change has directly led to extreme poverty in vulnerable countries such as Niger. More than 65% of its population has been forced to live on less than $1 per day.
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In 2014, the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) initiated an Inquiry into the Design of a Sustainable Financial System (UNEP Inquiry) which since has published various reports. They present policy options to deliver a step change in the financial system’s effectiveness in mobilizing capital towards a green and inclusive economy that also addresses climate change risks.\(^9\)

In December 2015, the Financial Stability Board (FSB), an international body that monitoring the global financial system, created an industry-led Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) to identify which financial assets will lose value due to climate related risks and to “develop voluntary, consistent climate-related financial disclosures for use by companies in providing information to lenders, insurers, investors and other stakeholders”.\(^10\) In June 2017 the TCFD published its Recommendations.

What becomes clear in all these studies, is that the challenge for financial institutions is to deal with climate change risks in a proactive way, by measuring and reporting the carbon footprint of their financial portfolios and presenting strategies to make their portfolio’s consistent with the internationally agreed 2°C limit of temperature increase. This also requires having a strategy for the transition to a low-carbon economy, including the switch from using fossil fuel to renewable energy sources.

To complement their efforts to encourage companies in which they invest to measure, disclose and reduce emissions, it is the view of the Fair Finance Guide that financial institutions should also phase-out investment in and finance of activities with unacceptable high emissions. Therefore, the Fair Finance Guide pays special attention to the characteristics of the commitments made by financial institutions in their climate change policies. Furthermore, an investment and finance policy regarding climate change should include criteria for compensation, adaptation and lobbying against governmental climate change regulations.
Chapter 2  Methodology

This chapter provides an overview of the methodology used for this research project. First section 2.1 discusses the objective and scope of the research project. Section 2.2 then presents the selection of Swedish banks, while section 2.3 discusses which energy sectors are included in this research project as well as the companies selected within these sectors. Section 2.4 describes the types of financing and investments analysed in this research project, and section 2.5 is explaining how specific financing and investment amounts are assigned to each financial institution. Finally, section 2.6 discusses the data sources used and the currency used to report on the research findings.

2.1  Objective and scope

The objective of this research project is to quantify how the main banks in Sweden are dealing with their responsibility to mitigate climate change, by analysing their loans to, and investments in, companies active in various ways of energy generation. These loans and investments are grouped in four categories:

- Loans;
- Underwritings;
- Shareholdings; and
- Bondholdings.

The research project focuses on all global economic sectors involved in energy generation, categorised in three categories:

- Climate change mitigating sectors;
- Climate change inducing sectors; and
- Other energy sectors.

For the two-year period 2016-2017 the research project calculates, for each financial institution and for each category of loans and investments, the proportion of financing geared towards Climate change mitigating sectors and the proportion geared towards Climate change inducing sectors. For ease of communication, these two groups of sectors are indicated as “Fossil fuels” and “Renewable energy” in the analyses in this report.

All credits and underwritings provided by the selected financial institutions to the selected energy companies from January 2016 to the end of December 2017 are taken into account. For shareholdings and bondholdings the available quarterly data in this time period were used, which also allows for a trend analysis within the two year-period.

The financial institutions are ranked on the basis of their Fossil fuels proportions and the consolidated financing proportions for the full group are calculated for each loan and investment category. And where possible a comparison is made with the findings of the Fair Finance Guide International study Undermining our Future published in November 2015, to assess if financial institutions have changed their financing and investment patterns after the Paris Agreement on climate change (concluded in December 2015).
While this study focuses on the way in which the main banks in Sweden are dealing with their responsibility to mitigate climate change, for practical reasons the scope of the research project does not include all aspects which could be of relevance. The study is clearly focused on direct loans to, and investments in, energy generating companies and their direct equipment suppliers. This means that, inter alia, the following aspects are not included in the scope of this research project:

- Indirect financing of energy companies, for instance through investments in bonds issued by other financial institutions (including multilateral development banks);
- The financing of companies engaged in research and development directed towards reducing the impact of existing energy sources or developing new sustainable energy sources;
- The GHG emissions caused by financial institutions through their own operations (offices, travel, etc.), as these are limited compared to financed emissions;
- Loans to, and investments in, other economic sectors which also might have an important impact on global GHG emissions;
- (Mortgage) loans to private customers and advisory services linked to these.

2.2 Selection of financial institutions

The Fair Finance Guide International consists of coalitions of civil society organizations from nine countries. Three coalitions participated in this research project: France, the Netherlands and Sweden. Each coalition focuses their study on several financial institutions with local operations in the coalitions' respective countries. All financial institutions selected by the participating coalitions were included in the research project – see Appendix 1 for an overview. The following financial institutions were selected by the Swedish Fair Finance Guide coalition for this research project:

- Danske Bank
- Ekobanken
- Handelsbanken
- JAK Medlemsbank
- Lånsförsäkringar
- Nordea
- SEB
- Skandia
- Swedbank

The findings on these financial institutions are discussed in this report. The loans and investments of all subsidiaries, inside and outside Sweden, of these banks were included in the research.

All selected financial institutions were given the opportunity to provide feedback on the draft findings of the research project. The collected financial data identified for each of the selected financial institutions was sent to these institutions for verification. In addition, financial institutions were requested to provide details on their additional investments in renewable energy projects.
2.3 Selection of sectors and companies

This section provides an overview of the approach behind the selection of the companies included in this study. Section 2.3.1 explains which sectors - and within these sectors: which energy sources - are included in this study. It also explains which sectors and energy sources are considered as Climate change mitigating sectors and which sectors and energy sources are considered as Climate change inducing sectors. Section 2.3.2 details which energy sources and sectors are seen as Other energy sectors, which means that loans to and investments to companies operating in these sectors are not taken into account in this research project.

2.3.1 Selected sectors and energy sources

According to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), in 2016 81% of all GHG emissions (excluding land-use, land use change and forestry, LULUCF)\(^1\) were attributable to the use of energy. Within this sector, 36% of GHG emissions originated from power generation, 26% from transport, 14% from manufacturing industries and construction, 12% from other sectors, 10% from fugitive emissions from the production of fuels and 2% from other sources not specified.\(^1\)

As of 2016, electricity and heat generation accounted for 36% of total GHG emissions in the energy sector, and 29% of total GHG emissions (excluding LULUCF) for countries party to the UNFCCC. As such, power generation constitutes the core sector of this research. This study further focuses on sectors that can be considered as inputs for power generation and/or for energy used in transport, manufacturing industries and construction, and fugitive emissions from the production of fuels. Together these sectors are relevant for more than 60% of GHG emissions attributable to energy use and 49% of total global GHG emissions.\(^2\)

The following paragraphs further explain which sectors and energy sources were selected and whether they are considered as Climate change inducing sectors or as Climate change mitigating sectors. Furthermore, for each sector the scope of company selection within those sectors and the selection strategy is explained.

- **Power generation**

  Power (also called electricity) can be generated through various sources. Not all sources of power generation emit GHGs. Power generation sources include, but are not limited to, the following:

  - Biomass
  - Coal
  - Gas
  - Geothermal energy
  - Hydro power
  - Nuclear energy
  - Ocean energy
  - Oil
  - Solar

---

\(^1\) LULUCF refers to GHG emissions from land-use, land use change and forestry. More information on the definition and inclusion of LULUCF in GHG emission calculations can be found here: https://unfccc.int/land_use_and_climate_change/lulucf/items/1084.php.
Wind

A growing number of power generation companies are diversifying the composition of their generating capacities across different energy sources. This is partly stimulated by awareness of climate change issues, partly through consumer and shareholder pressure and partly through government incentives.

From the sourcing of materials or fuels, to construction, to operation and waste management, different electricity generation technologies emit different levels of GHG. When emissions of all these processes are taken together, they are known as the life-cycle emissions of a certain electricity generation technology. In the context of its fifth assessment report on climate change mitigation, Working Group III of the IPCC assessed different electricity generation technologies and developed an overview of the life-cycle emissions, as shown in Table 1. The GHG emissions are expressed in grams of CO₂-equivalent, comparing their climate change impact per gram to that of CO₂. The grams of CO₂-equivalent emitted per kilowatt hour produced are then calculated (gCO₂eq/kWh).

There has been some debate regarding steps in the life-cycles of some technologies not being included, and that technological advances that occurred while IPCC was conducting its study have also not been included. Alternative evaluations of life-cycle emissions also exist. However, the IPCC assessment is currently the most comprehensive. It is therefore the basis for our assessment of different sectors and energy sources in this research project.

Table 1  Life-cycle emissions of electricity generation technologies (gCO₂eq/kWh)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current commercially available technology</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal – pulverized coal</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas – combined cycle</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass – co-firing</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass – dedicated</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropower</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrated Solar Power (CSP)</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar PV – rooftop</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar PV – power generation</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind onshore</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind offshore</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2 provides an overview of the electricity generation technologies that this research considers as Climate change mitigating sectors, because of median life-cycle emissions of below 50 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour, and which are considered as Climate change inducing sectors. It further provides an overview of other electricity generation technologies, which are not included of either of these two categories (explained further in section 2.3.2).
Table 2  Climate change inducing and mitigating electricity generation technologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate change mitigating</th>
<th>Climate change inducing</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>Coal – pulverized coal</td>
<td>Biomass – co-firing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrated solar power (CSP)</td>
<td>Gas – combined cycle</td>
<td>Biomass – dedicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar PV – rooftop</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>Hydropower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar PV – power generation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nuclear power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind onshore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind offshore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean and tidal energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the company selection for this research project, a list of the 25 largest power generation companies worldwide was developed based on their total installed capacity as of 2016 and 2017. This selection was developed based on previous research and annual reporting from the companies.

