

PRO-AMBIENTE J & M. S. A.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CAMU RIVER MULTIPURPOSE PROJECT

CLIENT: INDRHI

Santo Domingo, D. N. July 2002

Environmental Impact Study Camu River Multipurpose Project

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

In January 2000, PRO-AMBIENTE J&M, S.A. concluded its preliminary environmental evaluation studies for the project, presenting the report "Evaluation of Environmental Impact on the Camu River Basin Multipurpose Project." This study presents a general description of the main environmental aspects affected, the impact and its appraisal, as well as a recommendation that a Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment Study (Detailed EIA), including a deeper examination of socioeconomic aspects of the population affected and that of the populace in general, be carried out once the definitive design had been concluded.

On that occasion, the city of La Vega perceived the project as their victory and they wanted the project to begin immediately; the population to be affected was both willing to negotiate and open to dialog in order to compensate for the impact they would undergo.

On February 5th, 2001, the National Institute of Water Resources (INDRHI) received from the Secretariat of Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARN), which had been created on August 18th, 2000 through Law 64-00, the Terms of Reference for doing a Detailed EIA based on the earlier study and on the request issued by INDRHI on November 30th of 2000.

The present study was done in two stages. In the first stage, in March 2001, the impact study was carried out, and then in January 2002, the physiological and biological studies and the Human Resettlement and Agrarian Plan were completed. The socioeconomic studies were updated with the current farming activities reported in the Resettlement Plan.

Key Aspects of the Project

According to existing records, the currents in the Camu River course have created severe floods and low watermarks over the last 30 years.

Camu River water shortages directly impact the supply of potable water and farming activities. The potable water source has recorded less than 100 LPS available for potable water supply, while in the farming sector, thousands of areas of rice crops are affected, causing a significant reduction in productivity.

Formerly, some 110,000 tareas were planted a year with rice along the Camu River; the present F is 55,000 tareas of this crop, a vitally important food source in the Dominican diet.

The large floods present in the Camu River, occurring with a frequency of 3 to 5 years, cause serious flooding in the city of Concepción de La Vega and in nearby areas. In the last 10 years, the city has had two major floods: one in 1993, and the

Environmental Impact Study Camu River Multipurpose Project

other during Hurricane Georges, in 1998, with significant loss of property and human lives.

The 1993 flood affected 70% of the city, with hundreds of families being affected and material damages amounting to millions of dollars. The material losses brought about by Hurricane Georges are estimated in over 40 million pesos (US\$2.5 million) and more than 20,000 people were affected, 300 of whom lost their homes.

With the goal of counteracting the previously described effects, INDRHI has invested around 18 million pesos in the construction of gabion and channeling walls; these have not been enough to regulate the tremendous water volume.

The consulting firm Hanson Rodriguez S.A. began the Feasibility Study of the Bayacanes Dam, at the behest of INDRHI, in 1972, which was concluded in September 1973. This report proposes the construction of a dam in the Camu River, downstream from its merging point with the Bayacanes River; the dam proposed was to either be made of earth (44 meters high) or of concrete(45 meters high), with an earthen dike on the left abutment, with spillways and other related works. However, INDRHI decided to study other options, due to the following:

- Doubts remain regarding whether the performance of the alluvial materials when receiving the discharge of the spillway would endanger the dikes' safety and the earthen dam; there were also doubts about the endurance of the foot of the dam and the study of the concrete dam as well;
- The population increase and growth of the town of Bayacanes, which would be inundated by the reservoir adds an important social and human element, which was not considered in the 1973 Feasibility Study.

Starting in 1995, a study of options oriented toward an advantageous joint use of both the Camu River and the Yami River was begun, and various possible plans allowing construction in stages were analyzed. In all these plans, the basic, prioritized work is the Guaigüi Dam, located on the Camu River over the average bound level of the riverbed, 265.00 m.a.s.l., downstream from the confluence with the Guaigüi stream, with a basin of 75.34 km².

The plans thus analyzed are as follows:

A1: A dam at Guaigüi (HWL at the el. 315) with an equalizing reservoir at La Virgen (HWL at el. 145), with derivation from the Yami River (HWL at el. 150) and the hydroelectric power station discharging at el. 180 (HWL from the bound at the La Virgen site). In this plan, the demand from the aqueduct would be pumped from the equalizing reservoir at La Virgen).

A2: A dam at Gauguin (HWL at el. 315) with an equalizing reservoir at La Virgen (HWL at el. 145), with derivation from the Yami River (HWL at the 150 bound) and the hydroelectric power station discharging at el. 145 (HWL in re-

regulation reservoir La Virgen). In this plan, the demand from the aqueduct would be pumped from the equalizing reservoir at La Virgen).

A3: A dam at Guaigüi (HWL at el. 320) without a storage reservoir at La Virgen site, without derivation from the Yami River, with a hydroelectric power station at the foot of the dam.

A4: A dam at Guaigüi (HWL at el. 320) without a storage reservoir at La Virgen site that could add to the reduction of flooding, without derivation from the Yami River, and hydroelectric power station, discharging at el. 180.

B1: A dam in Guaigüí (HWL at el. 315) with a storage reservoir in La Virgen that can contribute to reduce floods (HWL at el. 175) without derivation from the Yami River and a hydroelectric power station with discharge at el. 180.

Taking into consideration the implementation of the plans in different stages, it has been decided for a prioritary development of the technical aspects of the works of the set dam-hydroelectric power station, using the plan with the dam at Guaigüi with HWL at el. 320 as the first stage.

The Camu River Multipurpose Project has as its goals the regulation of a water flow of 2.01 m³/s of the high basin in order to deal with the following needs: (1) Control of flooding in order to mitigate the inundations that periodically affect the city of La Vega; (2) Assure the supply of 1.0 m³/s of potable water for the population of the city of La Vega and nearby areas, 100% of the time, and guarantee 90% of the volume required to irrigate 600 ha (9,600 tareas) and the production of electric power for equivalent continuous power guaranteed 65% of the time at 12.4 GWh/year.

INDRHI has taken the technical decision, agreed upon by consensus, of implementing the work in different stages, giving priority to the first phase of the dam-hydroelectric power station set. In the second phase, the storage reservoir at La Virgen is to be developed, as it is essential for controlling the irrigation water supply by means of daily compensation of the flow turbined minimum.

The definitive study and design of this resource's exploitation were carried out for INDRHI by the consortium comprised of the consulting firms Statkraft, of Norway, and Ingenieros Evaluadores y Consultores, C por A (IECCA) of the Dominican Republic. Starting with the premises set forth in this study and design, the NCC International AB, as leader for Norwegian Consortium CNSA, submitted a technical, economic, and financial proposal to INDRHI for the construction of the Guaigüi dam, the hydroelectric power station, and related works, for the amount of US\$42.5 million to be financed in part by ABN-AMBRO BANK with guarantee from Norwegian Export Institute (GIEK).

The principal resource exploitation features are the following:

Basin area to the Guaigüi site

77.3Km²

•	Dam height	70.0 m
•	Dam crest bound	326.50 m.a.s.l.
•	Type of rockfill dam:	asphalt concrete core
•	Length of dam crest	190.00 metros
•	Highest regulated water level	320 m.a.s.l.
•	Highest extraordinary level	324.30 m.a.s.l.
•	Highest level	277.00 m.a.s.l.
•	Spillway without floodgates, having a discharge capacity	772 m ³ /s
•	Diversion tunnel with radial gate and cofferdam	350 m
•	Intake for Hydroelectric power station with a slide gate, wheel gate	trashrack, and a fixed-
•	Discharge tunnel 605.00 m in length, for a flow	$4.40 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
•	Penstock in length	150 m
•	Generator	12,500 volts
•	Three-phase generator submerged in oil	3900 KVA
•	Exit Substation	
•	Transmission line of 5.4 kilometers in length	69 KV

Description of environment

Climatic aspects

The regional climate of the basin is characterized by two principal patterns of moisture flow which share a common main rainy season period in the months of May and June, but which are at the same time distinguished from one another by significant features of moisture circulation.

