SEISMIC RISKS

in the Belene Nuclear Power Project

Petko Kovachev, M.Sc. Econ. – Green Policy Institute, Sofia petkok@bankwatch.org Ir. Jan Haverkamp – Greenpeace jan.haverkamp@greenpeace.org

Introduction

In this fact sheet, you will find three original documents concerning the seismic risks of the Belene nuclear power plant (Belene NPP) project. Two of them date from the original planning phase of the nuclear power station and conclude that the Belene site is unsuitable, the last one from the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of the current attempt to construction of the Belene NPP concludes the contrary.

The Belene NPP project is controversial. In the early 1990s, this originally communist project was dropped for economic and environmental reasons. One of the key-arguments in the environmental debate was the fact that Belene is situated in a seismic active area. In 2003, plans for finishing the Belene project resurfaced and led to the present construction project for a Russian made AES-92 NPP with two power blocks of 1000 MW each delivered by VVER 1000/466B reactors.

Recently recovered documents from the original planning phase show that the Russian developers of the original Belene project dismissed the Belene site as unsuitable for a nuclear power station. In this document you find a letter from the Bulgarian Academy of Science – Central Laboratory on High Geodesy to the project leader, the state utility "Energia".

Shortly after the political changes in 1989, the Bulgarian Academy of Science issued a comprehensive study concerning the Belene NPP project – the so-called "White Book". Seismic activity was one of issues covered, and the authors come to the conclusion that in Belene there will not be any safety margins left in case of a large earthquake.

The third document quoted here is the technical summary of the official Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report from 2004, which states that Belene does not know any seismic risk.

This latter statement flagrantly collides with the 1977 earthquake in the area, which cost around 120 people their lives in Svishtov, the nearest major town from the Belene site, on 14 km East. This earthquake also destroyed buildings in the town of Belene, 3 km West. We therefore also asked the Svishtov Municipal Council for its opinion on the EIA report. According to the Chairman of the Svishtov Municipal Council, Mr. Andrey Zahariev, no requests have been submitted by the EIA team in the surrounding municipalities of Belene and Svishtov (Bulgaria) and Zimnicea (Romania) – and therefore no permissions have ever been issued – for on-site seismic and other investigations during the preparation of EIA report.

The conclusion of the editors of this fact-sheet is that the EIA for Belene has been carried out on the basis of selected paper sources and without proper on-site investigations. This in spite of the fact that seismic risk was flagged in the past on several occasions on the basis of the same data as a serious ground to dismiss the site as unsuitable. This is but one of the proofs that the planning process of the Belene NPP project has been manipulated to yield the result of go-ahead.

nternationa

I. Letter 500-HO/06.11.1984 from N. Georgiev, Director of the Central Laboratory on High Geodesy, Bulgarian Academy of Science, to St. Nozharova, Deputy Head of the utility "Energia"





"There are more than 400 NPPs constructed worldwide and another 300 are under construction. but none of these is situated in such a complicated area from a seismic point of view as the Belene NPP is. [...] The complexity of the problem is obvious according to the added letter between deputy energy ministers of Bulgaria and the USSR, O. Tadjer and N. A. Lopatin, which makes clear that the issue of seismic properties has been discussed 11 times with various groups of Soviet specialists, which required more and more new investigations to be done. Up to now 12 reports with more than 3000 pages of results about seismic and geological situation have been presented to the USSR. In spite of this, the Soviet counterparts suggested in June 1983 that the site of Belene NPP should be withdrawn due to the high seismic risks and a new site to be found, in spite that 13 million leva has already been spent for construction works."

Later in the letter, the scientists call for more funding to be able to prove that the seismic conditions are not so problematic as the Soviet specialists think.





II. Belene NPP – Investigations and position of Bulgarian Academy of Science 1990, p. 324 – 325 (so called "White book")¹

"What is presented in the points 1-5 gives us the reasons to conclude as follows:

- 1. If the VVER 1000 reactors are guaranteed to withstand a maximum projected earthquake (MPE) of the level 8 for the next 10000 years, the selected site does not offer a reserve in seismic security.
- 2. A substantial support comes from the excellent construction of the ballast pillow under the foundation. [...] this improvement however, cannot increase the seismic security to a sufficient level.
- 3. In spite of the fact that the investigation and research of the Belene project site have been done in line with the at that time good practices, the given fact that earthquakes are accompanied with yet unforeseen consequences applies also to today's life and this particular case. Taking also into account the objectively existing gaps in the initial information, there is a definitive uncertainty regarding future seismic impacts on the plant.

It is our duty to remember that the assessment above applies for the construction site and not for the constructions and equipment, where additional options for increasing the seismic security reserve have been found to a level of reasonable sufficiency."

¹ Plamen Tsvetanov (ed), AEЦ "БЕЛЕНЕ" - Изследвания и становище на Българската Академия на Науките (NPP "BELENE" – Analysis and conclusions from the Bulgarian Academy of Science), (Sofia, 1990) Bulgarian Academy of Science, 421 pp.



III. The 2004 Environmental Impact Assessment report²

With regard to their potential impact on NPPs, earthquakes are considered the most dangerous natural disasters. Seismic activity in the local area surrounding the Belene NPP has been studied in details. In the 30 km zone, there is no data about earthquakes with intensity greater than 2.5. These events have been analyzed and evaluated with regard to the hydrology conditions of the Danube River. They do not pose a potential danger to the plant. (p. 31, non-tech summary)

The final conclusion regarding the neotectonics of the regional and local zones is, that within the studied territory there are no big fault structures of high energy potential. This is defined by the general calm tectonic situation in the area of the Moessian platform, also well-expressed in its geomorphologic structure. These conclusions put forward the necessity of greater attention for the seismic assurance of the NPP only against eventual strong earthquakes, the epicenters of which are in the foci Vrancha, Gorna Oryahovitsa, Shabla, Dulovo, Chirpan-Plovdiv, Sofia and Kresna.(p. 54)

It can be noted that the absence of historic earthquakes of magnitude above 4.0, as well as of instrumentally manifested seismicity with magnitude above 3.6 in the stable part of the Moessian platform show that the NPP Belene site is located in the calmest (in seismic aspect) part of the considered 320-km region. (p. 55)

From the viewpoint of seismo-tectonic and seismic hazard, there are no excluding conditions for the use of Belene site as site of a nuclear power plant; (p. 115)

² Ivan Ivanov e.a., Environmental Impact Assessment Report of Investment Proposal for Construction of Belene Nuclear Power Plant; Non-Technical Summary, 2004 (Sofia) NEK; <u>http://www.nek.bg/tender/BNPP-EIA-GCR-PEC-9.0-E3.pdf</u>







DOCUMENT NO. BNPP-EIA-PEC-NEK-0001-E3

Mance, 2004

Page 54 or 128

SEISMIC HAZARD MAP SURROUNDINGS BELENE³





³ European Seismological Commission, February 2003; <u>http://wija.ija.csic.es/gt/earthquakes/</u>

Pictures from the aftermath of the earthquake of 4 March 1977 in Svishtov

(pictures provided by the municipality of Svishtov)





This fact sheet was developed by **GREENPEACE** in cooperation with WISE Brno and the BeleNE! coalition