Additionally, this research developed a selection of power generation companies accounting for 75% of the domestic market of each of the FFG coalition countries participating in this study. This list was selected based on company reports, industry reports and Thomson Reuters Eikon.

As most power generation companies make use of different power generation technologies, the loans to and investments in these companies need to be distributed over the three sectors we distinguish: Climate change mitigating sectors, Climate change inducing sectors, and Other energy sectors. How this distribution is done, is discussed in section.

- **Coal mining**

  Coal is used as an input for power generation, which accounts for 36% of all GHG emissions in the energy sector, and 29% of total GHG emissions in 2016 for countries party to the UNFCCC. Coal is also used as input for other industrial processes. The most significant other uses of coal are in steel production, cement manufacturing and liquid fuel. As such its impact on GHG emissions is far greater than simply as an input in power generation.

  As Table 1 shows, coal used for electricity has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 820 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. It is therefore considered a Climate change inducing source of electricity. Coal mining can also have negative impact on the environment through damage to ecosystems, deforestation, and pollution. Additionally, coal mining can also have negative impacts on communities, including land grabs, loss of livelihoods, and forced displacement.

  For the company selection of this research, a list of companies accounting for an average of 75% of the global coal mining industry by revenues and assets was developed using Bloomberg, Thomson Reuters Eikon and the Platts Top 250 Global Energy Company Rankings.

- **Oil and gas production and refining**

  Oil and gas are used in both the transport and the power generation sectors. Together, these sectors accounted for 62% of GHG emissions in the energy sector, and 51% of total GHG emissions. Oil and gas are also used as energy sources in many other sectors and as inputs for other chemical processes.
As shown in Table 1, gas as an input for electricity generation has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 490 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. While this is lower than coal, it is still well above the threshold of this study of 50 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. It is therefore considered a Climate change inducing source of electricity. Furthermore, oil and gas extraction can have negative impacts on the environment through damage to ecosystems, deforestation, and pollution. Additionally, oil and gas extraction can also have negative impacts on communities including land grabs, loss of livelihoods, earthquakes, and forced displacement.

For the company selection of this research, a list of companies accounting for an average of 75% of the global oil and gas exploration and production industry by revenues and assets was developed using Thomson Reuters Eikon and the Platts Top 250 Global Energy Company Rankings.

- **Solar power equipment manufacturers**

  Solar power is a renewable source of energy. Solar power can be derived from solar photovoltaic panels and from concentrating solar thermal power. Different sources of solar electricity have different levels of GHG emissions (see Table 1). Concentrated solar power has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 27 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. Solar PV used by power generation companies has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 48 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. Rooftop solar PV have a median life-cycle GHG emission of 41 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. Manufacturing solar power equipment is thus considered a Climate change mitigating sector.

  The process of manufacturing photovoltaic cells can include the use of toxic chemicals. In addition, the production process is linked to potential issues identified generally in the production of most electronic goods. Given that the assumption that the potential impact is less than the overall benefit produced, and that solar power equipment manufacturing has low life-cycle emissions, this sector is included in this study.

  For the company selection of this research, a list of companies considered the leading producers of solar PVs was developed using REN21 publications and Thomson Reuters Eikon. A list was similarly developed of the leading producers of concentrated solar thermal power (CSP) using REN21 publications.

- **Wind turbine manufacturers**

  Wind power is a renewable source of energy. Different sources of wind generated electricity have different levels of GHG emissions (see Table 1). Onshore wind power has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 11 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. While offshore wind power has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 12 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. Manufacturing wind turbines is thus considered a Climate change mitigating sector.

  For the company selection of this research, a list of companies considered leading producers of wind turbines was developed using REN21 publications.

- **Geothermal energy equipment manufacturers**

  Geothermal energy is renewable source of energy. As demonstrated in Table 1, geothermal energy has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 38 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. Manufacturing geothermal equipment is thus considered a Climate change mitigating sector.

  For the company selection of this research, a list of major geothermal energy engineering companies and geothermal power plant operators was developed using REN21 publications and industry reports.
• **Ocean energy engineering**

Ocean energy is an emerging energy sector. Both tidal stream generators and barrage tidal energy are methods to capture ocean energy. Tidal stream generators function similarly to wind turbines as they capture the incoming and outgoing stream of energy from tides. Barrage tidal energy is similar to hydroelectric dams, as structures are built across bays and estuaries to force tidal energy through turbines situated in the barrage.

As with hydro power, the impact on the environment, particularly on natural ecosystems, is potentially significant. Nevertheless, a review on studies on the life-cycle GHG emissions of ocean energy estimates that the median is around 17 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour (gCO₂/kWh) and could be as low as 8 gCO₂/kWh. Given these results and the technical potential of this energy source as an alternative source of energy, ocean energy has been included in this study as a Climate change mitigating sector.

For the company selection of this research, a list of major ocean energy engineering companies was developed using REN21 publications.

2.3.2 **Other energy sectors**

Apart from the *Climate change inducing sectors* and the *Climate change mitigating sectors* defined in section 2.3.1, three sources of energy are not taken in consideration in this research project: nuclear energy, hydropower and bio energy. These sources of energy are not considered viable alternatives to fossil fuels for energy used in power generation and transport as they are considered to have a high impact on the environment or because there is limited consensus on the impact level of these energy sources. This section further discusses these three other energy sources and the rationale not to include them in this research project.

• **Nuclear energy**

Nuclear power is seen by some as a sustainable source of energy because its energy generation is climate-neutral. It produces relatively insignificant amounts of GHGs, is comparatively cheap to run, and is a stable source of energy. However, many controversies surround nuclear power.

Recent studies suggest that as uranium ore grades decrease, fossil fuel inputs in the nuclear fuel cycle will increase. As such, within a few decades, the GHG emissions in the nuclear fuel cycle will be similar to that of traditional coal-fired or gas-fired power plants.

Further risks include the risks and environmental damage from uranium mining, processing and transport, the risk of nuclear weapons proliferation, the unsolved problem of nuclear waste and, although many countries have a good track record, the potential hazard of a serious accident.

As shown in Table 1, current estimations suggest that nuclear energy has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 12 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. However, due to the potential negative impacts, and the consensus among FFG coalition partners that nuclear power is not a viable alternative to traditional fossil fuels, nuclear energy is not included in this study.
Hydropower

Hydropower is often considered a sustainable source of energy, because as a renewable source, it has less GHG emissions from energy generation than traditional fossil fuels. However, hydropower is often controversial. Hydropower projects, both large and small, have a significant impact on the environment, altering habitats, as well as having a potentially great impact on communities and their socioeconomic conditions. Communities are often displaced without (or with inadequate) compensation, and livelihoods are lost. It is therefore not sustainable in the social and economic sense of the word, and does not respect human rights, in all contexts.

As Table 1 demonstrates, hydropower has a median life-cycle GHG emission of 24 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour, which is quite low. However, hydropower also has a maximum life-cycle GHG emission of 2,200 grams of CO₂ equivalent per kilowatt hour. This is more than double the maximum life-cycle GHG emission of pulverized coal. Such high levels of life-cycle GHG emission per kilowatt hour are generally reached by large-scale hydropower. Few countries are still constructing such large-scale hydropower projects.

Small-scale run-of-the-river hydro power is seen as having fewer negative social and environmental impacts than large-scale hydropower. However, different countries and organizations use different minimum thresholds to differentiate between small-scale and large-scale hydropower. Table 4 provides an overview of the different definitions of small-scale hydropower.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Threshold (MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>≪ 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>≪ 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>≪ 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>≪ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>≪ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>≪ 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>5-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWF</td>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many other factors influence the amounts emitted, depending on the geographical location, the age of the reservoir, external inputs of carbon and nutrients, and characteristics of the reservoir such as water flow, turnover time, area, depth, water level fluctuations and the positioning of the turbines and spillways. Dams in tropical areas for example emit more methane than do those in temperate or boreal areas. Experts also suggest that the environmental impact per megawatt (MW) is dependent on the measures taken to mitigate the negative impact. It is beyond the scope of this research to investigate the impact per MW of each hydropower plant in the power generation portfolios of all selected power generation companies for the period under study. Moreover, as there is no consensus on the definition of small-scale hydropower, it was decided that hydropower would not be included in this study.

- **Bio energy**

Biomass energy and biofuels are derived from various sources. The term refers to biological matter that can be used as fuel for electricity generation and for transport. This can range from wood and plants to alcohol. Biomass is turned into energy through burning.

Biomass is regarded by some as a renewable energy source because the carbon in biomass is considered as part of the natural carbon cycle. This is because trees take in carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and convert it into biomass and when they die it is released back into the atmosphere. Whether trees are burned or whether they decompose naturally, the same amount of carbon dioxide is released. The idea is that if trees harvested as biomass are replanted as fast as the wood is burned, new trees take up the carbon produced by the combustion, the carbon cycle theoretically remains in balance, and no extra carbon is added to the atmospheric balance sheet. Therefore, biomass is considered "carbon neutral." Replacing fossil fuels with biomass is thought to result in reduced carbon emissions.