The first pattern is unique for its flow of a warm moist mass with a strong northeast component, which crosses the Yuna River valley until encountering the first mountain spurs of the Central Range, which produces rising and cooling of the mass and the subsequent condensation and precipitation. This effect is combined with extensive cloudiness and a subsequent reduction of potential evaporation-transpiration, which produces high yield in terms of the flow specifically at the upper part of the Camu River, as shown by the gauge assessment samples of flow carried out at different points of the basin during the study.

Geomorphologic Aspects

Although the geological features of the basin will be dealt with in a separate report, the general geological map observation of the area shows the presence of low permeability materials, with minimum values in the upper part of the basin corresponding to the Ebano Verde Reserve, and with some increase in the rest of the basin up to Guaigüi, due to the presence of fractured material. Along the stretch between Guaigüi and Bayacanes, there are conditions that are comparable to those of the sub-basin up to Guaigüi.

Runoff and flow

The combination of climatic and geomorphologic characteristics gives rise to high values with respect to the runoff/rain ratio in the upper part of the basin, with a notable reduction downstream.

During the project studies, estimates have been made of the affluent flow at the dam site, the most recent being that which indicates a mean flow of 2.73 m³/s for the 1969 –1987 period.

Sedimentation

The annual added reservoir volume has been estimated at 4,879 tons/k m²/year, equivalent to a reservoir retention rate of 3,862 tons/k m²/year. These Fs allow for a projection that 19.5x106 millions m³ of dead storage provided will be sufficient for the first 65 years of reservoir operations.

Hydrogeology

The proposed site for the dam and reservoir area are located on the edge of the central range, primarily made up of igneous or magma metamorphic rock types whose hydrogeologic condition cannot be studied by the usual methods, and which have been described in the following way: "The rocks are generally not aquiferous, and water moves along planes of fissures and cracks along the upper meteorized portion. Nor does there exist any possibility of linking the few observation points of the existing levels in order to obtain a general framework under the form of isopieces or parts of underground water or flow direction. The amount of data available with respect to the underground water is insignificant in comparison with the size of the region itself, and scarcely allows for coming to a few indicative conclusions in certain areas."

The preceding description, excerpted from PLANIACAS, clearly indicates that the hydrogeological environment of the zone excludes the occurrence of aquifers, these being reduced to local phenomena and linked to the presence of secondary porosity in the form of cracks. It is to be supposed, then, that nearly the entire contribution of the basin located upstream from the proposed dam site is converted into superficial runoff and base river flow. Other factors, such as the high elevations, the rugged topography, the abundant availability of surface water, and the low population density result in there being practically no wells in the mountain range.

Local geology

The reservoir is located in a narrow valley, approximately 250 meters in depth, having a "V"-formation. The morphology is directly related to the different rock types in the area, and to their relative hardness and resistance to erosion and weathering. Underlying the Duarte Formation is the wide section of the valley where the dam is being planned. This area consists primarily of slate and shale. The rock type that is found in the river's narrow canyon where the reservoir and tunnels would be built is peridotite. Peridotite is a type of igneous or magma rock that consists principally of olivine and pyroxene minerals, and in comparison with slate and shale, it is very resistant.

The presence of peridotite and sediment in terraces and riverbeds has been confirmed, as well as the presence of shale zones in a strip of 100 to 200 meters wide near the upstream limit of the peridotite area.

Soil description

In physiographic terms, the basin can be divided into four (4) highly defined physiographic units, which are:

- Highlands of hills and mountains
- Slope soils
- Intermountain Valleys and Plains
- Valley and fluvial terrace soils

Soil Use and Coverage

The majority (70%) of the upper river basin area is utilized for raising grasses for grazing and livestock feed, as well as for subsistence farming crops, with no conservationist practices. This area is covered by a heterogeneous vegetation composed of conifers and latifolliads, which, according to local residents, have been gradually replaced by grasses. Despite the great expanses of land being cultivated for grasses, livestock numbers are highly reduced, with the result that overgrazing of soil is not observed.

The remainder of the upper river basin area is utilized for raising coffee plants that are shaded by the *guama* tree (a variety of custard apple); the area appears somewhat abandoned due to the lack of economic incentive for raising this formerly important cash crop. Another, less extensive zone is well protected by a dense forest of conifers and latifolliads, and this growth provides good soil protection for that particular area.

In the lower basin area, soils are dedicated to the production of smaller crops, among which are notable rice, plantain, yam, cassava, and corn, as well as others.

With respect to the contributing area of the Guaigüi reservoir, forest takes up approximately 30% of that zone, increasing upon ascent to up to 55% of the nearby zone of the Guaigüi River in the Ebano Verde scientific reserve. The forest is of

heterogeneous composition, basically represented by latifolliads such as apple, mango, poppy, green ebony, cabirma, grayumbo, and a few scattered types of pines. Only a few crops are raised in this area, occupying only 5%, in which the following dominate: corn, cassava, lima beans, guandul, fruits, and coffee plants that are shaded by the guama tree. Grasses for grazing take up the greater area of this basin, reaching up to 60%; this decreases to 30% in the upper part. The most common grass species are San Ramon, star, guinea, and pangola, among others.

Soil Use Potential

Given the topographic conditions and highly rugged relief of the upper part of this basin, the soils are essentially of forest and agroforest types, in which establishment of permanent planted crops, specifically of shaded coffee fields, fruits, and timber (pine, mahogany, oak, etc.) should take place. On the other hand, the soils of the lower basin area are included among those considered most productive in the Dominican Republic, characterized by the feature of a flat to nearly flat slope, and with excellent physical and chemical conditions for the establishment of intensive agriculture.

Terrestrial flora

In the area studied, various kinds of vegetation were identified, notably the riverine forests located along the Camu, Guaigüi, and Arraijanes rivers, as well as in some creeks and ravines that form part of the hydrographic basin of the reservoir area.

Along the narrow winding stretches of the Guaigüi River, the most common tree is the *cuaba* pine, *Pinus occidentalis* that forms highly widespread stands, mingling with other tree species.

The zone also has numerous areas devoted to crop raising of different types, though all of them being on a small scale, in other words, subsistence crops. Farmers there raise cassava, *Manihot esculenta*; *guandul*, *Cajanus cajan*; plantain, *Musa paradisiaca*; the guinea banana, *Musa sapientum*; *yautia*, *Xanthosoma sp.*, and *Alocasia sp.*, and sugar cane, *Saccharum officinarum*, among others.

In the zone studied, 640 species of vascular plants, which were distributed among 110 families and 432 genus types, were catalogued. Of a total number of species present, 56 are endemic to the Hispaniola Island, and 459 are native.

The species found in the area represent 11.4 percent of the total flora of Hispaniola Island. The area maintains a high percentage of its original flora, with the notable presence of endemic species; some of these can be considered rare. Within the endemic types we find: the *Cynometra americana*, *roblillo* (lesser oak) *Tabebuia vinosa*; and jacaranda, *Jacaranda poitaei*. Also found is the *Tabebuia polyantha*,

a tree valued for its ornamental quality and common to the region, especially in Jarabacoa, Constanza, and La Vega.

Based on the findings obtained in various transects, it can be observed that those areas located along the winding river narrows are the richest in endemic plant species. We consider that the forest areas located around the Arraijanes creek, at the water intake, and the northwest hillside across from the engine house are among the most valuable places to be conserved.

Terrestrial fauna

During the present study carried out in eight (8) points of the project zone, "Camu River Multipurpose Project," La Vega province, a total number of twenty-seven (27) amphibian and reptile species were found, equivalent to 12.80% of the total of two-hundred-eleven (211) known on Hispaniola Island, as per Powell et al, 1999 (in Conservation, Consulting, and Planned Management of Animals of the Dominican Republic, 2000).

The amphibians observed in the area amounted to twelve (12) species, which represented 18.46% of the total of sixty-five (65) reported for the island. These were represented by one (1) order, four (4) families, and five (5) genus types.

