THE “EQUATOR PRINCIPLES”

AN INDUSTRY APPROACH FOR FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN DETERMINING, ASSESSING AND MANAGING ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL RISK IN PROJECT FINANCING

PREAMBLE

Project financing plays an important role in financing development throughout the world. In providing financing, particularly in emerging markets, project financiers often encounter environmental and social policy issues. We recognize that our role as financiers affords us significant opportunities to promote responsible environmental stewardship and socially responsible development.

In adopting these principles, we seek to ensure that the projects we finance are developed in a manner that is socially responsible and reflect sound environmental management practices.

We believe that adoption of and adherence to these principles offers significant benefits to ourselves, our customers and other stakeholders. These principles will foster our ability to document and manage our risk exposures to environmental and social matters associated with the projects we finance, thereby allowing us to engage proactively with our stakeholders on environmental and social policy issues. Adherence to these principles will allow us to work with our customers in their management of environmental and social policy issues relating to their investments in the emerging markets.

These principles are intended to serve as a common baseline and framework for the implementation of our individual, internal environmental and social procedures and standards for our project financing activities across all industry sectors globally.
In adopting these principles, we undertake to review carefully all proposals for which our customers request project financing. We will not provide loans directly to projects where the borrower will not or is unable to comply with our environmental and social policies and processes.

**STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES**

*We will only provide loans directly to projects in the following circumstances:*

1. We have categorised the risk of a project in accordance with internal guidelines based upon the environmental and social screening criteria of the IFC as described in the attachment to these Principles (Exhibit I).

2. For all Category A and Category B projects, the borrower has completed an Environmental Assessment (EA), the preparation of which is consistent with the outcome of our categorisation process and addresses to our satisfaction key environmental and social issues identified during the categorisation process.

3. In the context of the business of the project, as applicable, the EA report has addressed:
   a) assessment of the baseline environmental and social conditions
   b) requirements under host country laws and regulations, applicable international treaties and agreements
   c) sustainable development and use of renewable natural resources
   d) protection of human health, cultural properties, and biodiversity, including endangered species and sensitive ecosystems
   e) use of dangerous substances
   f) major hazards
   g) occupational health and safety
   h) fire prevention and life safety
   i) socioeconomic impacts
   j) land acquisition and land use
   k) involuntary resettlement
   l) impacts on indigenous peoples and communities
m) cumulative impacts of existing projects, the proposed project, and anticipated future projects
n) participation of affected parties in the design, review and implementation of the project
o) consideration of feasible environmentally and socially preferable alternatives
p) efficient production, delivery and use of energy
q) pollution prevention and waste minimization, pollution controls (liquid effluents and air emissions) and solid and chemical waste management

Note: In each case, the EA will have addressed compliance with applicable host country laws, regulations and permits required by the project. Also, reference will have been made to the minimum standards applicable under the World Bank and IFC Pollution Prevention and Abatement Guidelines (Exhibit III) and, for projects located in low and middle income countries as defined by the World Bank Development Indicators Database (http://www.worldbank.org/data/countryclass/classgroups.htm), the EA will have further taken into account the then applicable IFC Safeguard Policies (Exhibit II). In each case, the EA will have addressed, to our satisfaction, the project’s overall compliance with (or justified deviations from) the respective above-referenced Guidelines and Safeguard Policies.

4. For all Category A projects, and as considered appropriate for Category B projects, the borrower or third party expert has prepared an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) which draws on the conclusions of the EA. The EMP has addressed mitigation, action plans, monitoring, management of risk and schedules.

5. For all Category A projects and, as considered appropriate for Category B projects, we are satisfied that the borrower or third party expert has consulted, in a structured and culturally appropriate way, with project affected groups, including indigenous peoples and local NGOs. The EA, or a summary thereof, has been made available to the public for a reasonable minimum period in local language and in a culturally appropriate manner. The EA and the EMP will take
account of such consultations, and for Category A Projects, will be subject to independent expert review.

6. The borrower has covenanted to:

   a) comply with the EMP in the construction and operation of the project

   b) provide regular reports, prepared by in-house staff or third party experts, on compliance with the EMP and

   c) where applicable, decommission the facilities in accordance with an agreed Decommissioning Plan.

7. As necessary, lenders have appointed an independent environmental expert to provide additional monitoring and reporting services.

8. In circumstances where a borrower is not in compliance with its environmental and social covenants, such that any debt financing would be in default, we will engage the borrower in its efforts to seek solutions to bring it back into compliance with its covenants.

9. These principles apply to projects with a total capital cost of $50 million or more.

The adopting institutions view these principles as a framework for developing individual, internal practices and policies. As with all internal policies, these principles do not create any rights in, or liability to, any person, public or private. Banks are adopting and implementing these principles voluntarily and independently, without reliance on or recourse to IFC or the World Bank.
EXHIBIT I: ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SCREENING PROCESS

Environmental screening of each proposed project shall be undertaken to determine the appropriate extent and type of EA. Proposed projects will be classified into one of three categories, depending on the type, location, sensitivity, and scale of the project and the nature and magnitude of its potential environmental and social impacts.