However, whether or not biomass is truly carbon neutral depends on a number of factors:

- what type of biomass is used,
- the combustion technology,
- which fossil fuel is being replaced, and
- what forest management techniques are employed where the biomass is harvested.

Combustion of biomass and fossil fuels both produce carbon dioxide. When annual crops and other short-term biomass are burned, the carbon generated can generally be absorbed by the growing of new plants. However, when the biomass comes from wood and trees, the regrowing and thus the recapture of carbon take years or decades, and the carbon equation would need to take into consideration the carbon that the trees would have naturally stored if left untouched. This is particularly problematic as the majority of existing biomass power plants currently use wood residue.

Furthermore, as with biofuels, described below, biomass is affected by a number of social and environmental issues. As described above, biomass can include agricultural waste, production forest wood chips, and wood pellets, among other things. Issues generally tend to arise when wood is being cultivated in order to produce wood pellets. There are numerous reports of forest destruction (also leading to CO₂ emissions) for eucalyptus monoculture development, land grab, and loss of livelihoods.
Another form of bio energy is biofuels. Biofuels can come in different forms, including ethanol and biodiesel. They are derived from different feed stocks including sugar beets, sugar cane, soy, palm oil, wheat, corn, and jatropha. However, the biofuels sector is afflicted by numerous controversies. Again, there are significant concerns including issues regarding food security, deforestation, legality of operations, human rights and labour issues, community displacement and land grabs, loss of livelihoods, the impact of monoculture on ecosystems, and soil degradation.

Due to these controversial issues regarding biomass and biofuels, and the consensus among FFG coalition partners that bio energy is not a clear-cut viable alternative to traditional fossil fuels it is not included in this research project.

2.3.3 Final selection of sectors and companies

Table 4 presents the final categorisation of energy sources and sectors for the purpose of this study. The *Climate change inducing sectors* are referred to as “Fossil fuels” in the financing analysis, while *Climate change mitigation sectors* are referred to as “Renewable energy”. Based on the discussion in section 2.3.2, three sources of energy are defined as *Other energy sectors* and are not taken into account in the financing analysis.

### Table 4  Categorisation of Climate change mitigating and Climate change inducing sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate change mitigating sectors</th>
<th>Climate change inducing sectors</th>
<th>Other energy sectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal energy equipment manufacturing</td>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Bioenergy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar panel manufacturing (PV and CSP)</td>
<td>Oil (production and refining)</td>
<td>Hydropower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>Gas (production and refining)</td>
<td>Nuclear power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy engineering</td>
<td>Coal-fired power generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal energy generation</td>
<td>Gas-fired power generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar energy generation</td>
<td>Oil-fired power generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind power generation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy generation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Representing the sectors identified in Table 4, a large number of companies was selected for this research project, based on the selection criteria explained in section 2.3.1. Several of the selected companies have significant activities in multiple sectors and are therefore repeated for each of the sectors relevant to this study in which they are active. The full overview of the 292 selected companies is provided in Table 7 in Appendix 1.

2.3.4 Renewable energy projects

In several countries, the most significant drivers of a shift to renewable energy are not the established power generation companies which account for the dominant share of the national power generating capacity. Rather, renewable energy generation is developed by small and medium sized enterprises, and special purpose vehicles focussing specifically on developing renewable energy projects.
Given the relevance of these renewable energy projects to this study on the trends of financing to renewable energy and fossil fuels, a separate selection of renewable energy projects was made for this research project. Only renewable energy projects based on geothermal, solar and wind energy sources were included.

The top-10 projects by value were included per coalition country - France, the Netherlands and Sweden - per year between 2016 and 2017. Additionally, the top-25 projects globally per year between 2016 and 2017 that meet the above specified criteria were also included. Financial institutions in each coalition country were given the opportunity to add relevant renewable energy projects to the list. Overlap occurred sometimes for two reasons:

- Some projects selected for a coalition country also figured in the global top 25 of projects; and
- Some projects were developed by a (subsidiary of) a power generation company already included in the company selection.

In cases of overlap, the next project on the list was included. Table 8 in Appendix 1 provides an overview of the 85 selected renewable energy projects. This list does not include additional projects that were taken into account after being provided directly by financial institutions.

Information available from the commercial database IJGlobal was used to identify relevant projects, the involved financial institutions, and their financial commitments. Only deals that have been finalised were included. The analysis of the loans provided by financial institutions to these renewable energy projects is integrated in the analysis of loans provided to the selected energy companies (Table 7) and is not discussed separately. Although syndicated equity can be a significant source of financing for such projects, this could not be included as the participants are often not disclosed. Due to lack of data availability, this type of financing was not included.

2.4 Types of investments and financing

Financial institutions such as banks can be involved in financing the selected companies through providing them loans and other credits, discussed in section 2.4.1. They can also help companies raising capital by underwriting share issuances (section 2.4.2) or bond issuances (section 2.4.3). Financial institutions can also invest - on their own behalf or on behalf of their clients - in the equity and debt of a company by holding shares (section 2.4.4) or bonds (section 2.4.5). The following sub-sections outline the different types of financing and investments, how data were collected and how trends are analysed in this report.

2.4.1 Loans and other credits

Companies can borrow money from a bank, through a loan or other form of credit. Loans can be either short-term or long-term in nature. Short-term loans (e.g. trade credits, current accounts, leasing agreements) have a maturity of less than a year. They are mostly used as working capital for day-to-day operations. Short-term loans are often provided by a single commercial bank.

A long-term loan has a maturity of at least one year, but more often of three to ten years. Long-term corporate loans are particularly useful to finance expansion plans, which only generate rewards after a certain period. The proceeds of corporate loans can be used for all activities of the company. Long-term loans are frequently extended by a loan syndicate, which is a group of banks brought together by one or more arranging banks. The loan syndicate will only undersign the loan agreement if the company can provide certain guarantees that interest and repayments on the loan will be fulfilled.
• **Project finance**

One specific form of corporate loans is project finance. This is a loan that is earmarked for a specific project and often is tied to specific conditions. In this research, the purpose of each project finance provided to the selected companies is investigated to determine to which of the three energy sectors it can be attributed (see Table 4).

Additionally to project finance loans extended to the companies in Table 7, in this research project also the project finance loans to the renewable energy projects included in Table 8. All data on project financing for the renewable energy projects in Table 8 is analysed in combination with other relevant loans to *Climate change mitigating sectors*.

• **Loan for general corporate purposes / working capital**

Long-term loans to companies are often not earmarked for specific projects. In that case, the loan will be reported as being used for “general corporate purposes” or for “working capital”. In this research project, for companies which are active in different sectors a method is used to distribute this type of financing over the three energy sectors listed in Table 4. How this is done is discussed in section 2.5.

• **Revolving credit facility**

Another type of loan is a revolving credit facility. A revolving credit facility provides a company with an option to take up a loan from a bank (or more often a banking syndicate) when it has an urgent financing need. Its function resembles a credit card. Companies can use the revolving facility up to a certain limit, but don’t have to. When a company is issuing bonds, investors see the fact that the company has concluded a revolving credit facility with a banking syndicate as a kind of insurance which guarantees that the company can meet its obligations in terms of interest payments and bond repayments.

The syndicate of banks providing the facility do have the obligation to provide the entire amount of money when the company asks for it. Therefore, even if the company ends up never using the facility, the banks were still involved with the company during the period of the revolving credit facility and would have provided the company with the money when they asked for it. Therefore revolving credits agreed during the research period are considered in the same way as other corporate loans in this research project.

2.4.2 **Share issuances**

Issuing shares on the stock exchange gives a company the opportunity to increase its equity, by selling the shares to new or existing shareholders. This doesn’t only bring more risk-taking capital to the company, but also opens the possibility to attract more loans - as banks usually require a certain minimum equity-debt ratio.

When a company offers its shares on the stock exchange for the first time, this is called an Initial Public Offering (IPO). When a company’s shares are already traded on the stock exchange, this is called a secondary offering of additional shares. To arrange an IPO or a secondary offering, a company needs the assistance of one or more investment banks, which will price the shares, write a prospectus and use their network to find buyers.
The most important part of the role of the investment bank in share issuances is the so-called underwriting. This means that the investment bank guarantees to the company that it will buy all issued shares for a guaranteed price at the issuing date. On the same day or in the following days, the investment bank sells the shares for a higher price to private and institutional investors which it has approached in the preceding weeks. The role of the investment bank therefore is only temporary. Nevertheless, its assistance to companies in share issuances is crucial. The investment bank provides the company with access to capital markets (private and institutional investors) and a guarantee that the company can sell its shares at a pre-determined minimum price.

2.4.3 Bond issuances

Issuing bonds can best be described as cutting a large loan into small pieces and selling each piece separately. Bonds are issued on a large scale by governments, but also by companies. Like shares, bonds are traded on the stock exchange. The process of issuing bonds is similar to that of issuing shares. The company needs the assistance of one or more (investment) banks which underwrite a certain number of bonds and sell them to investors.

2.4.4 Shareholdings

Institutional investors, such as insurance companies, pension funds, private banks and asset managers (which sometimes are subsidiaries of a bank), can buy shares of companies listed on the stock exchange, making them part-owners of the company. They can do they this with money on their balance sheet, or by external funds managed by them on behalf of their clients. Both options give the institutional investor a certain level of influence over the company’s strategy. The magnitude of this influence depends on the size of the shareholding and the question whether the funds are managed on behalf of a third party.