In the case of reptiles, fifteen (15) species were found, these equal to 10.27% for a total of one hundred and forty-six (146) that are known on Hispaniola Island. These were represented by one (1) order, two (2) suborders, five (5) families, and six (6) genus types.

The endemic nature of the amphibians observed in the area was quite high, given that out of a total of twelve (12), ten (10) turned out to be endemic to the island and only two (2) had been introduced. With respect to the reptiles, this number was also high due to the fact that out of a total of fifteen (15) species, two (2) were considered native and three (3) were of non-specified status; the rest had originated on Hispaniola Island.

All of the reptile and amphibian species that were found in the study area are widely distributed on Hispaniola Island, with the exception of two (2) frog species, which are found to be restricted to specific zones in the Dominican Republic as well as in Haiti.

Of the forty-six (46) bird species identified in the area, eight (8) turned out to be endemic, among these the parrot (*Amazona ventralis*), bobo bird (*Saurothera longirostris*), the *Barrancoli* (*Todus subulatus*), and the mountain woodpecker (*Nesoctites micromegas*). These among others were observed in the wooded areas upon which they depend; thus, the forest remnants that still remain in the area have to be preserved.

With respect to mammals, during the environmental impact study carried out in eight (8) points of the area comprised by the "Camu River Multipurpose Project," La Vega

province, eight (8) introduced species were found, which represented 24.24% for a total of thirty-three (33) detected on Hispaniola Island SEA/DVS (1990b). These were comprised of four (4) orders, five (5) families, and six (6) genus types.

All of the mammal species that were observed in the study area have been introduced to Hispaniola Island and have managed to become established throughout the entire national territory; in general, these occasion damage to both the endemic and native fauna. Said damage is principally related with depredation and competition with wild species (SEA/DVS, 1990b).

Aquatic Biology and Water Quality

Commercial fishing is an activity of relatively little importance in the region; only a few people are even sporadically involved in crayfishing and freshwater shrimping. However, this resource has been affected in the zone by the use of pesticides and agrochemicals to raise grasses for pasture grazing, as well as by the untreated sewage outfall, chemicals, and medical residues and wastes — all discharged into the river from the poultry, cattle, and hog farms of the middle basin area being evaluated here.

Other species such as mullets and eels, which spawn in ocean waters and then migrate to complete their life cycle in mountain rivers, such as the Camu, have not been reported for the zone. This disappearance seems to be due to the fact that they have been affected by the contamination and sedimentation levels produced by the livestock raising activities that are present, as well as by the urban wastes that the river receives along its path through the La Vega Valley. The species that have been introduced into the country's reservoirs, such as the *tilapia*, and various carp, prefer the lake environment to the torrential rapids and relatively shallow conditions of middle basin rivers such as the Camu or the Guaigüi creek. As far as is known, no programs have been carried out to repopulate the waters with trout or other swift-current swimming fish types in the middle Camu basin area. The species that are found there correspond to native insectivore or omnivorous types (family Poecilidae), which are small and have no commercial value.

The calcium carbonate ion conditions (hardness and alkalinity) are kept in proportion and increase with the flux of runoff. The alkalinity levels found are classified biologically as hard water (>40 mg/l, Boyd, 1987). The values obtained for total hardness for the purpose of sanitary engineering would be classified as hard (>75 mg/l, Sawyer & McCarty, 1976, in Boyd, 1987). It is important to note that the carbonate hardness is equal to the total water hardness and, as such, this type of water has a hardness quotient, which does not fall upon being heated to boiling temperature.

Dissolved oxygen is correlated to productivity and biological activity in water. As far as noting the presence of aerated nutrients in the waters analyzed, all the samples

taken denote no anaerobic loads that would affect this parameter; in all cases, a saturation level of above 80% is found, which is considered biologically acceptable.

With respect to microbiological analysis, it can be ascertained that bacterial contamination is present in quantities higher to what normally appear in Dominican rivers (>15000 NMP/100ml), (A. Espinosa, com. Pers., 2002, Instituto de Microbiología y Parasicología de la Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autonomía de Santo Domingo). The specific contamination sources themselves were not determined during this study; however, it is suspected that they could have proceeded from the same basin due to livestock raising activities, or to the common practice of using the river to dump unsanitary waste.

It may be concluded that upon detecting the presence of *Streptococcus faecalis*, the contamination is of either human or animal origin. The list of microbiological species (bacterial) present corresponds to those recognized as pathogenic and that are added to existing level of microbial contamination already present in the natural diversity of the waters. The list of microbiological species also indicates that there are no clear differences between the seasons, since *Streptococcus faecalis* appears at all times of the year in roughly the same proportions.

Based on the analysis of the data gathered, it can be confirmed that the parameters do not present marked variations; in other words, the nature of the waters of the Camu River and of the creeks is quite homogenous. The majority of the sampled parameters are within environmental norms for shallow waters; that is, they are appropriate for use in productive and recreational activities (Class A) Norm AG-CC-01.

Socioeconomics of La Vega

Population density levels for the entire province reached 150.7 persons per square Km according to the 1993 survey; however, the Municipality of Concepción de La Vega presents a density level of 328.0 persons per square Km, followed by the Municipality of Jima Abajo, with 222.8 persons per square Km; the rest of the municipalities have a density level of fewer than 100 persons per square Km.

As to the distribution per gender, the population is composed of 169,280 men and 175,441 women, for a percentage distribution of 49% and 51% respectively. Likewise, 38% of the La Vega population in 1993 resided in the urban area, that is, 130,247 persons, with 62.2% in the rural zones. At the moment there is no real quantification of the levels of country to city migrations, but it is estimated that in recent years the rate of moves from rural areas has increased.

The La Vega population is considered relatively young since more than 50% is found in the 15-to-64-age group. In 1981, 42% of the population was comprised of the group ranging from 0 to 14 years, with 54% in the group of those from 15 to 64 years old, and only 4% being 65 years or older. In 1993 the situation changed slightly as

the population group of those from 0 to 14 years rose to 36% of the total population, and those from 15 to 64 years had increased to 59% of the population, with 5% of the people being older than 65 years.

Potable water

Of a total number of people residing in private homes in the province, 53% are supplied by water from the aqueduct; 24% get water service in their homes, and 9% must obtain water from public faucets. The rest, 46%, are supplied from some another source.

This situation is evidence of the fact that half of the province's population has no access to water supply, which denotes the seriousness of the problem, taking into account that said water supply is essential to maintaining good sanitary conditions.

Health

The most frequently reported illnesses are acute respiratory infections, amounting to 44% of all medical visits, and acute cases of diarrhea, accounting for 38% of all medical visits.

Services

80.9% of the houses have electrical energy; 91.7% has sanitation services, and 6% has no sewage lines for disposal of bodily wastes, 92% of the rural population receives the services provided, and 7.8% do not have them.

Productivity

Arable land covers more than 205,000 hectares, of which 81% is actually farmed on average. Of said area, 100,000 hectares are planted with grasses for animal grazing and feed. Twenty-five percent of the rice and 30% of the plantains consumed in the country are produced in the area of influence of the Camu River basin, representing 28,125 hectares each.

Coffee and cacao cover an area of 24,414 hectares. Livestock production amounts to 160,000 heads of cattle, 70,000 pigs, 400,000 chickens and other poultry, and 14,000 horses. In 1998 the province had 2,732 pig farmers with 74,859 animals, of which 15,435 were destined for slaughter and 59,424 to be fattened.

Socioeconomics-Camu River Lower Basin

Key places in the "lower" Guarey Quarter include: Camu-Guaigui, El Anon, Arroyo Ancho, and Guanabanos, all of which are zones included in this socioeconomic study, as they are within the area of influence of the Guaigüi dam construction. According to data that were taken from the "Focus Study on Poverty in the

Dominican Republic" of 1997 (Estudio de la Focalización de la Pobreza en la Republica Dominicana 1997), these communities have a total of 1,181 inhabitants.