**Category A**: A proposed project is classified as Category A if it is likely to have significant adverse environmental impacts that are sensitive, diverse, or unprecedented. A potential impact is considered “sensitive” if it may be irreversible (e.g., lead to loss of a major natural habitat) or affect vulnerable groups or ethnic minorities, involve involuntary displacement or resettlement, or affect significant cultural heritage sites. These impacts may affect an area broader than the sites or facilities subject to physical works. EA for a Category A project examines the project's potential negative and positive environmental impacts, compares them with those of feasible alternatives (including, the “without project” situation), and recommends any measures needed to prevent, minimize, mitigate, or compensate for adverse impacts and improve environmental performance. A full environmental assessment is required which is normally an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA).

**Category B**: A proposed project is classified as Category B if its potential adverse environmental impacts on human populations or environmentally important areas—including wetlands, forests, grasslands, and other natural habitats—are less adverse than those of Category A projects. These impacts are site-specific; few if any of them are irreversible; and in most cases mitigatory measures can be designed more readily than for Category A projects. The scope of EA for a Category B project may vary from project to project, but it is narrower than that of Category A EA. Like Category A EA, it examines the project's potential negative and positive environmental impacts and recommends any measures needed to prevent, minimize, mitigate, or compensate for adverse impacts and improve environmental performance.

**Category C**: A proposed project is classified as Category C if it is likely to have minimal or no adverse environmental impacts. Beyond screening, no further EA action is required for a Category C project.
EXHIBIT II: IFC SAFEGUARD POLICIES

As of 4 June 2003, the following is a list of IFC Safeguard Policies:

- Environmental Assessment
  OP4.01 (October 1998)

- Natural Habitats
  OP4.04 (November 1998)

- Pest Management
  OP4.09 (November 1998)

- Forestry
  OP4.36 (November 1998)

- Safety of Dams
  OP4.37 (September 1996)

- Indigenous Peoples
  OD4.20 (September 1991)

- Involuntary Resettlement
  OP4.30 (June 1990)

- Cultural Property
  OPN11.03 (September 1986)

- Child and Forced Labor
  Policy Statement (March 1998)

- International Waterways
  OP 7.50 (November 1998)*

*Note: The principal requirements relate to the role of IFC as a multi-lateral agency and notification requirements between riparian states which are generally outside the remit of private sector operators or funders. It is referenced for the sake of completeness. The substantive elements of good practice with respect to environmental and social aspects therein are fully covered by OP 4.01.
EXHIBIT III: WORLD BANK AND IFC SPECIFIC GUIDELINES

As of 4 June 2003, IFC is using two sets of guidelines for its projects.

1. IFC is using all the environmental guidelines contained in the World Bank Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook (PPAH). This Handbook went into official use on July 1, 1998.

2. IFC is also using a series of environmental, health and safety guidelines that were written by IFC staff in 1991-1993 and for which there are no parallel guidelines in the Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook. Ultimately new guidelines, incorporating the concepts of cleaner production and environmental management systems, will be written to replace this series of IFC guidelines. When completed these new guidelines will also be included in the Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook.

Where no sector specific guideline exists for a particular project then the World Bank General Environmental Guidelines and the IFC General Health and Safety Guideline will be applied, with modifications as necessary to suit the project. *

The table below lists both the World Bank Guidelines and the IFC Guidelines.

World Bank Guidelines (PPAH)

1. Aluminum Manufacturing
2. Base Metal and Iron Ore Mining
3. Breweries
4. Cement Manufacturing
5. Chlor-Alkali Plants
6. Coal Mining and Production
7. Coke Manufacturing
8. Copper Smelting
9. Dairy Industry
10. Dye Manufacturing
11. Electronics Manufacturing
12. Electroplating Industry
13. Foundries
14. Fruit and Vegetable Processing
15. General Environmental Guidelines
16. Glass Manufacturing
17. Industrial Estates
18. Iron and Steel Manufacturing
19. Lead and Zinc Smelting
20. Meat Processing and Rendering
21. Mini Steel Mills
22. Mixed Fertilizer Plants
23. Monitoring
24. Nickel Smelting and Refining
25. Nitrogenous Fertilizer Plants
26. Oil and Gas Development (Onshore)
27. Pesticides Formulation
28. Pesticides Manufacturing
29. Petrochemicals Manufacturing
30. Petroleum Refining
31. Pharmaceutical Manufacturing
32. Phosphate Fertilizer Plants
33. Printing Industry
34. Pulp and Paper Mills
35. Sugar Manufacturing
36. Tanning and Leather Finishing
37. Textiles Industry
38. Thermal Power Guidelines for New Plants
39. Thermal Power Rehabilitation of Existing Plants
40. Vegetable Oil Processing
41. Wood Preserving Industry

IFC Guidelines

1. Airports
2. Ceramic Tile Manufacturing
3. Construction Materials Plants
4. Electric Power Transmission and Distribution
5. Fish Processing
6. Food and Beverage Processing
7. Forestry Operations: Logging
8. Gas Terminal Systems
9. General Health and Safety
10. Health Care
11. Geothermal Projects
13. Hospitals
14. Office Buildings
15. Offshore Oil & Gas
16. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)
17. Pesticide Handling and Application
18. Plantations
19. Port and Harbor Facilities
20. Rail Transit Systems
21. Roads and Highways
22. Telecommunications
23. Tourism and Hospitality Development
24. Wildland Manage
25. Wind Energy Conversion Systems
26. Wood Products Industries
27. Waste Management Facilities
28. Wastewater Reuse

* Exception (the following are World Bank Guidelines not contained in the PPAH and currently in use)

    Mining and Milling - Underground
    Mining and Milling - Open Pit