Shares traded on the stock exchange can easily be bought and sold, which means that (the investment and asset management subsidiaries of) some banks are continuously changing their shareholdings. And even when the financial institution does not buy or sell, the value of their shareholdings can fluctuate because of changes in the share prices. This makes it difficult to analyse if a financial institution is increasing or decreasing its shareholdings in Climate change mitigating sectors as well as in Climate change inducing sectors.

Therefore, in this research project the development trend of the shareholding values is researched for each bank by looking at the values reported at the end of each quarter in the two-year period 2016-2017. Then the baseline value development during the two-year period is calculated for the exact number of shares managed by the bank at the beginning of the period. The baseline will show an increase or decrease of the shareholding values, purely based on changes in the shareprices.

Comparing the actual development trend of shareholding values with the baseline trend, therefore shows if the value of the shareholdings of the bank are increasing or decreasing more than can be explained by share price developments. If this is the case, this points to additional investments or to disinvestments made by the bank. This research project compares these trends separately for the shareholdings of each bank in Climate change mitigating sectors and his shareholdings in Climate change inducing sectors.

The number of shares owned or managed by a certain bank were preferably retrieved from financial databases on the fund level, after which the shareholdings for the different funds under control of the financial institution were combined. The funds researched include the following three fund categories:
• In-house managed own funds;
• Funds managed on behalf of clients;
• Externally managed own funds.

This may mean that the shareholdings in a specific fund are counted twice, both with the financial institution managing the fund (as a “fund managed on behalf of clients”) and with the financial institution owning and marketing the fund (as an “externally managed own fund”).

For banks who do not manage funds, data were retrieved at the subsidiary level for all subsidiaries of the financial institution owning or managing shares (such as private banks).

2.4.5 Bondholdings

Institutional investors can also buy bonds of a certain company. The main difference between owning shares and bonds is that owner of a bond is not a co-owner of the issuing company; the owner is a creditor of the company. The buyer of each bond is entitled to repayment after a certain number of years, and to a certain interest during each of these years.

Similar to shares, bonds can be bought and sold from one moment to the next. In financial databases only data on the most recent bondholdings are available, which means that for this research project no analysis of the value development over the two-year period 2016-2017 could be made. The value of bondholdings is therefore only analysed at the end of 2017 date.

The number of bonds owned or managed by a certain bank were preferably retrieved from financial database on the fund level, after which the bondholdings for the different funds under control of the financial institution were combined. The funds researched include the following three fund categories:

• In-house managed own funds;
• Funds managed on behalf of clients;
• Externally managed own funds.

This may mean that the bondholdings in a specific fund are counted twice, both with the financial institution managing the fund (as a “fund managed on behalf of clients”) and with the financial institution owning and marketing the fund (as an “externally managed own fund”).

For banks who do not manage funds, data were retrieved at the subsidiary level for all subsidiaries of the financial institution owning or managing bonds (such as private banks).

2.5 Assigning loans and investments to the different sectors

This research project aims to determine which proportion of the energy sector loans and investments of the researched banks as geared towards Climate change mitigating sectors and which proportion was geared towards Climate change inducing sectors in the research period 2016-2017. To make this analysis, the data collected on loans to, and investments in, the selected companies (Table 7) and projects (Table 8) need to be adjusted in two ways:

• in case of syndicated loans and underwritings, the contribution of each individual bank needs to be assessed: this is discussed in section 2.5.1;
• for companies and projects active in more than one (energy sector), the loan or investment value needs to be distributed across the different sectors in which the company is active: this is discussed in section 2.5.2.
Schematically, these two adjustment steps are clarified in Figure 1.

**Figure 1** Assigning loans and investments to the different sectors

2.5.1 Contributions of individual banks in a syndicate

For some syndicate loans and underwritings, the financial databases detail the contributions of all individual banks involved to the total value of the deal. When these contributions are not known, an estimate needs to be made. Usually, the total value of a loan or issuance (the principal amount) is known, as well as the names and roles of all banks that participate in the deal. Sometimes, the fee received per bank are also known. In that case, the ratio of a bank’s management fee is used to estimate its financial contribution to the loan or issuance. This is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Bank’s contribution: } \left( \frac{\text{individual bank fee}}{\text{sum of all banks’ fees}} \right) \times \text{deal value}
\]

When the fee is unknown for one or more participants in a deal, the bookratio is used first to determine the distribution between the number of bookrunners (the banks arranging the deal) and the other banks participating more passively in the syndicate. The bookratio is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Bookratio: } \frac{\text{number of banks} - \text{number of bookrunners}}{\text{number of bookrunners}}
\]

0 shows which part of the total deal value is assigned to the bookrunners, depending on the bookratio calculated. It shows that for loan syndicates, the share that is attributed to the bookrunners decreases when the number of total banks in the syndicate increases. For issuance syndicates this is not the case. The percentages included in 0 are based on experience gained by Profundo over the years with analysing thousands of loan and issuance syndicates for which the contribution of individual banks were known.
Table 5  Contributions assigned to the bookrunners in loan and issuance syndicates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bookratio</th>
<th>Loans</th>
<th>Issuances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1/3</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2/3</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1.5</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3.0</td>
<td>&lt; 40%*</td>
<td>&lt; 75%*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For loan and issuance syndicates with a bookratio of more than 3.0, we use a formula which gradually lowers the commitment assigned to the bookrunners as the bookratio increases. The formula used for this:

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{bookratio}} = \frac{1}{1.443375673}
\]

The number in the denominator is used to let the formula start at 40% in case of a bookratio of 3.0. As the bookratio increases the formula will go down from 40%. In case of issuances the figure in the denominator is 0.769800358.

2.5.2  Distribution across the different activities of a company

As explained in section 2.3, the companies in Table 7 in Appendix 1 were selected as a result of their activities in the relevant sectors for this study. However, a number of the selected companies are active in both Climate change mitigating sectors and in Climate change inducing sectors, and sometimes also in sectors outside the energy industry. For example: many power generation companies operate both fossil fuel-fired power plants and wind farms. Some power generation companies were also active in coal mining, oil and gas, and other sectors. A few oil and gas companies were also engaged in renewable energy. Numerous of the selected mining companies mine for other minerals in addition to coal. Solar equipment manufacturers sometimes also make other, non-energy products.

In the case of project finance this does not require any adjustments. When project finance was identified, this research investigated the purpose of the identified project finance to determine whether it fell within the scope of this research and how to attribute it, to Climate change mitigating sectors to Climate change inducing sectors or to another sector which is not further researched. If, for example, an oil and gas company attracted project finance for a wind farm, then this financing was attributed to Climate change mitigating sectors.

But for other types of financing and investments, segment adjusters were used as a way to represent financial institutions’ financing of fossil fuels or renewable energy. A general corporate loan to a power company, or an investment in the shares of that same company, can be used by the power company to finance all types of activities it is active. In financial reporting, companies are often required the segments they are active in and report their income, costs and investments separately for each segment.

For general corporate loans and investments provided to companies active in more than one segment, this research therefore aimed to estimate which part of the loan or investment was used for Climate change mitigating sectors, which part for Climate change inducing sectors and which part for other sectors which are not further researched in his research project. To estimate this, this research project calculates segment adjusters for each company active in more than one sector.
Due to a lack of data availability, segment adjusters were not calculated in the same way for each company. Preferably, data on the annual capital expenditure (capex) per sector or segment in which the company is active were used. These data are also referred to as the annual addition to non-current assets per sector/segment.

For some companies, capex-data per segment were not available, or the segment classification used by the company was too rough to distinguish between Climate change mitigating sectors and Climate change inducing sectors. In these cases, the following proxies were used in order of preference:

- for power companies: the installed power generation capacity broken down by energy source;
- segment distribution of assets;
- segment distribution of costs;
- segment distribution of profits;
- an estimate based on the description of the company’s activities.

The segment distribution of capex, assets, costs and/or revenues were primarily identified through company filings or investor presentations. Segment adjusters were calculated annually for the period of study, 2016-2017.

After identifying the segment adjusters, these were then multiplied by the financing and shareholding values for the relevant periods. For example, Oil Company A receives a loan from Bank A for USD 100 million in 2017. During this financial year, 95% of Oil Company A’s assets were in oil, 3% in wind power, and 2% in not relevant sectors. USD 95 million was therefore attributed to fossil fuels, USD 3 million to renewable energy, and USD 2 million was not included in the analysis.

As the example shows, this research project primarily looks at the proportion of financing attributable to Climate change inducing sectors (Fossil fuels) and financing attributable to Climate change mitigating sectors (Renewable energy). As all financing attributable to Other energy sectors as well as to other non-energy sectors is ignored, the total financing analysed will usually be lower than the actual financing provided to the selected companies.

### 2.6 Data sources and currencies

#### 2.6.1 Data sources

Information sources used to select companies for this project were annual reports published by the selected companies and industry rankings from Platts and REN21. Renewable energy projects were selected from the IJGlobal database.

Loans, issuances, shareholdings and bondholdings were researched using the financial databases Thomson Reuters Eikon and Bloomberg. Project finance of renewable energy projects was researched using the IJGlobal database.