80.8% is constituted by private dwellings, that is, 107 homes. 45.1% of the inhabitants have been living in these homes for more than 16 years. 95% have no electrical appliances; 25% use hearths and 34.4% with use of either hearths or wood stoves for cooking. Men are head of household, and their ages range from 40-65 years; 90% of them were born in the Guaigüi area and continue to reside in the same place.

Utility services received by inhabitants are nonexistent or very limited. For example, only 0.5% has electric light, which is produced from generators, and 95% receive no electrical service whatsoever. According to the workshop results, 70% get water from the aqueduct, which was brought into being through the efforts of INDRHI and the local community; nevertheless, this community lacks water treatment facilities. 95% have no phone service, no mail delivery, or other postal services, and no access to newspapers or radios, with the exception of a very few cases, amounting to 3% of those surveyed, who said that they have cellular phones and portable radios.

With respect to garbage disposal, 80% burn their trash, and 15% dump it into ravines and ditches, and also utilize it as fertilizer in the fields. 73 dwellings have private toilets, with the rest of the inhabitants having to share public latrines.

Socioeconomics of the Dam and Reservoir Site

Within the area of influence of the Guaigüi dam construction, over 96 families in an equal number of dwellings are found, all of whom would have to be relocated, as the reservoir lake would affect them. In addition to these affected families, there are 8 more cases of multi-family occupied homes, thus the total number of families is 106. The Anon site, part of Buena Vista in the Municipality of Jarabacoa, records a total of 10 families for relocation, and in the Alto del Higo Community, 5 more homes would be impacted by the construction of access roads to the dam. With respect to the properties identified, we find that 81% of the population lives in their own homes.

75.26% of those asked said they were landowners, albeit of small land parcels, while 30.74% claimed to own no land.

Of the total number of inhabitants affected by the dam construction, 60% based their survival on farming, 2.5% work in *motoconcho*, and 7.9 are laborers. 2.5% work in business; no information was obtained from 27.5%.

Hierarchy of the Most Significant Environmental Effects and Resources that Will Be Affected by or Utilized for the Project

Below are the most significant positive and negative effects that potentially are expected during the construction and operation phases. They are classified according to their nature, magnitude, duration, reversibility, and type, and the possibility of introducing corrective and mitigation measures.

POTENTIAL IMPACT DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE

Potentially Positive Impact

- > Creation of 300 jobs directly related to the project, and 75 others that are indirectly related to the project, during the construction phase of the work;
- > Emergence of new businesses and economic activities.

Potentially Negative Impact

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- > Soil loss during the following activities:
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area;
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
 - Construction of access roads, new roads and improvements to existing ones, quarry areas, river and dam site crossings;
 - Construction of derivation, access, spillway, and sluice structures,
 - Rockfill dam construction and reservoir fill;
 - Construction of power house, electrical and mechanical installations, and transmission line;
 - Excavation of soft earth, quarrying.
- > Damages or changes (climatic aspects, water quality, soil) due to removal of vegetation during the following activities:
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area;
- > Problems of erosion and sedimentation during the following activities:
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area:
 - Construction of access roads, new roads and improvements to existing ones, quarry areas, river and dam site crossings;
- > Increase in turbidity of the Camu river and its affluents, and reduction of DO and increase of DBO during the following activities:
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area:
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
 - Construction of access roads, new roads and improvements to existing ones, quarry areas, river and dam site crossings;

- Construction of derivation, access, spillway, and sluice structures;
- Rockfill dam construction and reservoir fill;
- Construction of power house, electrical and mechanical installations, and transmission line;
- Excavation of soft earth, quarrying.
- Damages or changes in water quality and soil) due to discharge of residual waters during the following activities:
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
- > Damage or changes in quality of soil due to discharge of solid waste (garbage and vegetation) during the following activities:
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
- Damage or changes in water, air, and soil quality due to handling of toxic and/or hazardous residues during the following activities:
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area;
 - Excavation of soft earth, quarrying.
- Noise increase during the following activities:
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area;
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
 - Construction of access roads, new roads and improvements to existing ones, quarry areas, river and dam site crossings;
 - Construction of derivation, access, spillway, and sluice structures;
 - Rockfill dam construction and reservoir fill;
 - Construction of power house, electrical and mechanical installations, and transmission line:
 - Excavation of soft earth, quarrying.

BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

- > Elimination of Flora and Fauna During the Following Activities:
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area;
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
 - Construction of access roads, new roads and improvements to existing ones, quarry areas, river and dam site crossings;
 - Construction of derivation, access, spillway, and sluice structures,
 - Construction in the dike and reservoir area of 2.5 km².
 - Construction of power house, electrical and mechanical installations, and transmission line;
 - Excavation of soft earth, quarrying.
- > Loss of fruit trees and hardwood trees within the 2.5 km² dam/reservoir area during the following activities:
 - Final stage preparatory activities, reservoir area;

- > Change in activities and habits of some animals during the following activities:
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area;
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
 - Construction of access roads, new roads and improvements to existing ones, quarry areas, river and dam site crossings;
 - Construction of derivation, access, spillway, and sluice structures;
 - Construction of power house, electrical and mechanical installations, and transmission line;
 - Excavation of soft earth, quarrying.

SOCIOCULTURAL ENVIRONMENT

- > Loss of wilderness and lands for livestock raising during the following activities:
 - Preparatory activities, access to sites for testing and to the reservoir area:
 - Construction of camping sites, project offices, laboratory, and others;
 - Excavation of soft earth, quarrying.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS DURING THE OPERATIONS PHASE

Potentially Positive Impact

- Mitigation of the periodic flooding of the Camu River and its effects on the city of La Vega and areas affected by waters downstream from the dam, avoiding great loss of human life and of property;
- > Supply of a cubic meter per second of potable water for the city of La Vega and surrounding populations, guaranteed 100% of the time;
- > Irrigation of farm lands estimated at 600 hectares (9,600 tareas);
- > Generation of 12,500 GW/h annually with a potential of 3.7 MW;
- > Creation of new dynamic sectors (fishing, tourism, and others);
- Increase in farming production downstream from the dam, e.g., to go from 1 to 3 rice crops yearly;
- > Emergence of new businesses and economic activities;
- > Increase in agricultural production downstream of the dam;
- Creation of a space for recreation, upon maintain the reservoir level above 312 m.a.s.l. with a surface area of 2.0 km²

Potentially Negative Impact

- Modification of current flow, especially between the dam site and discharge at the hydroelectric power station, with some 0.850 km in length, regardless of the local contribution of the area, estimated at 0.073 m³/s;
- > Reduction of the sediment load of the waters downstream, resulting in an increase of erosive capacity;
- Controlled increase of algae in water downstream from the dam during the following activities;
- ➤ Change in the mechanical balance of the Camu River bed downstream from the Guaigüi Dam, due to the modification of the regime of liquid volume and solid volume induced by the reservoir;
- ➤ Relocation of 121 families: 106 at the Guaigüi site and ten at the El Anon site (these will be affected by flooding of the reservoir), and 5 families at the Alto del Higo site (these will be affected by construction of the access road to the dam).
- Loss of livestock lands, presently with a capacity of 350 head of cattle:
- ➤ Loss of two schools (El Anon with 17 students and Guaigüi having 143 students);
- ➤ Loss of two community centers: the San Ramon Club and the Maria Auxiliadora Center, with a capacity of 200 persons at the Guaigüi site;
- > Loss of a recreation center (with cockfighting pit)

ATTITUDES Y ASPIRATIONS OF THE COMMUNITIES IMPACTED

Among the principal attitudes and aspirations of those interested in the project are the following:

Favorable attitudes

- 53.4% of the total sample (193 surveyed) of the lower basin area are in favor of the dam being implemented; however, in their response as to why they are in favor, they stated that they have no other choice since, even though they may say no to the dam, their opinion will not be taken into account as the government already made the decision and it will be carried out:
- As far as the positive factors are concerned regarding the implementation of the dam, 37%, that is, 71 families, believe that they will then have electricity and water supplied to them;
- 63%, that is, 121 homes, believe that employment will be generated through fishing and tourism;
- 100%, that is, 114 families affected, believe they will be placed in the jobs generated by the dam during its construction;
- Of the 34 rice growers and others in outlying areas of the La Vega province sampled in the zone below the reservoir (Las Cabuyas, Hatico y San Miguel), 100% agree with the dam's construction because they feel it will solve the problem of scarce water and electricity;
- 95% are aware that the dam's construction will be of benefit for water, electricity, tourism, and fishing:

- 100% of the rice growers surveyed agree with the dam's construction because they feel that it will improve crop yield from one crop cycle harvested annually to three crop cycle harvested each year, and that the dam's construction will provide safe irrigation;
- 85% of those surveyed say that if the dam construction does not take place, they will have to stop planting rice since there is not enough water to irrigate the soil for this crop;
- 90% consider that if the dam construction does not take place, the country's progress will be blocked, and the Camu River flooding will continue;
- 95% of those surveyed maintain that for the affected community, fair compensation will be provided, including the cost of a new home, and that they will be relocated to a safe area.