#### 2.6.2 Currencies

Within this research, all financing identified is discussed in United States dollars (USD). This is the primary currency used by Thomson Reuters Eikon, Bloomberg and IJGlobal databases. Additionally, given the global selection of companies that report in various currencies, for consistency purposes this research project presents the data in United States dollars.
Chapter 3  Results per financial institution

This chapter provides an analysis of the loans, underwriting services and investments in shares and bonds in selected companies that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels for each of the selected financial institutions. The financial institutions are ordered alphabetically by name.

3.1  Danske Bank

This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by Danske Bank that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.

3.1.1  Loans and underwriting

Between 2016 and 2017, Danske Bank has provided loans attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounting to USD 278 million. From 2016 to 2017, Danske Bank’s provision of loans and renewable energy project finance saw a decline in the proportion attributable to renewable energy from 14% to 1% and an increase in the proportion attributable to fossil fuels from 86% to 99%.

In the two-year period 2016-2017, Danske Bank has provided underwriting services attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounting to USD 44 million. From 2016 to 2017, Danske Bank’s provision of underwriting services saw a decline in the proportion attributable to renewable energy from 100% to 24% and an increase in the proportion attributable to fossil fuels from 0% to 76%. However, this is partially due to an overall increase in Danske Bank’s underwriting services to the energy sector.
3.1.2 Shareholdings

In the two-year period 2016-2017, the value of Danske Bank’s shareholdings attributable to renewable energy has fluctuated in correspondence with the baseline. The value of investments attributable to renewable energy decreased by 3% in the same period, from USD 204 million to USD 197 million. On the other hand, the value of Danske Bank’s shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels has increased by 95% between the beginning and the end of the period of this study, from USD 325 million in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 632 million in the final quarter of 2017. Fossil fuels then accounted for three quarters of the total value of shareholdings, while renewable energy accounted for a quarter. As this increase is much stronger than the baseline, it points to additional investments by Danske Bank in shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels.
3.1.3 Bondholdings

Figure 5 provides an overview of the distribution of Danske Bank’s identified bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy, at the end of 2017. The value of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounted to USD 143 million, with USD 40 million attributable to renewable energy and USD 103 million attributable to fossil fuels.

Figure 5  Overview of Danske Bank’s bondholdings by energy sector (end of 2017)

3.2 Ekobanken

This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by Ekobanken that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.

3.2.1 Loans and underwriting

Ekobanken did not provide any loans to selected companies or renewable energy projects in 2016 or 2017. However, it had one loan outstanding to a renewable energy company of USD 383 thousand as of December 2016.

3.2.2 Shareholdings

No shareholdings were identified for Ekobanken.

3.2.3 Bondholdings

No bondholdings were identified for Ekobanken.

3.3 JAK Medlemsbank

This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by JAK Medlemsbank that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.

3.3.1 Loans and underwriting

Between 2016 and 2017, JAK Medlemsbank contributed USD 0.4 million in loans to a renewable energy project. No further loans or underwriting services provided by the bank were identified. Therefore, 100% of its identified loans were attributable to renewable energy.
3.3.2 Shareholdings
No shareholdings were identified for JAK Medlemsbank.

3.3.3 Bondholdings
No bondholdings were identified for JAK Medlemsbank.

3.4 Länsförsäkringar
This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by Länsförsäkringar that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.

3.4.1 Loans and underwriting
No loans or underwriting services provided by Länsförsäkringar to the selected companies was identified during the period of study.

3.4.2 Shareholdings
In the period of study, the value of Länsförsäkringar’s investments in both fossil fuels and renewable energy exceeded the baseline as of the third quarter of 2016. The value of investments attributable to renewable energy increased by 210%, from USD 16 million to USD 48 million. The value of Länsförsäkringar’s shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels has increased slightly less rapidly, by 34%, between the first quarter of 2016 and the last quarter of 2017, from USD 242 to USD 359 million. Fossil fuels accounted for 88% of the total value of shareholdings at the end of the fourth quarter of 2017, and renewable energy accounted for 12% while renewable energy had accounted for 6% of the value of investments in the first quarter of 2016. As the increases are stronger than the respective baselines, it points to additional investments by Länsförsäkringar in shareholdings attributable to both fossil fuels and renewable energy.

![Figure 6 Länsförsäkringar shareholdings by energy sector (2016-2017, in USD million)](image-url)
3.4.3 Bondholdings

Figure 7 provides an overview of the distribution of Länsförsäkringar’s identified bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy, at the end of 2017. The value of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounted to USD 8 million, with USD 0.2 million attributable to renewable energy and USD 7.7 million attributable to fossil fuels.

![Figure 7](image)

3.5 Nordea

This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by Nordea that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.

3.5.1 Loans and underwriting

Between 2016 and 2017, Nordea provided USD 908 million in loans attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounting. From 2016 to 2017 Nordea’s provision of loans and renewable energy project finance saw a decline in the proportion attributable to fossil fuels from 72% to 42% and an increase in the proportion attributable to renewable energy from 28% to 58%.

![Figure 8](image)
In the period 2016-2017, Nordea has provided underwriting services attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounting to USD 17 million. All of these underwriting services in both years were provided to fossil fuels.

3.5.2 Shareholdings

In the two-year period 2016-2017, the value of Nordea’s shareholdings attributable to renewable energy has fluctuated in correspondence with the baseline, though below the baseline as of the second quarter of 2016. The value of investments attributable to renewable energy decreased by 15% in the period of study, from USD 305 million to USD 259 million. On the other hand, the value of Nordea’s shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels has increased by 29% from USD 1.2 billion in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 1.4 billion in the final quarter of 2017. Fossil fuels accounted for 85% of the total value of shareholdings in the fourth quarter of 2017, while renewable energy accounted for 15%. This increase was generally stronger than the baseline, pointing to additional investments by Nordea in shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels, however, in the fourth quarter of 2017, the value of these investments was closer to the baseline again.
3.5.3 Bondholdings

Figure 11 provides an overview of the distribution of Nordea’s identified bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy, at the end of 2017. The value of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounted to USD 589 million, with USD 60 million attributable to renewable energy and USD 529 million attributable to fossil fuels.

3.6 Skandia

This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by Skandia that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.

3.6.1 Loans and underwriting

No loans or underwriting services provided by Skandia to the selected companies was identified during the period of study.
3.6.2 Shareholdings

In the period of study, the value of Skandia’s investments in renewable energy generally exceeded the baseline. The value of investments attributable to renewable energy decreased by 2%, from USD 120 million to USD 122 million. The value of Skandia’s shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels has increased by 34% between the beginning and the end of the period of this study, from USD 88 million in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 118 million in the final quarter of 2017, almost exceeding the value of investments in renewable energy. Fossil fuels accounted for 49% of the total value of shareholdings at the end of the fourth quarter of 2017, and renewable energy accounted for 51% while renewable energy had accounted for 58% of the value of investments in the first quarter of 2016. As this increase is slightly stronger than the baseline, it points to additional investments by Skandia in shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels.

3.6.3 Bondholdings

Figure 13 provides an overview of the distribution of Skandia’s identified bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy, at the end of 2017. The value of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounted to USD 8 million, with USD 0.4 million attributable to renewable energy and USD 7.5 million attributable to fossil fuels.
3.7 Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken

This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken (SEB) that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.

3.7.1 Loans and underwriting

In the two-year period 2016 and 2017, SEB has provided loans attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounting to USD 1.6 billion. From 2016 to 2017, SEB’s provision of loans and renewable energy project finance declined in the proportion attributable to fossil fuels from 62% to 34% and an increase in the proportion attributable to renewable energy from 38% to 66%.

Between 2016 and 2017, SEB has provided USD 92 million in underwriting services attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy. In 2016, almost 100% of these underwriting services were attributable to fossil fuels. By 2017, 100% of these underwriting services were attributable to fossil fuels.
3.7.2 Shareholdings

In the two-year period 2016-2017, the value of SEB’s shareholdings attributable to renewable energy has fluctuated in correspondence with the baseline. However, as of third quarter of 2016, the value of these shareholdings dropped below the baseline, pointing to a divestment from the sector. The value of investments attributable to renewable energy decreased by 68% in the period of study, from USD 122 million to USD 40 million.

The value of SEB’s shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels, on the other hand, has increased by 39% between the beginning and the end of the period of this study, from USD 476 million in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 660 million in the final quarter of 2017. Fossil fuels then accounted for 94% of the total value of shareholdings, while renewable energy accounted for 6%. The increase exceeded the baseline, pointing to additional investments by SEB in shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels.
Figure 16  Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken shareholdings by energy sector (2016-2017, in USD million)

3.7.3 Bondholdings

Figure 17 provides an overview of the distribution of SEB’s identified bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy, at the end of 2017. The value of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounted to USD 107 million, with USD 27 million attributable to renewable energy and USD 81 million attributable to fossil fuels.

Figure 17  Overview of SEB’s bondholdings by energy sector (end of 2017)

3.8 Svenska Handelsbanken

This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by Handelsbanken that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.
3.8.1 Loans and underwriting

Between 2016 and 2017, Svenska Handelsbanken provided USD 56 million in loans attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy. All identified loans were granted in 2016. USD 48 million, or 86%, was provided to fossil fuels. USD 8 million, or 14%, was provided to renewable energy.