Unfavorable attitudes

With respect to negative factors, 40% of those surveyed in the lower basin, that is, 55 families, are aware that they may have to be relocated. Notwithstanding, 55%, that is, 73 families, fear that they will lose all of their possessions and property, and that they will not be compensated by the Dominican Republic government..

- For 5% of these families, there is fear of the possibility of loss of life as a result of accidents produced by earthquakes or floods. They base their beliefs on events, which took place long ago in the city of La Vega in Santo Cerro;
- 39%, that is, 75 homes out of a total of 193 sampled, foresee no benefit with the dam's construction;

With respect to the 60 people of those who were surveyed on the upper basin, 35%, that is, 21 families, feel sure that the dam construction will result in their being displaced and, thus, will result in there being a break in community and family ties;

22.5% of those that were surveyed at the Guaigüi site, that is, 29 families, say that it is likely that they will lose all of their possessions and property, and that they will not be compensated by the Dominican Republic governmental authorities.

Aspirations

- As far as the alternatives for the affected community, 45%, that is, 86 homes, demand fair relocation and payment for property to those community members affected;
- 50%, that is, 96 families, demand relocation prior to the dam's construction in suitable areas with good infrastructure, above all with individual, detached dwellings, in places where they can carry out their crop and livestock raising activities;

- 5% ask that the agreements that have been reached between the governmental authorities and those who will be affected be fully honored and duly carried out;
- With respect to where they would like to be relocated, 55% of the 133 surveyed, that is, 73 families, would like to be moved to the Alto del Higo, near the Guaigüi site; 30%, that is, 39 homes, say they will go wherever the government moves them, provided they are given means of subsistence;
- 15%, that is, 19 families, wish to be taken to places such as Jarabacoa or Tierras de Amado Graciano, among others.

Summary of the Environmental Management Plan

MITIGATION AND SUITABILITY MEASURES

During construction

Physical Environment

- Establishment and implementation of a suitable plan for a gradual restitution of topsoil, hillside section cuts, and replanting of vegetation that is welladapted to the area;
- o Appropriate transport and deposit of removed vegetation,
- Assurance that drainage and slope stabilizing works;
- Removal and handling of topsoil and vegetation:
- Suitable plan for handling toxic and/or hazardous residues;
- Use of equipment and machinery only with adequate control and supervision, and only at appropriate times;
- Establishment of a management plan for relocation of rare species, and those in danger of extinction or of great ecological worth, to the appropriate environments:
- Establishment of a management plan and measures for places in which animal habitats have been identified;
- Negotiation and payment for property and/or greater losses, taking into account the fair market value;
- Construction of septic tanks for disposal of sanitation waters that are generated in the camping grounds, offices, laboratories, and other sites, and the transport of waste waters in cistern trucks or by other appropriate means;
- o Provision in the area of appropriate means for the storage, collection, and final disposal of solid wastes (garbage, vegetation, etc.) that may be generated in the camping grounds, offices, laboratories, and other sites;
- o Establishment of a management plan for handling topsoil use:
- Assurance that drainage and slope stabilizing works:
- o Inclusion of constructed walls, gabion, access by ramps, and reinforced concrete bars or dowels in the most critical sections of the river;
- Establishment of a management plan for the extraction of borrow materials within the area that will be flooded by the future lake/reservoir; removing the

vegetation layer with great care so that this can then be used for the rehabilitation of other places where soil changes may take place during the

construction phase of the project;

Modification or adaptation and readiness of those places that are selected for deposit of waste materials, such that they are suitable for said purpose, by means of the installation of appropriate drainage and construction of stabilizing works in those places where the terrain has its maximum slope point. With this measure, erosion and separation and dispersion of the deposited material will be prevented;

 Once the area designated for the deposit of rubble is closed, it should be covered with a layer of vegetation sufficient for the regeneration of plant life;

o For the cuts or sections that are made in the terrain for the purpose of constructing and improving access roads and for the esplanade for locating complementary works, it is recommended that these cuts be done with the correct slope or bank in order to reduce erosion and/or land slides, and to then proceed with revegetation by replanting these areas with species that are well-adapted to the zone;

Biological Environment

Restitution of plant ground cover and forest cover in the zones that are used as quarries for materials to be used in the dike's construction; this is to include a tree nursery with capability for growing the trees that will be replacements. Before these works are begun, the contractors must present a plan that is duly designed for the gradual restitution of the pre-existing topsoil;

Socioeconomic environment

 Execution of the plan for creating dwellings and areas for livestock and agricultural activities, a plan which is to also include a community center (with a church area), recreation facilities (sports center), and a school;

 Negotiation and payment for property and/or other great losses according to their fair market value;

 Guaranteeing the affected population employment opportunities during the process of construction;

During operations

Physical Environment

- o Carrying out reservoir operations such that these ensure an ecologically viable water volume in the span from the dike up to the power house;
- Protection of the river course, especially in the parts downstream from Bayacanes, where the bed is most susceptible to erosion. The protection measures should include the construction of gabion walls and reinforced bars

- in the most critical sections of the river, this to be done in order to compensate for the greater erosive effect of the river water flow;
- Extreme care and vigilance and monitoring underground water levels in the flatland or plains aquifers, especially to the southwest of the city of La Vega, in order to detect possible resource limitations as a result of the reduction in the aquifers' natural replenishment, which ordinarily comes from the river;
- Transportation of live plants from the reservoir flooding zone to new, suitable environments:
- o Cutting and utilization of timber in the reservoir-flooding zone.

Biological Environment

 Installation of access areas with ramps in various parts of the reservoir in order to allow for fishing, and establishment of fishing seasons to maintain a fish and invertebrate repopulation program, including a system for reproduction and raising of fish in tanks, in order to promote sport fishing and tourism.

Socioeconomic Environment

 Creation of fishing and tourism micro enterprises in the reservoir areas and in the surrounding zones.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND SUPERVISION PROGRAM

The means for controlling and implementing this monitoring program, along with the parameters and frequency for monitoring, are as follows:

During Construction

- o Extreme care and vigilance and monitoring of water quality for waters entering the potable water treatment plant, specifically for the physical-chemical parameters (e.g., total suspended solids, nitrogen and phosphorous) and biological parameters (total coliforms and algae);
- Monitoring of the procedures and methods to be used for appropriate handling of oily residues and byproducts of the construction process, to be done on a weekly basis;
- o Special attention to drainage and holding tanks for oily and/or flammable substances, to be done on a weekly basis;
- Extreme care and vigilance and monitoring of water quality at the treatment plant for potable water, to be done on a weekly basis;
- Vigilance and monitoring of species of populations of native fish, crabs, amphibians, and reptiles, to be done every other week;
- Levels of sedimentation (NTU), dissolved oxygen, pp., oil and hydrocarbon, to be monitored on a monthly basis or whenever cuts are made;
- Water services, to be monitored on a weekly basis;

- o Fisheries production, to be monitored on a monthly basis;
- Verification of anti-seismic measures