![Svenska Handelsbanken loans by energy sector (2016-2017)](image)

In the two-year period 2016-2017, no underwriting services provided by Svenska Handelsbanken to the selected companies was identified.

3.8.2 Shareholdings

In the two-year period 2016-2017, the value of Svenska Handelsbanken’s shareholdings attributable to renewable energy has generally fluctuated in line with the baseline. However, as of the fourth quarter of 2016, the value of investments in renewable energy has fallen below the baseline, indicating a divestment from the sector. The value of investments attributable to renewable energy decreased by 28% between the first quarter of 2016 and the last quarter of 2017, from USD 235 million to USD 170 million.

The value of Svenska Handelsbanken’s shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels has increased by 40% in the same period, from USD 271 million in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 380 million in the final quarter of 2017. Fossil fuels then accounted for 69% of the total value of shareholdings, while renewable energy accounted for 31%. As this increase is much stronger than the baseline, it points to additional investments by Svenska Handelsbanken in shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels.
3.8.3 Bondholdings

Figure 20 provides an overview of the distribution of Svenska Handelsbanken’s identified bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy, at the end of 2017. The value of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounted to USD 6 million, with USD 0.4 million attributable to renewable energy and USD 6 million attributable to fossil fuels.

3.9 Swedbank

This section provides an analysis of the loans and investments by Swedbank that can be attributed to renewable energy and fossil fuels.
3.9.1 Loans and underwriting

In the two-year period 2016 and 2017, Swedbank has provided USD 34 million in loans attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy. All these loans were provided in 2016. 3% of these loans were provided to renewable energy and 97% to fossil fuels.

![Swedbank loans by energy sector (2016-2017)](image)

Between 2016 and 2017, Swedbank has provided underwriting services attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounting to USD 0.1 million. All these underwriting services were provided in 2017, and were 100% for fossil fuels.

3.9.2 Shareholdings

In the two-year period 2016-2017, the value of Swedbank's shareholdings attributable to renewable energy has increased strongly compared to the baseline, indicating additional investments in the sector. The value of investments attributable to renewable energy increased by 167%, from USD 109 million in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 290 million in the last quarter of 2017.

The value of Swedbank's shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels has also increased, but less strongly, by 35% between the beginning and the end of the period of this study, from USD 874 million in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 1.2 billion in the final quarter of 2017. Fossil fuels then accounted for 80% of the total value of shareholdings, while renewable energy accounted for 20%. As this increase in the final quarter was slightly stronger than the baseline, it points to additional investments by Swedbank in shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels.
3.9.3 Bondholdings

Figure 23 provides an overview of the distribution of Swedbank identified bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy, at the end of 2017. The value of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounted to USD 75 million, with USD 9 million attributable to renewable energy and USD 66 million attributable to fossil fuels.
Chapter 4  Analysis and conclusions

This chapter outlines the trends identified in the financing of energy activities by the nine financial institutions selected by the Swedish FFG coalition (see section 2.2):

- Danske Bank
- Ekobanken
- Handelsbanken
- JAK Medlemsbank
- Lånsförsäkringar
- Nordea
- SEB
- Skandia
- Swedbank

For the two-year period 2016-2017 the research project has calculated, for each financial institution and for each category of loans and investments, the proportion of financing geared towards Fossil fuels and towards Renewable energy. This research is based on loans to, investments in, a selection of 292 global energy companies (Table 7) and 85 renewable energy projects (Table 8).

All credits and underwritings provided by the selected financial institutions to the selected energy companies and renewable energy projects from January 2016 to the end of December 2017 are taken into account. The results for all Swedish financial institutions together are analysed in section 4.2. For shareholdings and bondholdings the available quarterly data in this time period were used, which also allows for a trend analysis within the two year-period. The results for all Swedish financial institutions together are analysed in sections 4.3 and 4.4. Section 4.6 draws conclusions.

By way of introduction, section 4.1 first discusses the findings on the portfolio composition of power generation companies by energy sector.

4.1  Portfolio composition of power generation companies by energy sector

This section provides an overview of the portfolio composition by energy sector of the 25 largest global power generation companies and the four power generation companies active in the Sweden selected for this research project (see section 2.3). 0 provides an overview of the changes in portfolio composition of the global power generation companies selected for this research project. This figure demonstrates the continued reliance on fossil fuels, with an increase in the proportion of renewable energy capacity (wind, solar, geothermal and ocean energy) of 1% between 2016 and 2017, and an increase in hydropower also of 1%.

Despite this marginal increase, many power generation companies are making significant efforts to change the composition of their electricity generation portfolio, such as by moving away from coal-fired to gas-fired power plants, by investing in new renewable energy plants (primarily solar and wind), or by working to improve the energy efficiency and reduce the CO2 output of their power plants. But with a large installed capacity operating predominantly on fossil fuels which is not yet reaching the end of its lifecycle, the proportion will not change fast in the years to come. Huge investments in renewable energy would be needed to speed up this process.
Figure 25 provides an overview of the changes in portfolio composition of the four power generation companies active in Sweden. Similar in distribution to the installed capacity portfolio of the global power generation companies, it shows small additions to renewable energy (solar, wind, geothermal and ocean) between 2016 and 2017, while fossil fuels remain the primary source for electricity generation from the selected power generation companies active in Sweden. Hydropower also continues to play an important role in Sweden, with additions continuing.

Within this selection of companies, renewable energy plays a smaller role than for the global power generation companies shown in Figure 24. However, hydropower plays a more dominant role.

4.2 Loans and underwriting

This section provides an analysis of how the loans and underwriting provided by Swedish financial institutions to the selected companies and renewable energy projects are attributable to fossil fuels and to renewable energy.
4.2.1 Ranking of Swedish financial institutions

Figure 26 provides a ranking of Swedish financial institutions in terms of their financing (loans and underwriting services combined) attributable to fossil fuels in the two-year period 2016-2017. Of all loans and underwritings, 62% was attributable to fossil fuels, and 38% to renewable energy.

Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken (SEB) provided the highest level of loans and underwriting attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy (USD 1.7 billion), followed by Nordea (USD 0.9 billion).

As 55% of the loans and underwriting provided by SEB was attributable to fossil fuels, and 45% to renewable energy, it had one of the highest proportions of loans and underwriting attributable to renewable energy. 63% of Nordea's loans and underwriting were attributable to fossil fuels, and 37% to renewable energy. JAK had the highest proportion of loans and underwriting attributable to renewable energy at 100%.

Danske Bank and Swedbank had the highest proportions of loans and underwriting attributable to fossil fuels at 93% and 97% respectively.

4.2.2 Development of loans by Swedish financial institutions

0 compares the loans and renewable energy project financing by Swedish financial institutions by energy sector, for 2016 and 2017. The graph demonstrates that Swedish financial institutions have increased the proportion of their loans attributable to renewable energy from 32% to 51%. However, in terms of value, loans to renewable energy decreased from USD 594 million in 2016 to USD 549 million in 2017. The proportion change is driven largely by a more than 50% decrease in loans attributable to fossil fuels from USD 1.2 billion in 2016, to USD 534 million in 2017.
4.2.3 Development of underwriting by Swedish financial institutions

Figure 28 shows the trend in underwriting services attributable to renewable energy and fossil fuels by Swedish financial institutions during the 2016-2017 period. The graph demonstrates that Swedish financial institutions have increased the proportion of their underwriting attributable to renewable energy from 2% to 14%. This is driven by an increase in value of underwriting to renewable energy from USD 1 million, to USD 10 million. As well as a decrease in underwriting to fossil fuels from USD 76 million in 2016 to USD 66 million in 2017.

4.3 Shareholdings

This section provides an analysis of how the value of shareholdings owned and managed by Swedish financial institutions is attributable to fossil fuels and to renewable energy.
4.3.1 Ranking of Swedish financial institutions

Figure 29 ranks the Swedish financial institutions according to the average value of their shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels, in the period 2016-2017. Nordea and Swedbank held on average the highest values of shares. Both these financial institutions held on average over USD 1 billion in shares attributable to fossil fuels during the period of study. This compares to an average USD 270 million and USD 179 million respectively in value of shares attributable to renewable energy. In total the Swedish financial institutions on average held USD 1.2 billion in shares attributable to renewable energy, and USD 4.1 billion in shares attributable to fossil fuels.

Figure 29 Swedish financial institutions ranked on average value of shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels (2016-2017, in USD million)

![Graph showing shareholdings of Swedish financial institutions]

Figure 30 provides an overview of the value of the shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels and to renewable energy for the different Swedish financial institutions. It shows that for five of the seven financial institutions, the proportion of fossil fuels in the total value of their energy shareholdings was more than 80% throughout the period. For Länsförsäkringar, this proportion exceeded 90%. For Svenska Handelsbanken the proportion attributable to renewable energy was just under 60%. While for Skandia the average proportion of shares attributable to renewable energy was more than 50%.
4.3.2 Quarterly trend in shareholdings value

Figure 31 shows that as of the third quarter of 2016 the value of shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels owned or managed by all Swedish financial institutions exceeded the baseline. This points to additional investments in shares attributable to fossil fuels. The value of shares attributable to fossil fuels increased by 41% from USD 3.4 billion in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 4.7 billion in the last quarter of 2017. Then 81% of the investments were attributable to fossil fuels, and 19% were attributable to renewable energy.