During the operation

- o Erosion and sedimentation patterns, to be monitored on a monthly basis
- Analysis of nutrients and edaphologic characteristics, to be done on a monthly basis;
- Monitoring on a monthly basis of the banks or beach areas along edges of water bodies for sedimentation patterns resulting from the reservoir and dam activities;
- o Monitoring of the separation and deposits of solid wastes on a monthly basis;
- o Monitoring on a monthly basis of grease, oils, paints, and solvents from the workshops and power house;
- Monitoring on a monthly basis of the drainage system and measures for control of erosion and sedimentation;
- Quarterly reevaluation and consideration of alternative alignments and/or adjustments;
- Upon the installation of holding lagoons, appropriate disposal of oil and other hazardous materials;
- Quarterly evaluation of water quality (pH, DO, turbidity, nitrites, phosphates, and coliforms);
- Quarterly evaluation of the potable water treatment plant functioning and mud and dregs disposal;
- Quarterly evaluation of the water volume of the Camu River;
- Quarterly evaluation of the working operation and dissolved oxygen downstream from the discharge point;
- o Monitoring on a monthly basis of reservoir water quality;
- Quarterly sampling of fish populations;
- Monitoring every other week of the development taking place in the basin and other areas of influence;
- o Monitoring on a monthly basis of sites exposed to erosion and roads;
- Location of crucial hillside slopes and plant growth, to be monitored every other week:
- Measurement and identification of important vegetation, to be done twice each year;
- o Bi-annual counting of bird and reptile populations;
- o Monthly monitoring of levels of sedimentation (NTU), dissolved oxygen, and pH
- Water services, to be monitored every week;
- o Fisheries production, to be monitored on a monthly basis;
- Participation in programmed activities on a monthly basis;
- Field surveying every month;
- Contact with community members, the construction firm, and the executor of the works a monthly basis.

BUDGETARY CONSIDERATIONS AND PARTIES RESPONSIBLE FOR EXECUTING THE WORKS

The mitigation and action measures will be carried out by means of two plans: The Environmental Management and Action Plan (EMAP) and Human and Agrarian Resettlement Plan (PAHA).

The estimated budget for carrying out the EMAP is US\$2.0 million, and for the PAHA, US\$2.5 million.

The responsibility for the execution of the mitigation and action measures that have been proposed lies with INDRHI and the JV NCC-OCECON consortium.

In order to guarantee the compliance of the measures of mitigation and suitability, a monitoring and auditing program has been proposed with an estimated semester basis budget of US\$192,000.

The responsibility for carrying out the monitoring and auditing for the plans that have been described above lies with INDRHI.

Recommendations

General recommendations devolving from the present study for the analysis, discussion, negotiation, and implementation of the Environmental Management and Action Plan (EMAP) and the Human and Agrarian Resettlement Plan (PAHA) are as follows:

DESIGN

- Redesign of the Resource Exploitation. An alternative to be considered is the relocation of the power house in order to eliminate the semi-dry span between the dam and the power house, with the subsequently limited operation of the reservoir;
- Mechanical Study of the River. A study to be carried out regarding the mechanical aspects of the river downstream the dam site, a study which would allow for determination of the need for engineering intervention to mitigate possible mechanical changes;
- Seismicity. The Conceptual Design Report did not deal with the topic of a possible rupture of the dam as a result of unforeseen events and/or natural disasters, thus, due to the proximity of the lake to the city of La Vega, this issue should be taken under consideration...

CONSTRUCTION

Taking into account that the construction process has already begun, in that a part of the measures thus taken involve incorporating various devices or mechanisms, drainage works, and constructive methods, a general check of said calendar, and the incorporation of the recommended measures, is needed.

OPERATION

Reservoir operations must be carried out taking into account the ecological water volume of 0.15 m3/s, should the present design scheme be maintained.

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND ACTION (EMAP)

The measures of mitigation and suitability have been summarized in the attached document titled EMAP, in order to allow that its analysis be carried out by the involved parties, including the promoter, the construction firm, the supervisor, the environmental authority, and the communities. In this sense, said process should be carried out with the participation of SEMARENA, JV NCC-OCECON, and the representatives of the Administration Council and of the community, under the direction of INDRHI

HUMAN AND AGRARIAN RESETTLEMENT PLAN (PAHA)

In order to carry out the process of negotiation for the implementation of the Plan for Human and Agrarian Resettlement Plan (PAHA), it is necessary to create a space for dialog (with the physical presence of INDRHI in the project zone) before, during, and after the construction of the dam, between INDRHI itself and the affected communities

The authority or officer who will be responsible on the part of INDRHI for the implementation of PAHA should be named in order to avoid conflicts due to the differences of opinions emitted regarded about this selection process, which would then create difficult or uncomfortable situations. In the same way, the process should be carried out with the participation of recognized, bona fide representatives from the affected communities themselves, according to the elections effected by them during this process.

Conclusion

In accordance with the meetings which have taken place with the sector's most important organizations and the leaders of the populations affected from the city of la Vega during the period of 2000, 2001, and 2002, we reiterate our position which was stated in the Preliminary EIA that "the city of La Vega perceived the project as a victory and wanted the project to begin immediately," this having been defined as one of the priorities of said population, and thus the Executive Branch having received their request that it be carried out during the Government Council that took place in La Vega, with the support of the Honorable President of the Republic, Eng. Agron. Hipolito Mejia. On the part of the population to be relocated, there is both a willingness to negotiate and an openness to dialog in order to compensate for the impact that they will undergo, thus making the Human and Agrarian Resettlement and Agrarian Plan (PAHA) necessary. In this sense, upon carrying out the needed

measures and studies, PRO-AMBIENTE J&M, S.A. considers that the Camu River Multipurpose Project will have a positive impact on the city of La Vega and the surrounding zones, and that it is environmentally feasible and manageable in the sense of sustainable development.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT		PAGE
	Introduction and Methodology	01
	1.1. Background	01
	1.2. General Aspects	01
	1.3. Objectives	02
	1.4. Scope and Limitations	02
	1.5. Study Methods	03
	1.6. Area Under Study in the EIA	14
2.	Legal and Institutional Aspects	17
	2.1. Main Objectives of the Section	17
	2.2. Environmental Policy in the Dominican Republic	17
	2.3. Legal Framework	17
	2.4. Administrative Framework	19
	2.5. Environmental Impacto Assessment (EIA)	20
	2.6. Nation Environmental Regulations	20
	2.7. Land Purchase, Compensation, and Rehabilitation	25
	2.8. International Requirements	25
	2.9. Public Consultation and Governmental Institutions	
	Participation	25
3.	Project Description	27
	3.1. Main Data	27
	3.2. Reservoir, Tunnel, and Powerhouse Location	29
	3.3. Access Roads and Others	34
	3.4. Permanent Land Use	34
	3.5. Temporary Land Use	34
	3.6. Transmission Line	34
	3.7. Machinery during Construction and Operation	34
	3.8. Activities Project Execution	36
4.	Description of Affected Environment	37
	4.1. Physical Environment	37
	4.2. Biological Environment	50
	4.3. Sociocultural Environment	89
5.	Determination of Main Significant Impacts of the Project	120
	5.1. Physical Environment	120
	5.2. Biological Environment	125
	5.3. Sociocultural Environment	127
	5.4. Classification of main significant impacts	130