The value of shareholdings attributable to renewable energy during the two-year period has generally fluctuated in correspondence with baseline. Nevertheless, there has been a 1% increase in the value of shares attributable to renewable energy from USD 1,109 million in the first quarter of 2016, to USD 1,126 million in the final quarter of 2017.
This section provides an analysis of the investments in bondholdings by financial institutions active in Sweden in the selected companies. It first provides an overview of identified investments in bonds attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy of the selected companies. Second, it ranks the financial institutions active in Sweden according to the total bondholdings identified in selected companies attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy.

Value of bondholdings by the financial institutions active in Sweden in the selected companies attributable to fossil fuels and renewable energy amounted to USD 937 million, as of the end of 2017 date. Figure 32 provides an overview of the distribution between fossil fuels and renewable energy for the total bondholdings identified. Value of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels amounted to USD 800 million, or 85% of total bondholdings identified, whereas value of bondholdings attributable to renewable energy amounted USD 137 million, or 15% of total bondholdings identified.
Figure 33 shows for all Swedish financial institutions the proportions attributable to fossil fuels and to renewable energy of the values of the bondholdings they owned or managed. It shows that approximately a quarter of the bonds held by Danske Bank and SEB were attributable to renewable energy. Only 2% of the bonds held by Länsförsäkringar were attributable to renewable energy.

4.5 Conclusions

Overall, this study points to a trend among Swedish financial institutions towards relatively more financing for renewable energy, as the share of all energy loans and investments going to renewable energy is increasing. Although the trends are positive towards renewable energy, the average proportion of energy sector financing attributable to fossil fuels remain well above 60% for all types of loans and investments by Swedish banks.
Loans by Swedish financial institutions to renewable energy decreased in the research period, from USD 594 million in 2016 to USD 549 million in 2017. But the proportion of energy loans attributable to renewable energy increased from 32% in 2016 to 51% in 2017. The proportion change is driven largely by a more than 50% decrease in loans attributable to fossil fuels from USD 1.2 billion in 2016, to USD 534 million in 2017.

The proportion of the underwriting by Swedish financial institutions attributable to renewable energy increased from 2% in 2016 to 14% in 2017. This is driven by an increase in value of underwriting to renewable energy from USD 1 million to USD 10 million, as well as a decrease in underwriting to fossil fuels from USD 76 million to USD 66 million.

With regard to the proportion of all their financing (loans and underwriting services combined) attributable to fossil fuels in the two-year period 2016-2017, especially Dankse Bank and Swedbank rank disappointingly. With USD 951 USD million of fossil fuel financing, Swedbank directs 97% of its total energy financing to fossil fuels. Danske Bank was responsible for USD 300 million of fossil fuel financing, representing 93% of its total energy financing.

No shareholdings were identified for JAK Medlemsbank and Ekobanken. On average over the 2016-2017 period, seven of the nine researched Swedish financial institutions owned or managed more than USD 4.1 billion in shares attributable to fossil fuels, compared with USD 1.2 billion attributable to renewable energy. For four of the seven financial institutions, namely Länsförsäkringar, Nordea, SEB, and Swedbank, the proportion of fossil fuels in the total value of their energy shareholdings was more than 80% throughout the period. Only for Skandia the average proportion of shares attributable to renewable energy was more than 50%.

The value of shares attributable to fossil fuels owned or managed by the seven banks together, increased by 41% from USD 3.4 billion in the first quarter of 2016 to USD 4.7 billion in the last quarter of 2017. As of the third quarter of 2016 the value of shareholdings attributable to fossil fuels owned or managed by Swedish financial institutions exceeded the baseline, which points to additional investments in shares attributable to fossil fuels.

The share of renewable energy in shareholding values has generally fluctuated in correspondence with the baseline. As a result, there has been a 1% increase in the value of shares attributable to renewable energy from USD 1,109 million in the first quarter of 2016, to USD 1,126 million in the final quarter of 2017. At that point, 81% of the energy investments of the seven banks were attributable to fossil fuels, and 19% were attributable to renewable energy.

All banks exceeded the baseline for fossil fuels, which point to a net investment in fossil fuels shares. At the same time, Länsförsäkringar, SEB and Swedbank also showed a net investment in shareholdings attributable to renewable energy. Svenska Handelsbank is the only bank of which the value of shares attributable to renewable energy has fallen below the baseline, indicating a divestment from the sector.

With regards to bondholdings, no trend could be identified during the research period, due to a lack of data availability. At the end of 2017, the value of bondholdings attributable to renewable energy amounted to USD 137 million, or 15% of all energy bondholdings owned or managed by Swedish financial institutions. For all selected financial institutions holding bonds, the proportion of bondholdings attributable to fossil fuels on average is well above 70%.
This study also points to individual banks staying far behind in the average trend towards increasing renewable energy financing. Danske Bank and Swedbank had the highest proportions of loans and underwriting attributable to fossil fuels at 93% and 97% respectively. Also, all banks made significant net investments in fossil fuel shareholdings during the research period. As climate change is already impacting the lives of people severely, especially of the poorest people in developing countries, all Swedish banks should urgently shift all forms of energy loans and investments towards renewable energy.
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### Appendix 1 Selections of financial institutions, companies and projects

Table 6 provides an overview of the selected financial institutions for all three national Fair Finance Guide coalitions participating in this research project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial institution</th>
<th>Coalition country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABN Amro</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achmea</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegon</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allianz</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APG</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banque Postale</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP Paribas</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPCE Group</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crédit Agricole</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crédit Coopératif</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crédit Mutuel – CIC Group</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danske Bank</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Volksbank</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekobanken</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handelsbanken</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ING Bank</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK Medlemsbank</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Nef</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Länsförsäkringar</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIBC</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN Group</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordea</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabobank</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skandia</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Société Générale</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedbank</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triodos Bank</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Lanschot Kempen</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vivat</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7 lists per energy sector the 292 companies selected for this research project.