0.	Cumulative Impacts and Framework for the Environmental Management and Action Plan	134
	6.1. Water Resource Management in the Dominican	
	Republic	134
	6.2. Integrated Basin Management	134
	6.3. Definition of the Dam Management Area	135
	6.4. Framework for the Management of the Basin	136
	6.5. Coordination Committee for Dam Management	139
7.	Mitigation and Action Measures	144
	7.1. Mitigation Measures	144
	7.2. Implementation of Environmental Management Plan	147
	7.3. Qualitative Analysis of the Main Environmental Impacts	, , ,
	and Mitigation Measures	147
	7.4. Mitigation Costs	147
8.	Description of Alternatives Considered	148
	8.1. No Action Scenario	148
	8.2. Structural Analysis	148
	8.3. Technological Alternatives	149
	8.4. Alternatives Transmission Line	150
	8.5. Conclusions	150 150
		130
Q	Summany of the complysions of the Comment of	
J .	Summary of the conclusions of the Communication	
J.	Between the Interested Parties	151
3.	Between the Interested Parties 9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties	151
J.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities	151 151
3.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation	151 151 152
3.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey	151 151 152 156
9.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin	151 151 152 156 156
3.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin	151 151 152 156 156 158
3.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega	151 151 152 156 156 158 159
	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan	151 151 152 156 156 158 159
	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega	151 151 152 156 156 158 159
	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan	151 151 152 156 156 158 159
10.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan 9.9 Second Workshop on the Resettlement Plan	151 151 152 156 156 158 159 160 162
10.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan 9.9 Second Workshop on the Resettlement Plan 4. Monitoring and Environmental Survey Recommendations	151 151 152 156 156 158 159 160 162
10.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan 9.9 Second Workshop on the Resettlement Plan Monitoring and Environmental Survey Recommendations 11.1. Design	151 151 152 156 156 158 159 160 162 164 165
10.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan 9.9 Second Workshop on the Resettlement Plan Monitoring and Environmental Survey Recommendations 11.1. Design 11.2. Construction	151 151 152 156 156 158 159 160 162 164 165 165
10.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan 9.9 Second Workshop on the Resettlement Plan Monitoring and Environmental Survey Recommendations 11.1. Design 11.2. Construction 11.3. Operation	151 151 152 156 156 158 159 160 162 164 165 165 165
10.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan 9.9 Second Workshop on the Resettlement Plan Monitoring and Environmental Survey Recommendations 11.1. Design 11.2. Construction 11.3. Operation 11.4. Environmental Management and Action Plan (EMAP)	151 151 152 156 156 158 159 160 162 164 165 165 165 165
10.	9.1. Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties 9.2. Survey of Affected Communities 9.3. First Public Consultation 9.4. Socioeconomic Survey 9.5. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Lower Basin 9.6. Socioeconomic Workshop Camu River Upper Basin 9.7. Discussion in La Vega 9.8 First Workshop on the Resettlement Plan 9.9 Second Workshop on the Resettlement Plan Monitoring and Environmental Survey Recommendations 11.1. Design 11.2. Construction 11.3. Operation	151 151 152 156 156 158 159 160 162 164 165 165 165

Appendixes

- (1) List of writers and participants in the assessment
- (2) Terms of Reference of the EIA
- (3) Record of the public and interinstitutional consultation
- (4) Map of the Camu River Multipurpose Project
- (5) Unpublished data and documents
- (6) Laboratory data and references
- (7) Photographs
- (8) Tables
- (9) Figures
- (10)Maps

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

<u> </u>	Description of the control of the co	
F#	Description	Appendix
01	Soil in the steep watershed, project area	Appendix 7
02	Profile of the typical soil in hills and mountains	Appendix 7
03	Huge tree trunk of Terminalia intermedia in the Guaigüí River	Appendix 7
04	Shrub vegetation on serpentine	Appendix 7
05	Gallery or riverine forest	Appendix 7
06	Pome forest in the banks of the Guaigüí River	Appendix 7
07	Latiffoliate forest on the southern side of the dam wall	Appendix 7
08	Pine trees mixed with serpentine vegetation	Appendix 7
09	Pasturelands with cattle	Appendix 7
10	Pasturelands with abundant queen palm	Appendix 7
11	Vegetation dominated by guava in the abandoned pastureland	Appendix 7
12	Plantain crop next to coffee and fruit trees	Appendix 7
13	Calyptrogenia s.p., unidentified rare species	Appendix 7
14	Guaney, endemic palm to Hispaniola Island on the serpentine	Appendix 7
	area	
15	Tabebuia vinosa, endemic to the area	Appendix 7
16	Jacaronda flowers, Jacaronda poitaei, endemic tree	Appendix 7
17	Palm trees seen from the dam flooded area	Appendix 7
18	Cattle predominant in the area	Appendix 7
19	Environmental alteration next to the area under study	Appendix 7
20	Environment suitable for mottled snake	Appendix 7
21	Example of snake species (Tropidophis haetianus)	Appendix 7
22	Pome flower	Appendix 7
23	Riverine forest in the Camu River	Appendix 7
24	Example of frog (<i>Hyla vasta</i>) on a rock in the Camu River	Appendix 7
25	Riverine vegetation, Guaigüí River	Appendix 7
26	Remnant of secondary forest, southern side of confluence of	Appendix 7
07	Camu and Guaigüí rivers	
27	Ecotone separating the pastureland from the secondary forest	Appendix 7
28	Big-headed alligator (Anolis cybotes) in a tree trunk	Appendix 7
29	Common alligator (Anoñis distichus)	Appendix 7
30	Riverine forest, Camu River, behind Casa de Balaguer	Appendix 7
31	Riverine forest, Los Araijanes Stream	Appendix 7
32	Molting that belongs to a colubrido	Appendix 7
33	Environment to be used to deposit material	Appendix 7
34	Green alligator (Anolis Chlorocyanus)	Appendix 7
35	Green snake	Appendix 7
36	Station No.1, Guarey (Mata Gorda- Guarey Crossing)	Appendix 7
07-	Limnology characterization Camu River and affluents	
37a	Station No.2, Camu I (Guanábano) Limnology	Appendix 7
- 89 1	characterization Camu River and affluents	
37b	Station No.2, Camu I (Guanábano). Limnology	Appendix 7
	characterization Camu River and affluents	

Environmental Impact Study Camu River Multipurpose Project

F#	Description	Appendix
38	Station No.3, Guaigüí. Limnology characterization Camu River	Appendix 7
	and affluents	
39	Station No.4, Camu II (Badén). Limnology characterization	Appendix 7
	Camu River and affluents	
40	Station No.5, Camu II. Limnology characterization Camu River	Appendix 7
	and affluents	
41a	Station No.6, Guaigüí Dam. Limnology characterization Camu	Appendix 7
	River and affluents	
41b	Station No.6, Guaigüí Dam. Limnology characterization Camu	Appendix 7
	River and affluents	
42	Station No.7, Camu III. Limnology characterization Camu	Appendix 7
	River and affluents	
43	Station No.8, Camu III. Limnology characterization Camu	Appendix 7
	River and affluents	
44	Station No.9, Confluence Camu (to the right) – Guaigüí	Appendix 7
	(background). Limnology characterization Camu River and	
	affluents	
45	House locate in El Anón, left bank of the Camu River	Appendix 7
46	Grocery store (Colmado) a few meters away from the Camu	Appendix 7
,	River; right bank, reservoir area	
47	House in the Flooding area of the reservoir	Appendix 7
48	School in El Anón, left bank of the Camu River	Appendix 7
49-	Summer-Home	Appendix 7
50	Part of participating team—Survey flooding area of the	Appendix 7
	reservoir	A
51	Participant registration, First Public Consultation	Appendix 7
52	Reflexion moment—President of the Assembly, Guaigüí	Appendix 7
	Church	Appendix 7
53	Beginning First Public Consultation	Appendix 7
54	Some of the board members-First Public Consultation	Appendix 7
55	Home owners with land preparing the socioeconomic	Appendix 7
<u> </u>	diagnostic diagnostic	Appendix 7
56 57	Owners reservoir area preparing the diagnostic	Appendix 7
57	Home owners	Appendix 7
58	Summer home owners preparing their diagnostic	Appendix 7
59	Presentation socioeconomic diagnostic by community	Appendix
- 00	members	Appendix 7
60	Presentation socioeconomic diagnostic by land owners,	Appendix
- 04	reservoir area	Appendix 7
61	Participants Socioeconomic Workshop Upper Basin	Appendix 7 Appendix 7
62	Community members, El Faro and Guanábanos. Workshop	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
- 00	Upper Basin	Appendix 7
63	Land owners of areas neighboring the project. Workshop	Whheilinix 1
	Upper Basin	<u></u>

F#	Description	Appendix
64	Partial view participants in the Discussion in La Vega. Campo Verde Club	Appendix 7
65	Discussion, First Workshop on Resettlement Plan	Appendix 7
66	Community members annotating data dates. Workshop Resettlement Plan	Appendix 7
67	Discussion among community members. Workshop Resettlement Plan	Appendix 7
68	Community members selecting place for human resettlement	Appendix 7
69	Community members selecting place for human resettlement	Appendix 7
70	Delivery of Minutes to Managing Committee. Second Workshop on Resettlement Plan	Appendix 7
71	Signature of Minutes, Managing Committee. Workshop on Resettlement Plan	Appendix 7
72	Signature of Minutes, Managing Committee Human Resettlement Plan	Appendix 7
73	Signature of Minutes, Managing Committee, Workshop on settlement Plan	Appendix 7
74	Delivery of Minutes Managing Committee	Appendix 7
75	Signature of Minutes, Managing Committee, by members	Appendix 7

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page and/or Appendix
No.	Sampling Stations Water Quality	05
1.1	Political Division Watershed and Area of Influence of the	14
1.2	Camu River Multipurpose Project	· ·
2.1	Governmental Institutions	Appendix No. 8
E .	Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)	Appendix No. 8
2.2	Local Community Organizations	Appendix No. 8
2.3	SEMARN Environmental Protection Regulations	20
2.4	Reported machinery for the construction process	32
3.1	Hydro climatic data of the Region of the Camu River Basin	Appendix No. 8
4.1	up to Bayaguanes	, appointment of
40	Monthly Rainfall Data from the Pluviometer Record at the	Appendix No. 8
4.2	Climatic Station 401, Common Period with Scheduled Rain	Appondix (10. c
4.3	Monthly Evaporation of Tank A in the Climatic Station at	Appendix No. 8
4.3	Jarabacoa	, toponant to
4.4	Mean Monthly Temperature at Jaracacoa Station	Appendix No. 8
4.4	Mean Monthly Relative Humidity at Jaracacoa Station	Appendix No. 8
4.6	Mean Monthly Cloudiness at Harabacoa Station	Appendix No. 8
4.7	Pluviometer Data from the Ebano Verde Reserve	Appendix No. 8
4.8	Monthly Rainfall in La Castilla - ONM	Appendix No. 8
4.9	Mean Monthly Flow Observed in the Camu River at	
4.5	Bayacanes	
4.10	Mean Monthly Flow of the Camu River in Guaigüí	Appendix No. 8
4.11	Measurements in the Camu River and Affluents	Appendix No. 8
4.12	Ratio of Aqueducts Served from the Camu River Upstream	Appendix No. 8
7.12	of Bayacanes	
4.13	Current Land Use in the Camu River Basin up to	Appendix No. 8
	Bayaguanes (Approximate areas)	
4.14	Assessment of Guaigüí Reservoir Volume due to	Appendix No. 8
	Sedimentation	
4.15	Regulatory Standards Class-A Water	Appendix No. 8
4.16	Sampling Water Quality	Appendix No. 8
4.17	Soil Use and Coverage	46
4.18	Physical and Biological Parameters Aquatic Stations	Appendix No. 8
4.19	Ecological & Biological Data at Sampling Stations in the	Appendix No. 8
	Camu River, Arroyo Guarey, and Arroyo Guaigüí	
4.20	Data Presence / Absence of Most Common Plant Species	Appendix No. 8
	Observed in the Camu River, Arroyo Guarey, and Arroyo	
	Guaigüí	
4.21	Plants in the Camu Dam area – Guaigüí, Province La Vega	Appendix No. 8
4.22	Botanical Sampling Points	Appendix No. 8
4.23	List of Amphibian and Reptile Species Found in the Area of	Appendix No. 8
	the Camu River Multipurpose Project, March 2002, La Vega	

Table No.	Description	Page and/or Appendix
4.24	List of Amphibian and Reptile Species Found in the Area of the Camu River Multipurpose Project, March 2002, La Vega	Appendix No. 8
4.25	List of Amphibian and Reptile Species and Subspecies Found in the Area of the Camu River Multipurpose Project	Appendix No. 8
4.26	Orders, Families, and Status of the Bird Species Detected Inside the Area of Influence of the Project	Appendix No. 8
4.27	List of Birds by Species and Number of Specimens Seen in Eight (8) Points in the Area of Influence of the Project	Appendix No. 8
4.28	Tolerance to Impact of Birds Seen in the Area Where the Guaigüí Dam is Being Built	Appendix No. 8
4.29	List of Endangered Bird Species Regulated By National and International Laws Found in the Area Where the Guaigüí Dam is Being Built	Appendix No. 8
4.30	General List of Birds Reported for the Ebano Verde Scientific Reserve and for the Guaigüí Area, Province La Vega. It includes several studies.	Appendix No. 8
4.31	List of Species and Subspecies of Mammals Found in the Project Area, March 2002, La Vega	Appendix No. 8
4.32	List of Mammal Species found in the Project Area, March 2002 and Previous Studies	Appendix No. 8
4.33	Data Presence / Absence of Aquatic Macrofauna in Sampling Stations in the Camu River, Arroyo Guarey, and Arroyo Guaigüí	Appendix No. 8
4.34	Classification and Status of Species Found in the Sampling Stations in the Camu River, Arroyo Guarey, and Arroyo Guaigüí	Appendix No. 8
4.35	Main Population Indicators in the Municipalities, Sections, and <i>Parajes,</i> Province of La Vega	Appendix No. 8
4.36	Schools in Guaigüí. Station Number, By Grades, Year 2001	103
4.37	School of Los Guanábanos	104
4.38	Agricultural Production in Guarey / Municipality of La Vega	105
4.39	Summary of Results Population Survey Reservoir Area	Appendix No. 8
4.40	Impacted Communities	109
4.41	Morbidity Data	112
5.1	Attenuation of Maximum Flows Affluents to the Reservoir of the Camu in Guaigüí	113
5.2	Attitudes and Expectations about the Project of the People from: Camu, El Anón, Guanábano (partial), Bella Vista	Appendix No. 8
7.1	Monthly Minimum Average Flow and Annual Average Flow at the Dam Site	135
7.2	Qualitative Analysis of the Main Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	Appendix No. 8
7.3	Costs of the Mitigation Measures	Appendix No. 8
8.1	Analysis of Feasible Alternative for the Hydraulic Utilization	Appendix No. 8

Table No.	Description	Page and/or Appendix
	of the Camu River Basin	
9.1	Consultation Plan with the Interested Parties	142
9.2	Overall Summary of Affected People	Appendix No. 8
9.3	Results, Workshop Lower Basin	Appendix No. 8
9.4	Results, Workshop Upper Basin	Appendix No. 8
10.1	Summary Matrix of the Environmental Management and Action Plan (EMAP)	Appendix No. 8
10.2	Summary of Environmental Monitoring and Surveying—Construction Phase	Appendix No. 8
10.3	Summary of Environmental Monitoring and Surveying— Operation Phase	Appendix No. 8

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Description	Appendix
01	Tectonic characteristics in the project area	Appendix 9
02	Geology of the project area	Appendix 9
03	Most important seismic events occurred on the Island (1551-1900)	Appendix 9
04	Geologic Faults	Appendix 9
05	Active seismic zones in the Dominican Republic	Appendix 9
06	Soil isoacceleration values	Appendix 9

LIST OF MAPS

No.	Description
10.1	Measuring Network Hydrologic Study
10.2	Area under Study
10.3	Project Description
10.4	Camu River Basin, Topographic Map, Slope Ranks, Use and Coverage, Topographic Sheets, Productive Capacity
10.5	Botanical Sampling Points
10.6	Location of Rare Plants
10.7	Fauna Sampling Points
10.8	Sampling Points, Water Quality
10.9	Work Stations for Limnology Characterization of Camu River
	and Affluents
10.10	Reservoir Flooding Area
10.11	Houses to Relocate, Reservoir Area, Guaigüí/Guarey
10.12	Houses to Relocate, Reservoir Area, El Anón/Buena Vista