**Table 7  Selected companies for each energy sector**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy sector</th>
<th>Company name</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Adani</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Adaro Energy</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>African Rainbow Minerals</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Agritrade International</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Alliance Resource</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Alpha Natural Resources</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Anglo American</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Arch Coal</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Banpu</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Baotailong New Materials</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Bayan Resources</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Beijing Energy Investment Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>BHP Billiton</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Bukit Asam</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Bumi Resources</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>China Energy Investment Corporation*</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>China Huadian Corporation</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>China Huaneng Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>China Kingho Energy Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>China National Coal Group (ChinaCoal)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>China Pingmei Shenma Energy</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Cloud Peak Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Coal India</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Consol Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Contura Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Datong Coal Mine Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Drummond</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Energetický a Průmyslový Holding (EPH)</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Energy Earth</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Essar Energy</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Eurasian Natural Resources</td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Exxaro Resources</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Foresight Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector</td>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Fujian Energy Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Fuxin Mining Industry</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Gansu Jingyuan Coal Industry and Electricity Power</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Glencore</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Guizhou Panjiang Investment Holding</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Heilongjiang Longmei Mining Holding Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Henan Energy and Chemical Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Henan Shenhuo Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Huaibei Mining Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Huainan Mining Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Hunan Coal Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Indika Energy</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Inner Mongolia Yitai Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Jardine Matheson</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Jastrzebska Spolka Weglowa (JSW)</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Jiangxi Energy Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Jiangxi Provincial Investment Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Jilin Provincial Coal Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Jindal Steel &amp; Power</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Jining Mining Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Jizhong Energy Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Kailuan Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>LuAn Mining Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Meichel</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Meijin Energy Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Mongolian Mining Corporation</td>
<td>Mongolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Murray Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Natural Resource Partners</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>New Wei</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>NLC India</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Ordos Wulan Coal Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Peabody Energy Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Polska Grupa Energetyczna (PGE)</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>RAG</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Reliance Power</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Rio Tinto</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector</td>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>RWE</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Samruk-Energo</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Sasol</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shaanxi Coal &amp; Chemical Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shandong Energy Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shandong Taifeng Mining Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shanxi Coal Import &amp; Export Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shanxi Coking Coal Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shanxi Jincheng Anthracite Mining Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shanxi Lanhua Coal Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shenyang Coal Trade Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Shougang Fushan Resources Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Sichuan Coal Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Singareni Collieries Company (SCC)</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>State Development Investment Corporation (SDIC)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>State Power Investment Corporation (SPIC)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Suek</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Up Energy Development Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Ural Mining Metallurgical Company (UMMC)</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Vale</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Wanbei Coal-Electricity Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Weishanhu Mining Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Westmoreland Coal</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Whitehaven Coal</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Wintime Holding Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Xuzhou Coal Mining Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Yankuang Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Yanquan Coal Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Yunnan Coal Chemical Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Zespol Elektrowni Patnow Adamow Konin (Ze Pak)</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining</td>
<td>Zhengzhou Coal Industry Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Abengoa</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Acciona</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>ACS Cobra</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>ACWA Power</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>BrightSource</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector</td>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>General Electric</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Rioglass Solar</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Sener</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Solar Reserve</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Supcon</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>TSK</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - France</td>
<td>Direct Energie</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - France</td>
<td>Électricité de France (EDF)</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - France</td>
<td>Engie</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - France</td>
<td>EOn France Power</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Centrais Eletricas Brasileiras</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>China Datang Corporation</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>China Energy Investment Corporation*</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>China Huadian Corporation</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>China Huaneng Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Duke Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Électricité de France (EDF)</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Enel</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Engie</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Eskom Holdings</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Federal Electricity Commission (CFE)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Gazprom</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Iberdrola</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Korea Electric Power Company (KEPCO)</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Nextera Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>NRG Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>NTPC</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Perusahaan Listrik Negara (PLN Persero)</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>RWE</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Saudi Electricity Company</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Southern Company</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>State Power Investment Corporation (SPIC)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Tennessee Valley Authority</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Tokyo Electric Power Company (TEPCO)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Uniper</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Global</td>
<td>Vistra Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector</td>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Netherlands</td>
<td>Eneco</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Netherlands</td>
<td>Essent</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Netherlands</td>
<td>Greenchoice</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Netherlands</td>
<td>Nuon</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Norway</td>
<td>Agder Energi</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Norway</td>
<td>BKK</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Norway</td>
<td>Eidsiva Energi</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Norway</td>
<td>Fjordkraft</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Norway</td>
<td>Statkraft</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Sweden</td>
<td>Fortum Power and Heat</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Sweden</td>
<td>Statkraft</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Sweden</td>
<td>Sydkraft</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power generation - Sweden</td>
<td>Vattenfall</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Alterra Power Corporation</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Ansaldo-Tosi</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Atlas Copco</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Ayala Corporation</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Calpine</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Enel</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Exergy</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Fuji Energy</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Mitsubishi Corporation</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Orkuveita Reykjavikur</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Ormat Technologies</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Ram Power Corporation</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Reykjavik Geothermal</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal power</td>
<td>Toshiba Corporation</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Columbia Power Technologies</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Eco Wave Power</td>
<td>Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Électricité de France (EDF)</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>ELSA</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Emera</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector</td>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Fred Olsen Energy</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>K-Water</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Northwest Energy Innovations</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Nova Innovation</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Oceantec</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>OpenHydro</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Sabella</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Scotrenewables Tidal Power</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Seabased</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Tidal Power Scotland</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean energy</td>
<td>Waves4Power</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Anadarko Petroleum</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Apache Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Bashneft</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Bharat Petroleum Corporation</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>California Resources</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Canadian Natural Resources</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Cenovus Energy</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>China National Offshore Oil Corporation (CNOOC)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>China National Petroleum Corporation (CNPC)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>China Petroleum &amp; Chemical Corporation (Sinopec)</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>ConocoPhillips</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Cosmo Energy Holdings</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>CPC Corporation</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Delek Group</td>
<td>Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Devon Energy</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Ecopetrol</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Eni</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>EOG Resources</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>EQT Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Galp Energia</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Gazprom</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Hellenic Petroleum</td>
<td>Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector</td>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Hess Corp</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Hindustan Petroleum</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Husky Energy</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Idemitsu Kosan</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Imperial Oil</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Indian Oil</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Inpex Corp</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>International Petroleum Investment</td>
<td>UAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>JXTG Holdings</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>KazMunayGaz</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Kuwait Petroleum</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Lukoil</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Marathon Oil Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Novatek PAO</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Occidental Petroleum</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Oil and Gas Development</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC)</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Oil India</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>OMV</td>
<td>Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Origin Energy</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Petroleo Brasileiro (Petrobras)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Polskie Gornictwo Naftowe i Gazownictwo</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>PTT PCL</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Qatar Petroleum</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Reliance Industries</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Repsol</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Rosneft</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Royal Dutch Shell</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Sasol</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Shaanxi Yanchang Petroleum Group</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>SK Holdings</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>S-Oil Corp</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Sonatrach SPA</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Statoil</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Suncor Energy</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector</td>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Surgutneftegaz</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Tatneft</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Total SA</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Traficura</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Vitol</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Williams Partners</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>Woodside Petroleum</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; gas</td>
<td>YPF</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>BYD</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Canadian Solar</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>China Singyes Solar Technologies Holdings</td>
<td>Bermuda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>EGing Photovoltaic Technology</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>ET Solar</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>First Solar</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>GCL</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Hanwha Q CELLS</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>JA Solar</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>JinkoSolar</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Kyocera</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Motech</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Phono Solar</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>REC Solar</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>ReneSola</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Risen Energy</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Shanghai Aerospace Automobile Electromechanical</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>SHARP</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Shinsung E&amp;G</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Shunfeng</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Solar Frontier KK</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>SolarWorld</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Sungrow Power Supply</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>SunPower Corporation</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Tongwei Solar</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Trina Solar</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Vikram Solar</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Xinyi Solar</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy sector</td>
<td>Company name</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV module manufacturing</td>
<td>Yingli Green</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>Enercon</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>Envision</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>Gamesa</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>GE Wind</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>Goldwind</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>Ming Yang</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>Nordex Acciona</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>Siemens</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind turbine manufacturing</td>
<td>United Power</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* At the end of 2017, China Guodian Corporation and China Shenhua Group merged into China Energy Investment Group. The selection of the new group for the coal mining sector is based on both groups’ activities, and for Power generation, on China Guodian Corporation’s total installed capacity.

Table 8 lists the 85 renewable energy projects which are selected for this research project.

Table 8  Selected renewable energy projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Project country</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of Markbygden ETT Wind Farm (650MW) 2017</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africana Energia Thermal Solar Plant (50MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriport Geohermie Plant (20MW)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balko Wind Farm (300MW) Refinancing 2017</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belwind Offshore Wind Farm II (165MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boralex French Wind Portfolio (111.5MW)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boralex Niaga Region Wind Farm (230MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borkum West II Offshore Wind Farm (Phase 1) (200MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brotorp Wind Farm (46.2MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butendiek Offshore Wind Farm (288MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestas Solar Park (300MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemin de Gres Wind Farm (29.7MW)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper’s Gap Wind Farm (453MW)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decouverte Solar PV Plant (12MW)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deutsche Bucht Offshore Wind Farm (252MW)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durance Solar PV Portfolio (34MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epine Marie Madeleine Wind Farm (36MW)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimmas Wind Farm (46.8MW)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Solar Energy Center West (ISE West) (150MW) Refinancing 2017</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project name</strong></td>
<td><strong>Project country</strong></td>
<td><strong>Year</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovent Wind Portfolio (33MW) Financing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krammer Wind Farm (102MW)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed bin Rashid Al Maktourn PV Phase III (800MW) PPP</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Signal 3 Solar Facility (328MW) Additional Facility 2 2017</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obton French Solar PV Portfolio (30.3MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orejana (158MW) and Santa Maria PV Solar Parks (179MW)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oudcamp Geothermal Plant (18MW)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ras Ghareb Wind Farm (262.5MW)</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinancing of Macquarie’s Stake in Lincs Offshore Wind Farm (270MW)</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renvico’s French Wind Portfolio (187MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynosa Wind Farm (424MW)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Vitoria do Palmar Wind Complex (207MW)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra da Babilonia Wind Complex (223.25MW)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silverton Wind Farm (200MW)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solepark de Kie (10.15MW)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPower US Wind &amp; Solar Portfolio (567.3MW) Refinancing 2017</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockyard Hill Wind Farm (530MW)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrun Scorpio Residential Solar Portfolio Financing 2017</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweihan Solar PV Plant (1177MW) PPP</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trias Westland Geothermal Plant (25MW)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasco Energies French Wind Portfolio (145MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventient Energy’s UK Wind Portfolio (711MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walney Extension Offshore Wind Farm (659MW)</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zon op Ymere Social Housing Solar PV (20MW) and LED Lighting</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aardwarmte Vogelaer Geothermal Doublet System (16MW)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andasol 1&amp;2 Thermal Solar Plants Refinancing 2016</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beacon Solar Portfolio (183.4MW)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice Offshore Wind Farm (588MW)</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blythe Solar PV Complex (235MW)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boralex French Wind Portfolio (57.1MW)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Creek II Wind Farm (250.8MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermott Wind Farm (253MW)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm (402MW)</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electra Wind Farm (230MW)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project name</td>
<td>Project country</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurowatt Wind Portfolio (97MW) Financing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Renewable Solar (GRS) Plant (100MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grande Prairie Wind Farm (400MW) Bond Facility</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Solar Energy Center West (ISE West) (150MW) Refinancing 2016</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathu CSP Power Plant (100MW)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langa's French PV Portfolio (49MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luchterduinen Offshore Wind Farm (130MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetar Solar PV Portfolio (344MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merkur Offshore Wind Farm (396MW)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoen’s KfW Facility 2016</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Region Wind Farm (230MW)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photosol PV Solar Plants Refinancing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Skywalker Onshore Wind Farm (1GW) 40% Investment Facility</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rentel Offshore Wind Farm (309MW)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seigneurie de Beaupre Wind Farms II and III (272MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol-Luce Kingston PV Solar Farm Refinancing (100MW)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonnedix’s French Solar PV Portfolio (23.6MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPower Solar PV Portfolio (339.4MW)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SunPort Delfzijl Solar Park (30.8MW)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellenes Wind Farm (160MW)</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenergie Solar PV Portfolio (41.4MW) Financing 2016</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thor Wind Farm (147.6MW)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toul-Rosières 2 PV Solar Plant (36MW) Refinancing 2016</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeco Solar PV and Wind Portfolio (180MW) Financing</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vela Energy Solar PV Portfolio (98.5MW) Refinancing</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake Wind Farm (257MW)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Interconnect Transmission Line and Broadview Wind Farms (324.3MW)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>